
# Evaluation of the New Juvenile Salmonid Bypass System at Lower Monumental Dam, 1992

by Michael H. Gessel, William D. Muir, Benjamin P. Sandford, and Douglas B. Dey

June 1993

R.



EVALUATION OF THE NEW JUVENILE SALMONID BYPASS SYSTEM AT LOWER MONUMENTAL DAM, 1992

by

Michael H. Gessel William D. Muir Benjamin P. Sandford and Douglas B. Dey

Annual Report of Research

Funded by

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Walla Walla District Delivery Order E86920053

and

Coastal Zone and Estuarine Studies Division Northwest Fisheries Science Center National Marine Fisheries Service National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2725 Montlake Boulevard East Seattle, Washington 98112-2097

June 1993

# CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
OBJECTIVE 1: FISH GUIDANCE EFFICIENCY OF THE STS	3
Approach	3
Results and Discussion	4
Yearling Chinook Salmon and Steelhead	4
Dipbasket Efficiency	4
OBJECTIVE 2: JUVENILE SALMONID DESCALING	6
Approach	6
Results and Discussion	6
OBJECTIVE 3: SMOLTIFICATION LEVELS	6
Approach	8
Results and Discussion	9
OBJECTIVE 4: ORIFICE PASSAGE EFFICIENCY	12
Approach	12
Results and Discussion	13
CONCLUSIONS	17
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	17
REFERENCES	19
APPENDIX	21

#### INTRODUCTION

Juvenile fish passage facilities at Lower Monumental Dam on the Snake River have been upgraded to include standard-length submersible traveling screens (STS), modified balance flow vertical barrier screens, raised operating gates, and a new bypass collection channel and flume. The design changes were made to improve juvenile salmonid (Oncorhynchus spp.) passage and collection efficiency.

Submersible traveling screens are an integral part of the juvenile fish bypass systems at several Snake and Columbia River hydroelectric dams. In most cases, STSs effectively divert juvenile salmonids from turbine intakes into fish collection facilities (Fig. 1). In 1986, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) tested STSs in one turbine unit at Lower Monumental Dam to determine if it was feasible to incorporate them into the design for a juvenile salmonid bypass facility. Yearling chinook salmon (<u>O. tshawytscha</u>) fish guidance efficiency (FGE) was 60% with a stored (standard position) operating gate and 73% with a raised operating gate (Ledgerwood et al. 1987). Steelhead (<u>O. mykiss</u>) guidance was 78 and 83%, with a stored and raised operating gate, respectively. Subyearling chinook salmon FGE with a raised operating gate was low (35%), but similar to results at other dams.

In February 1992, the six turbine units at Lower Monumental Dam were fully equipped with STSs and research was conducted during the spring juvenile salmonid outmigration to determine the FGE and the orifice passage efficiency (OPE) of the new bypass system. The OPE tests were required to estimate the rate of passage of smolts

# Fyke-net layout




Figure 1.--Cross section of Lower Monumental Dam turbine intake showing submersible traveling screen (STS), raised and standard position operating gate, fyke-net layout, and associated structures.

# Lower Monumental Dam cross section

as they entered and exited the gatewells (Fig. 1). The specific 1992 objectives were:

- Determine the FGE of the STS during the spring juvenile salmonid migration.
- Determine the effect of the STS on juvenile salmonid descaling.
- 3) Measure levels of smoltification in yearling chinook salmon collected in gatewells and from fyke nets at different depths within the turbine intake.
- Determine orifice passage efficiency within the juvenile salmonid bypass system.

OBJECTIVE 1: FISH GUIDANCE EFFICIENCY OF THE STS

#### Approach

Methods for determining FGE were similar to those used in previous STS studies (Ledgerwood et al. 1987, Gessel et al. 1991). Fyke nets attached to a frame beneath the STS were used to collect the unguided fish, and a dipbasket collected guided fish from the gatewell (Fig. 1). FGE for each species was calculated as the gatewell catch divided by the total number of fish (by species) entering the turbine intake.

 $FGE = \frac{GW}{(GW + GN + FN)} \times 100\%$  $GW = gatewell \ catch$  $GN = gap-net \ catch$  $FN = fyke-net \ catch$ 

Each test began about 2000 h and terminated when sufficient numbers of fish of the target species were collected in the

gatewell, generally after 1-2 hours. At the end of each test, the test unit (Turbine Unit 3) was shut down, the fyke-net frame was raised, and the catch was removed from each net and placed in separate containers. The fish were counted by species and the gatewell catch examined for descaling and other injuries. Three series of five replicates each were conducted. Standard test conditions included a raised operating gate [raised at least 6 m (20 ft) or secured at deck level], a 0.8-m (30-in) lowered STS, and a 55° operating angle for the STS (Fig. 1).

## Results and Discussion

#### Yearling Chinook Salmon and Steelhead

Testing for FGE began 27 April and ended on 22 May. The mean FGEs for yearling chinook salmon ranged from 64 to 75% (Table 1; detailed data in Appendix Table 1). The overall 69% FGE for yearling chinook salmon compared well to the 73% FGE with the prototype STS at Lower Monumental Dam in 1986. Mean FGE for steelhead in 1992 (>85% for tests with at least 50 fish) was higher than for yearling chinook salmon, which is consistent with results observed at other dams (Appendix Table 1).

#### Dipbasket Efficiency

Several releases of marked (partial clip of the upper caudal lobe) yearling chinook salmon were made to verify dipbasket efficiency. Of 146 marked fish, 141 were recaptured (96.6%).

Date	Mean FGE (%)	SD	n	95% CI (%)
27 Apr - 1 May	64	8	5	54 - 74
4 May - 8 May	68	11	5	55 - 81
18 May - 22 May	75	6	5	67 - 82
Overall	69	9	15	64 - 74

Table 1.--Fish guidance efficiency of submersible traveling screen for yearling chinook salmon at Lower Monumental Dam, 1992.

#### OBJECTIVE 2: JUVENILE SALMONID DESCALING

#### Approach

The external condition of smolts collected in the gatewells was continually monitored during FGE and OPE testing using standard Fish Transportation Oversight Team descaling criteria (Ceballos et al. 1992).

# Results and Discussion

During the FGE studies, descaling for yearling chinook salmon and steelhead averaged 7.0 (95% CI = 4.8-9.1) and 6.4% (95% CI = 3.2-9.7), respectively. These levels of descaling were similar to levels of descaling reported for an STS at McNary Dam during the 1992 outmigration and estimates from other previous studies. While there is considerable uncertainty regarding the actual effects of descaling, there did not appear to be any unusual descaling problems with the STS tested at Lower Monumental Dam in 1992.

Descaling percentages for yearling chinook salmon and steelhead collected during OPE tests at Lower Monumental Dam in 1992 ranged from 1.4 to 26.9% (Table 2). We do not know the reason for the several instances of unusually high descaling during the OPE tests (e.g., 11 May, steelhead in Gatewells A and B).

## **OBJECTIVE 3:** SMOLTIFICATION LEVELS

Research conducted by NMFS in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) has demonstrated that FGE not only changes from year to year and among dams, but can also change during the course of any year's outmigration (Swan et al. 1987; Giorgi et al. 1988; Muir et al. 1988, 1989, 1990). Data acquired at Lower

Date		well A Steelhead (%)	Gatew Chinook (%)	ell B Steelhead (%)		vell C Steelhead (%)
11 May	10.3	18.7	9.5	26.9	10.6	7.3
12 May	5.4	12.5	7.3	13.4	<b></b> <sup>a</sup>	10.6
13 May	9.3	12.9	6.2	13.7	5.7	8.6
14 May	9.0	11.6	5.5	9.4	10.9	12.2
22 May	6.0	9.1	6.2	10.1	7.6	8.8
23 May	9.0	5.2	14.5	7.9	a	11.8
24 May	8.3	6.9	0	0	9.6	5.6
25 May <sup>b</sup>				<b>2011 (2011 1-11)</b>	<b></b>	
26 May	1.6	4.4	1.4	3.0	3.9	6.3
27 May	8.2	3.4	5.5	4.5	a	7.1
28 May	<sup>a</sup>	4.8	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>	9.7	4.4
29 May	8.5	4.2	11.9	4.4	<sup>a</sup>	3.3

Table 2.--Descaling percentages for yearling chinook salmon and steelhead collected from the gatewells during orifice passage efficiency (OPE) tests at Lower Monumental Dam, 1992.

<sup>a</sup> Less than 50 fish were examined for descaling.
<sup>b</sup> No descaling data were compiled during this OPE test.

Granite and Little Goose Dams from 1985 to 1989 suggested that intraseasonal changes in FGE were associated with the changing physiological status of yearling chinook salmon; those fish within the population that were fully smolted were more susceptible to guidance by traveling screens.

The purpose of this study was to determine if seasonal changes in the physiological status of the migrant population were evident at Lower Monumental Dam and to assess whether those changes were related to concurrent FGE estimates.

#### Approach

To examine the relationship between fish guidance and smolt development, fish were collected during FGE tests and assayed for gill Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ATPase. Twenty yearling chinook salmon were randomly sampled from the gatewell and 20 from all of the fyke nets combined, and these fish were placed on ice until gill samples could be taken. To ensure that any observed differences in gill Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ATPase between live gatewell and dead fyke-net captured fish were not caused by deterioration of this enzyme in the dead fish, gatewell fish were killed and placed in water at ambient river temperature until the fyke nets were removed from the water. Fish were measured and gill filaments trimmed from the gill arch and then placed into 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes filled with a buffer solution containing sucrose, ethylenediamine, and imidazole (SEI). Gills that showed signs of excessive deterioration were discarded. Samples were immediately placed in an ice chest containing dry ice and were later stored in a freezer and held at <-70 °C until assayed. After gill removal, fish were individually stored in labeled plastic bags and placed on dry ice for later analysis by

the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for bacterial kidney disease (BKD). Assays for gill  $Na^+-K^+$  ATPase were conducted using procedures described by Zaugg (1982) with minor modification.

To characterize the physiological status of the smolt population on each sample date, the mean  $Na^+-K^+$  gill ATPase level was weighted by the number of smolts captured in the fyke nets and gatewell and averaged. A paired t-test was used to test for seasonal differences in enzyme levels in guided vs. unguided fish.

## Results and Discussion

Yearling chinook salmon gill Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ATPase activity changed little during the spring sampling period; however, sampling was restricted to a limited period during the outmigration because of Endangered Species Act (ESA) concerns (Table 3). Mean enzyme levels ranged from 26.2 to 35.7  $\mu$ mol P<sub>i</sub> · mg Prot<sup>-1</sup> · h<sup>-1</sup>. There was no significant difference between gill Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ATPase activity levels in guided (gatewell) vs. unguided (fyke net) yearling chinook salmon overall (t = -1.75, 3 df, P = 0.178) (Table 4).

Again because of ESA concerns, there were not enough sample dates to correlate FGE and gill  $Na^+-K^+$  ATPase activity for yearling chinook salmon at Lower Monumental Dam in 1992. Statistical data for gill  $Na^+-K^+$  ATPase results are presented in Appendix Table 2.

	Smortrication	Studies at hower	Honumental Dam, 1992.
Date	n	FGE (%)	Gill Na <sup>+</sup> -K <sup>+</sup> ATPase
28 Apr	40	60	26.2
29 Apr	39	60	27.9
7 May	40	52	33.2
8 May	40	62	35.7

Table 3.--Number of yearling chinook salmon sampled in the gatewell and fyke nets (n), FGE results, and mean gill Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ATPase levels ( $\mu$ mol P<sub>i</sub>  $\cdot$  mg Prot<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup>) during smoltification studies at Lower Monumental Dam, 1992.

	Na <sup>+</sup> -K <sup>+</sup> ATPase (mean)						
Date	Gatewell	Fyke nets					
28 Apr	23.7	30.1					
29 Apr	27.3	28.9					
7 May	31.8	34.7					
8 May	35.9	35.3					

.

Table	4Mean Na <sup>+</sup> -K <sup>+</sup> ATPase	levels ( $\mu$ mol P <sub>i</sub> · mg Prot <sup>-1</sup> · h <sup>-1</sup> ) for
	guided (gatewell)	and unguided (fyke nets) yearling
	chinook salmon at	Lower Monumental Dam, 1992.

#### **OBJECTIVE 4: ORIFICE PASSAGE EFFICIENCY**

#### Approach

At Lower Monumental Dam, each gatewell is equipped with two submerged, 0.3-m (12-in) diameter orifices that connect the gatewell to the fish bypass channel (Fig. 1). The orifices are located approximately 75 cm (30 in) from each corner of the gatewell (usually designated north and south). The fish bypass channel is situated in a cavity mined through the main structure of the dam, and there is insufficient space to attach a trap to the exit point of the orifices. Therefore, it was necessary to use an indirect method of estimating OPE. Similar constraints were encountered at John Day Dam and the indirect method was applied there successfully (Brege et al. 1987).

Flow through each of the turbine units at Lower Monumental Dam is supplied through three intake slots (A, B, and C) each with a separate gatewell. Slot A supplies about 28% of the turbine intake flow and Slots B and C provide about 35 and 37%, respectively. Because of their similar flow characteristics, the gatewells for Slots B and C (i.e., Gatewells B and C) were used for OPE tests. For each OPE test, both orifices in one gatewell and one of the two orifices in the other gatewell were closed and a comparison was made of the number of fish collected by dipbasket from each of the two gatewells. For example, if the Gatewell B orifices were closed (preventing any fish from exiting the gatewell) and 100 fish were collected, while in Gatewell C only the north orifice in Gatewell C would be 75% (based on the assumption that with a closed north orifice Gatewell C would also have contained about 100 fish).

On a 24-hour basis, orifices in Gatewells B and C were alternately closed and opened, the daily accumulation of fish was removed from the gatewells, and the number of fish (by species) determined. The two orifices in Gatewell A were closed for all tests, the fish collection monitored, and the data used as an indicator of potential discrepancies in fish numbers between gatewells. Two-sample t-tests were used to compare OPE between north and south orifices, between Gatewells B and C, and between relative numbers of fish in Gatewell A and either Gatewell B or C (depending upon which gatewell had both orifices closed). A 95% confidence interval was calculated (assuming OPE was normally distributed) for the seasonal OPE.

Since only one orifice of the total of four in Gatewells B and C could be opened for each 24-hour test, a total of 4 test days were required to complete one OPE replicate. Studies at John Day Dam indicated that nine replicates (total of 36 test days) were necessary to estimate OPE with a SE of about 4.5 (Brege et al. 1987).

#### Results and Discussion

A total of 12 OPE tests were conducted in Turbine Unit 4. Near the end of May we began collecting low numbers of subyearling chinook salmon from the gatewells, and to limit handling of these fish, which are listed as threatened under the ESA, the tests were terminated. The OPE in 11 of 12 tests for yearling chinook salmon ranged from 75 to 96% (Table 5).

In past orifice passage efficiency studies, OPE of approximately 70% has been used as an indicator of acceptable passage (Krcma et al. 1983). At Lower Monumental Dam in 1992, OPE

	Open		Gatewell			Ratio
Date	orifice	A	В	С	OPE (%)	A to B or Cª
11 May	B south	331	126	525	76	0.63
12 May	C south	295	564	22	96	0.52
13 May	B north	151	178	706	75	0.21
14 May	C north	257	847	128	85	0.30
22 May	B south	365	81	567	86	0.64
23 May	C south	67	198	11	94	0.34
24 May	B north	169	101	521	81	0.32
25 May	C north	38	128	6	95	0.30
26 May <sup>b</sup>	B north	319	213	416	49	0.77
27 May	C north	257	602	35	94	0.43
28 May	B north	40	8	176	95	0.23
29 May	C south	71	266	22	92	0.27

Table 5.--Yearling chinook salmon collected in Gatewells A, B, and C, orifice passage efficiency (OPE), and ratio of fish collected in different gatewells, Turbine Unit 4, Lower Monumental Dam, 1992.

<sup>a</sup>Ratio of fish collected in Gatewell A (closed orifices) to fish collected in other gatewell with both orifices closed (either Gatewell B or C depending on test conditions). <sup>b</sup>Statistical outlier, not used in the analysis. for yearling chinook salmon averaged 88% (95% CI = 83-94). The OPE was 83 and 93% in Gatewells B and C, respectively. This difference was significant (t = -2.65, df = 9, P = 0.0266). This may imply gatewell bias (due to differential numbers of fish collected in Gatewells B and C) in the daily OPE estimates. However, a nearly equal number of Gatewell B (negative bias) and Gatewell C (positive bias) OPEs were included in the overall OPE estimate which should minimize any gatewell bias. The OPE averaged 89 and 88% for the south and north orifices, respectively, which was not a significant difference (t = 0.24, df = 9, P = 0.8129). Fish numbers in Gatewells B and C, respectively (when B or C had both orifices closed). This difference was not significant (t = 0.50, df = 9, P = 0.6267).

The OPE in 11 of 12 tests for juvenile steelhead ranged from 57 to 85% (Table 6). The OPE for steelhead averaged 66% (95% CI = 60-72) and was 67 and 65% in Gatewells B and C, respectively. This difference was not significant (t = 0.35, df = 9, P = 0.7369) and suggests there was no gatewell bias for Gatewells B and C in the daily OPE estimates. The OPE averaged 63 and 69% for the south and north orifices, respectively, and was not significantly different (t = -0.97, df = 9, P = 0.3591). Steelhead numbers in Gatewell A averaged 52 and 43% of the numbers in Gatewells B and C, respectively (when B or C had both orifices closed). This difference was not significant (t = 1.66, df = 9, P = 0.1319).

A statistical outlier in the OPE data occurred on 26 May. The yearling chinook salmon OPE estimate was almost half the "typical" OPE estimate (49 versus 88%) and the steelhead estimate was the lowest observed during the season (47 versus a range of 57-85%).

	Open		Gatewell			Ratio
Date	orifice	A	В	С	OPE (%)	A to B or C <sup>a</sup>
11 May	B south	332	249	574	57	0.58
12 May	C south	615	1,061	236	78	0.58
13 May	B north	363	234	636	63	0.57
14 May	C north	383	791	311	61	0.48
22 May	B south	372	278	668	58	0.56
23 May	C south	174	409	170	58	0.43
24 May	B north	246	134	496	73	0.50
25 May	C north	92	302	108	64	0.30
$26 \text{ May}^{b}$	B north	180	99	186	47	0.97
27 May	C north	297	848	294	65	0.35
28 May	B north	83	30	206	85	0.40
29 May	C south	120	265	91	66	0.45

Table 6.--Steelhead collected in Gatewells A, B, and C, orifice passage efficiency (OPE), and ratio of fish collected in different gatewells, Turbine Unit 4, Lower Monumental Dam, 1992.

<sup>a</sup>Ratio of fish collected in Gatewell A (closed orifices) to fish collected in other gatewell with both orifices closed (either Gatewell B or C depending on test conditions). <sup>b</sup>Statistical outlier, not used in the analysis. Concurrently, the proportion of fish in Gatewell A relative to the closed Gatewell C was much higher than for all other test days for either species (0.77 versus a range of 0.21-0.64 for yearling chinook salmon and 0.97 versus a range of 0.31-0.58 for steelhead). These observations were an indication something unusual had occurred on that day. Since our objective was to quantify typical OPE, the data from 26 May were not used in the analyses.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- Fish guidance efficiency of a 0.8-m (30-in) lowered STS, set at a 55° operating angle and combined with a raised operating gate, was nearly 70% for yearling chinook salmon.
- During FGE tests, descaling for yearling chinook salmon and steelhead averaged 7.0 and 6.4%, respectively.
- 3) Orifice passage efficiency over 24-hour intervals during the period 11-29 May, averaged 88 and 66% for yearling chinook salmon and steelhead, respectively. Orifice passage efficiency was not significantly different between the north and south orifices during these tests.
- 4) Additional orifice passage efficiency tests may be required to verify OPE throughout the juvenile salmonid outmigration.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our appreciation to the Corps of Engineers personnel at Lower Monumental Dam for their assistance and cooperation in completing this study.

.\*\*

#### REFERENCES

- Brege, D. A., D. R. Miller, and R. D. Ledgerwood. 1987. Evaluation of the rehabilitated juvenile salmonid collection and passage system at John Day Dam - 1986. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-86-F-0245, 37 p. plus Appendix. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)
- Ceballos, J. R., S. W. Pettit, and J. L. McKern. 1992. Fish Transportation Oversight Team. Annual Report - FY 1991. Transportation operations on the Snake and Columbia Rivers. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS F/NWR-29. 77 p. plus Appendix. (Available from Environmental and Technical Services Division, 911 N.E. 11th Avenue, Suite 620, Portland, OR 97232.)
- Gessel, M. H., J. G. Williams, D. A. Brege, R. F. Krcma, and D. R. Chambers. 1991. Juvenile salmonid guidance at the Bonneville Dam Second Powerhouse, Columbia River, 1983-1989. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage. 11:400-412.
- Giorgi, A. E., G. A. Swan, W. A. Zaugg, T. C. Coley, and T. Y. Barila. 1988. Susceptibility of chinook salmon smolts to bypass systems at hydroelectric dams. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage. 8:25-29.
- Krcma, R. F., M. H. Gessel, and F. J. Ossiander. 1983. Research at McNary Dam to develop and implement a fingerling protection system for John Day Dam, 1982. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-82-F-0373, 24 p. plus Appendix. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)
- Ledgerwood, R. D., G. A. Swan, and R. F. Krcma. 1987. Fish guiding efficiency of submersible traveling screens at Lower Monumental Dam - 1986. Report to U.S. Department of Engineers, Contract DACW68-84-H-0034, 33 p. plus Appendix. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)
- Muir, W. D., A. E. Giorgi, W. S. Zaugg, and B. R. Beckman. 1989. An assessment of the relationship between smolt development and fish guidance efficiency at Bonneville Dam. Report to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-87-F-0320, 29 p. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)
- Muir, W. D., A. E. Giorgi, W. A. Zaugg, W. W. Dickhoff, and B. R. Beckman. 1988. Behavior and physiology studies in relation to yearling chinook salmon guidance at Lower Granite and Little Goose Dams, 1987. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW68-84-H-0034, 47 p. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

- Muir, W. D., C. S. McCutcheon, A. E. Giorgi, W. S. Zaugg, S. R. Hirtzel, and B. R. Beckman. 1990. An assessment of the relationship between smolt development and fish guidance efficiency at Lower Granite Dam, 1989. Report to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-85-H-0034, 19 p. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)
- Swan, G. A., A. E. Giorgi, T. C. Coley, and W. T. Norman. 1987. Testing fish guiding efficiency of submersible traveling screens at Little Goose Dam; is it affected by smoltification levels in yearling chinook salmon? Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW68-84-H-0034, 58 p. plus Appendixes. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)
- Zaugg, W. S. 1982. A simplified preparation for adenosine triphosphatase determination in gill tissue. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 39:215-217.

Appendix Table	1Numbers of fish collected in the individual replicates of
	FGE tests, and FGE results for yearling chinook salmon and
	steelhead (n $\geq$ 50) at Lower Monumental Dam, 1992 (SC =
	subyearling chinook, YC = yearling chinook, and ST =
	steelhead).

				Date	(test	slot-t	est number)			
	27	April	(3B-1)		28	April	(3B-2)	29 Ag	pril (	3B-3)
Location	SC	YC	ST		SC	YC	ST	SC	YC	ST
Gatewell	0	258	16		1	184	18	0	318	50
Gap Net	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Closure	0	33	0		0	29	0	0	43	7
First	0	33	3		0	15	3	0	30	0
Second	0	39	9		0	66	3	3	102	6
Third	0	32	2		0	11	2	0	36	3
Fourth	0	6	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0		· 0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	401	30		1	305	26	3	529	66
FGE (१)		64				60			60	76

	30	30 April (3B-4)		1 May (3B-5)			4 May (3B-6)		
Location	SC	YC	ST	SC	YC	ST	SC	YC	ST
Gatewell	0	498	36	0	203	24	0	366	252
Gap Net	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Closure	0	84	4	1	14	1	0	28	0
First	0	75	3	0	9	0	0	42	3
Second	0	138	3	0	24	0	0	45	9
Third	0	61	3	0	3	0	0	9	1
Fourth	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Fifth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	861	49	1	254	25	0	493	265
FGE (%)		58			80			74	95

	5	М <b>а</b> у (ЗВ	-7)	6 May (3B-8)			7 May (3B-9)		
Location	SC	YC	ST	SC	YC	ST	sc	YC	ST
Gatewell	0	157	119	0	127	76	1	139	65
Gap Net	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Closure	0	16	4	0	13	4	0	30	1
First	0	12	6	0	6	0	0	24	9
Second	0	24	3	0	12	6	0	63	0
Third	0	6	1	0	3	0	0	8	1
Fourth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Fifth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	215	133	0	162	86	1	267	76
FGE (%)		73	89		78	88		52	85

			Dat	e (test	slot-tes	st number)			
	1 8	May (3B-	·10)	18	May (3B	-11)	<u>19 M</u>	lay (3E	-12)
Location	SC	YC	ST	SC	YC	ST	SC	YC	ST
Gatewell	2	149	30	1	126	18	0	137	37
Gap Net	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Closure	0	20	2	0	12	0	0	13	1
First	3	21	0	0	12	0	0	9	0
Second	0	30	3	0	15	0	0	24	0
Third	1	17	0	0	4	0	0	3	0
Fourth	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	6	240	35	1	169	18	0	186	38
FGE (%)		62			75			74	

	20	May (3B	-13)	21	May (3B	-14)	_22 M	lay (3B	-15)
Location	SC	YC	ST	SC	YC	ST	SC	YC	ST
Gatewell	0	366	32	0	102	55	2	110	35
Gap Net	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Closure	0	28	1	0	12	1	0	11	1
First	0	27	3	0	9	3	0	3	0
Second	0	51	3	0	27	6	0	6	0
Third	0	10	0	0	3	2	0	2	0
Fourth	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Totals	0	485	39	0	153	70	2	132	36
FGE (욱)		75			66	79		83	

# Appendix Table 1.--Continued.

.

Date	Statistic	Gatewell	Fyke nets	
28 Apr	Mean	23.7	30.1	
	SD	7.0	7.6	
	n	20	20	
29 Apr	Mean	27.3	28.9	
	SD	9.1	9.0	
	n	19	20	
7 May	Mean	31.8	34.7	
	SD	7.1	9.7	
	n	20	20	
8 May	Mean	35.9	35.3	
	SD	6.7	6.1	
	n	20	20	

.

•

.

Appendix Table 2Gill Na <sup>+</sup> -K <sup>+</sup> ATPase ( $\mu$ mol P <sub>i</sub> <sup>-</sup>	mg Prot <sup>-1</sup> · h <sup>-1</sup> ) data
for yearling chinook salmon	from FGE tests at
Lower Monumental Dam, 1992.	

