US Army Corps
of Engineers
Portland District

National Marine Fisheries Service
Northwest Fisheries Science Center
Coastal Zone and Estuarine Studies Division
Point Adams Biological Field Station

Tongue Point Monitoring Program 1989-1992

EMMETT



Final Report
September 1993

TONGUE POINT MONITORING PROGRAM

1989-1992

FINAL REPORT

by

Mark D. Siipola

USACE, Portland District
P.O. Box 2946
Portland, Oregon 97208-2946

and

Robert L. Emmett
Susan A. Hinton

Coastal Zone and Estuarine Studies Division
Northwest Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
2725 Montlake Boulevard East
Seattle, Washington 98112-2097

September 1993

PREFACE

This report presents data collected during four years of physical and environmental monitoring at the Tongue Point dredge site and the Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site F (ODMDS F), off the mouth of the Columbia River (MCR). ODMDS F received fine grained material in 1989 from the Tongue Point, Oregon Navigation Improvements Project. This multidisciplinary investigation was conducted by the United States Corps of Engineers (USACE), Portland District staff, National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Hammond, Oregon staff, the North Pacific Division Materials Testing Laboratory as well as private contractors. Data presented here include bathymetry, physical and chemical analysis of sediment and a description of benthic infauna and demersal fish/invertebrates. The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. This report does not constitute NMFS's formal comment under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act or the National Environmental Policy Act.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

List of Tables ii

List of Figures iii

List of Appendices iv

Introduction 1

Predicted Impacts 5

Previous Studies 8

Present Study 11

Tongue Point Dredge Site Methods/Results/Discussion 12

Pre-dredging Baseline Survey 1988-1989 12

Post-dredging Survey December 1989 14

Post-dredging Survey August 1990 15

Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site F 16

Bathymetric Studies Methods/Results/Discussion 16

Sediments and Benthic Invertebrates Methods 24

Fishes and Large Epibenthic Invertebrates Methods 25

Sediment Physical Properties Data Results/Discussion 26

Sediment Chemical Properties Data Results/Discussion 27

Benthic Invertebrates, Fishes and Large
Epibenthic Invertebrates 28

Benthic Invertebrates Results 28

Fishes and Large Epibenthic Invertebrates Results 39

Benthic Invertebrates and Fishes and Large
Epibenthic Invertebrates Discussion 42

Overall Survey Summary 45

Discussion 45

Conclusions 55

Acknowledgments 57

Biography 58

Literature Cited 61

List of Tables

Table No.		Page No.
1	ODMDS F Sampling Dates.	23
2	ODMDS F Bathymetric Survey Dates.	24
3	Number of Benthic Invertebrate Taxa Found at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1992.	28
4	Densities (number/m^2) of the Benthic Invertebrate Community at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1992. Station Densities were Calculated by Combining Replicates from each Station.	29
5	Diversity (H) of the Benthic Invertebrate Community at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1992. Station Values were Calculated by Combining Replicates from each Station.	31
6	Evenness (E) of the Benthic Invertebrate Community at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1992. Station Values were Calculated by Combining Replicates from each Station.	32
7	Densities (number/m^2) of the Dominant Benthic Invertebrates Collected at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1992. Densities were Calculated by Combining all Replicates for each Year.	37
8	Major Fish and Large Epibenthic Invertebrate Densities (mean/number/ha) Captured by Bottom Trawl at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, in Summer (June\July\August) of 1989 through 1992. Three Trawling Efforts were Conducted During each Survey.	40
9	Summary of Fish and Large Epibenthic Invertebrate Catches for Three Trawling Stations at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, in Summer (June\July\August) of 1989 through 1992. The North and South Stations were Outside of ODMDS F (Figure 2).	41

List of Figures

Figure No.		Page No.
1	Tongue Point Monitoring Program Site Map.	2
2	Tongue Point Sediment Sampling Stations.	3
3	Location of the Tongue Point Navigation Project, Lois Island and Eagle Study Sediment Sampling Stations.	13
4	ODMDS F Station Locations and Surrounding Area (depth contours are shown in feet).	17
5	ODMDS F Bathymetry (A) for May 22, 1989 (pre-disposal).	18
6	ODMDS F Bathymetry (A) and Bathymeteric Difference Plot (B) for December 11, 1989.	19
7	ODMDS F Bathymetry (A) and Bathymeteric Difference Plot (B) for July 19, 1990.	20
8	ODMDS F Bathymetry (A) and Bathymeteric Difference Plot (B) for June 4, 1991.	21
9	ODMDS F Bathymetry (A) and Bathymeteric Difference Plot (B) for June 22, 1992.	22
10	Benthic Invertebrate PCA Groups and Their Major Taxa Identified at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, July 1989.	33
11	Benthic Invertebrate PCA Groups and Their Major Taxa Identified at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, June 1990.	34
12	Benthic Invertebrate PCA Groups and Their Major Taxa Identified at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, July 1991.	35
13	Benthic Invertebrate PCA Groups and Their Major Taxa Identified at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, July 1992.	36
14	Percent Fines (March 1, 1990) and Bathymetric Difference Plot (December 11, 1989 vs. May 22, 1989) at ODMDS F, off the Mouth of the Columbia River.	46
15	Percent Fines (June 27, 1990) and Bathymetric Difference Plot (July 19, 1990 vs. May 22, 1989) at ODMDS F, off the Mouth of the Columbia River.	47

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.		Page No.
16	Percent Fines (July 11, 1991) and Bathymetric Difference Plot (June 4, 1991 vs. May 22, 1989) at ODMDS F, off the Mouth of the Columbia River.	48
17	Percent Fines (July 1, 1992) and Bathymetric Difference Plot (June 22, 1992 vs. May 22, 1989) at ODMDS F, off the Mouth of the Columbia River.	49
18	Percent Fines and Benthic Invertebrate PCA Groups Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, July 1989.	50
19	Percent Fines and Benthic Invertebrate PCA Groups Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, June 1990.	51
20	Percent Fines and Benthic Invertebrate PCA Groups Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, July 1991.	52
21	Percent Fines and Benthic Invertebrate PCA Groups Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, July 1992.	53

List of Appendices

Appendix	
A	Tongue Point Monitoring Program
B	Sediment Physical and Chemical Raw Data Tongue Point and ODMDS F
C	Benthic Invertebrate, Fish and Epibenthic Invertebrate Data from ODMDS F

INTRODUCTION

The Tongue Point harbor and port facilities is located near Astoria, Oregon (Figure 1) approximately 18 miles from the mouth of the Columbia River (MCR). It was first developed in the 1930's as a U.S. Naval base and after World War II as a temporary berthing area for ships. In 1980 the State of Oregon purchased 45 acres and five of the eight finger piers of the Tongue Point facilities from the Federal Government. The remaining three finger piers and 316 acres are still federally owned and used by the U.S. Department of Commerce as a Job Corps Center and the U.S. Coast Guard as a buoy tender station.

The State of Oregon has promoted various development projects for the site, including an auto importing facility. In order to provide access for large vessels, the turning basin and the navigation channel connecting the site to the main Columbia River channel needed to be deepened. The State of Oregon and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) entered into an agreement to study the benefits and impacts of deepening the Tongue Point access channel and turning basin. In April 1989 the USACE, Portland District completed the Final Detail Project Report (DPR) and Environmental Assessment (EA) titled "Tongue Point, Oregon, Navigation Improvements" (USACE, Portland District, 1987). The DPR recommended construction of a 34-foot deep by 350-foot wide channel, 1.75-miles long, from the Columbia River Federal Navigation Channel to the Tongue Point docks (Figure 2). Also, near the piers, a 25-foot deep by 1,050-foot wide turning basin would be needed. Dredging was accomplished in the late summer and fall of 1989. Disposal of the dredged sediments occurred at Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site F (ODMDS F), located approximately 4.2 miles southwest from the mouth of the Columbia River.

The Tongue Point Navigation Project lies in an area of important wildlife habitat. It borders the western edge of Cathlamet Bay and Lewis and Clark Wildlife Refuge. The area includes habitat for resident and anadromous fish species, waterfowl and a breeding pair of bald eagles *(Haliaeetus leucocephalus)*. The area is also an important rearing area for juvenile salmonids, particularly fall chinook salmon *(Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)*.

Figure 1.--Tongue Point Monitoring Program Site Map.





Figure 2.--Tongue Point Sediment Sampling Stations. (From Enviro Science Inc., 1983)

Resident fish species in this area include the three-spined stickleback *(Gasterosteus aculeatus)*, peamouth *(Mylocheilus caurinus)* and starry flounder *(Platichthys stellatus)*. Besides bald eagles, peregrine falcons *(Falco peregrinus)*, open water birds and harbor seals *(Phoca vitulina)* have been seen in the area. Beaver *(Castor canadensis)*, river otter *(Lutra canadensis)*, mink *(Mustela vison)*, muskrat *(Ondatra zibethica)* and black-tailed deer *(Odocoileus hemionius columbianus)* are also residents.

Dredging in 1989 was accomplished by two clamshell dredges with material barged to the disposal site. Equipment used included two barge mounted cranes equipped with 15 and 24 cubic yard (CY) clamshell buckets. Four 3,000 CY capacity bottom-dump transport barges transported the dredged material to ODMDS F. Tug captains were given one coordinate (46°11.68′ N, 124°09.57′ W) as a dump target. Dredging began on July 28, 1989 and was completed on December 1, 1989.

The Tongue Point Navigation Improvement Project dredged approximately 2 million cubic yards (MCY) of material and disposed this fine grained material on the sandy substrate at ODMDS F. Because of the dissimilarity of disposal sediments to the coarser ambient sediment and possible sediment contamination, there was concern that negative environmental impacts to the local habitat for crabs, fish and benthic invertebrates would occur at the disposal site. There was also a concern that the dredging operation would suspend and redistribute contaminated sediments in and around the dredge site. Various natural resource agencies identified the need for monitoring the project both at ODMDS F and at Tongue Point because of its wildlife habitat. Therefore, a dredge site and disposal site monitoring program was developed in 1989. In order to assess environmental impacts, physical, chemical and biological studies would be conducted at both sites pre-and post-dredging and during maintenance dredging for four years. A copy of the original 1989 Tongue Point Monitoring Program is presented in Appendix A. The results and findings of the Tongue Point Monitoring Program are the subject of this report.

PREDICTED IMPACTS

The Final Detailed Tongue Point, Oregon Navigation Improvements Project Report and Environmental Assessment (USACE, Portland District, 1987), made predictions of the possible effect that dredging and unconfined disposal would have on the dredge site and disposal site. The Tongue Point Monitoring Program was designed to provide verification of the predictions as follows:

1. Based on pre-dredging sediment chemistry, bioassay, and bioaccumulation studies relevant to this project, no significant toxicity or bioaccumulation impacts due to contaminant loading were anticipated with dredging and ocean disposal of project sediments. Bioassay and bioaccumulation tests were conducted under a "worst-case" scenario, with organisms exposed to a layer of pure dredged material. In the field, these sediments would interact with the water column and ambient sediments, causing some reduction of effects due to dilution. Ocean disposal of Tongue Point sediments could result in temporary elevations of low levels of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), mercury, cadmium, copper, and several polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) within and adjacent to the disposal site (see Battelle 1988). However, strong mixing processes which occur seasonally at the disposal site due to storm events, tides, and Columbia River discharges will disperse and dilute dredged sediments and their associated contaminants.

2. Battelle (1988) and Enviro Science (1987) reported dredged sediments from Tongue Point were composed of finer material (40 to 70 percent silt/clay size range) than the disposal site sediments (less than 4 percent fines). This indicated that ODMDS F was a dispersive site, at least for the fine-grain fraction of the dredged sediments. Based on available current and sediment transport information on the area offshore of the Columbia River mouth (Borgeld et al. 1978, USACE Portland District 1987), most sediment transport is expected to be northward along depth contours, with some offshore component. Sediment transport will occur mostly during the winter following disposal. Inshore transport of large quantities of fine sediments was not anticipated.

3. The project required the disposal of 2.0 MCY of silty sands and sandy silts at ocean disposal ODMDS F over a 4 month period during July to December 1989. This calculates to a layer of dredged material up to 15-feet thick which would cover an area 5 to 15 times the disposal site area. However, bulking and water column dispersal factors, as well as movement off-site of sediments deposited early in the project, was expected to result in a layer at least several feet less than calculated from dredged material volume and site area.

4. Complete smothering of benthic infaunal organisms was expected within the disposal site, with recolonization expected within several months. In addition, benthic habitats outside the disposal site would be altered due to mixing of finer grained dredged material with ambient sands. Monitoring studies conducted in 1986 and 1987 at ODMDS H, a fine grained ocean dredged material disposal site off Coos Bay, Oregon, indicated an impact area about twice the size of the disposal site (Fletcher 1988). By 1988 the Coos Bay ODMDS H impact zone had enlarged to about five times the disposal site area, with some locations having as high as 30 percent fines in sediments normally having less than 3 percent fines. This impact resulted from the disposal of 1.2 MCY during a two year period (1985 to 1987). The calculated layer of dredged material at ODMDS H was about 3 feet thick. Most of the dredged material mixed with the sands in the area, especially away from the disposal site. Coos Bay ODMDS H is located in water depths of 165 to 200 feet and with an area 1,500 ft x 3,500 ft, or 31 percent greater than that of ODMDS F off the mouth of the Columbia River. The Coos Bay benthic macroinfauna communities shifted in the impact zone towards greater dominance of polychaetes, with fewer mollusc and crustacean species.

A similar and more pronounced effect was anticipated at ODMDS F for the Tongue Point project, since more dredged material was to be deposited, the disposal rate higher (total deposition was in 4 months), the disposal site smaller, and the water depths shallower than Coos Bay ODMDS H. Sediment transport at Coos Bay ODMDS H has corresponded to average current directions with material moving northward along depth contours and downslope to the west. Columbia River ODMDS F was expected to exhibit similar patterns. Averaged current directions near ODMDS F are to the north and northwest. Currents close to shore move southeast in the summer, but should not effect ODMDS F, which is in water deeper than where these currents normally occur. Given sediment movements observed at Coos Bay, the projected 15-foot layer of dredged material at ODMDS F was expected to disperse and cover an area at least five times the disposal site area. Since the disposal layer is expected to be five times thicker than at ODMDS H, the disposal impact zone could be as much as 10 to 15 times greater than the dumping area. Therefore, based on observed changes at ODMDS H, an impact zone between 5 to 15 times the area of ODMDS F was anticipated for the Tongue Point project,with this impact zone existing primarily north and offshore of the site.

5. The use of a clamshell bucket dredge with minimum disposal barge overflow was expected to minimize the possibility of suspension and redistribution of contaminated sediment at the dredge site.

PREVIOUS STUDIES

May and December 1980 - The United States Geological Survey (USGS) (1984) under contract with USACE, Portland District conducted analysis of native euryhaline water from Tongue Point as reference for elutriates and native water samples collected at Baker Bay, Astoria and Youngs Bay. No collection of Tongue Point bottom material for analysis was made as part of this study.

April and August 1982 - The USGS (1984) under contract with USACE, Portland District conducted analyses of native water, elutriates and bottom material collected from the mouth of the Columbia River to Cathlamet Bay. Analyses of elutriate and bottom material included heavy metal and phenolic compounds but did not include pesticide analyses.

October 1983 - Sediment samples were collected from between the existing Tongue Point finger piers by Dames & Moore (1984) as part of a geotechnical investigation and environmental assessment of a 15 acre fill for a proposed sawmill facility. Samples were analyzed by the USACE, North Pacific Division Materials Testing Laboratory for gradation, heavy metals and organic content. As part of the same evaluation Enviro Science, Inc. (1983) conducted an assessment intended to provide environmental information primarily focused on the aquatic environment.

September and October 1984 - As part of the Columbia River Coal Export Channel study (USACE, Portland District 1987, USGS 1989) the USGS was contracted to evaluate the distribution of selected trace metals and organic compounds in Columbia River bottom material including Tongue Point sediments. This was the first detailed trace metals and organic compounds analysis of material from the Tongue Point area. Analyses included, but was not limited to, the evaluation of chemical concentrations as related to vertical distribution, particle size and organic carbon content. Bottom material was obtained using a 20-foot vibra-core. Organochlorine compounds detected and quantified, including Aldrin, DDD, DDE, gross polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and gross polyclorinated naphalenes (PCNs), were found to be confined to the upper 3 feet of sediment. Of the nine groups of acid/base/neutral extractable compounds for which analyses were conducted, only polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (10 out of 16 PAHs) were detected, with concentrations ranging from 8 to 278 mg/kg. Metal concentrations were found to vary with depth and between sediment size fractions. The highest metal concentrations were generally found in the upper sections of the sediment core sorbed to the finer particle size fractions. Sedimentation rates in Cathlamet Bay were estimated using ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs analysis. Since ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs were confined to the upper 0-20 inches, the earliest date that can be assigned to this layer is 1953. This corresponds to when naval vessels were maintained at the Tongue Point site.

September 1987 - Enviro Science, Inc. (1987) collected eight sediment samples (Figure 2) within the project area as part of a sediment evaluation study by the Columbia River Estuary Study Task Force (CREST). Bulk chemistry was conducted for heavy metals, PAHs and pesticides. Elutrial analyses were conducted for heavy metals. Physical (grain size) and volatile solid analysis were also conducted. Three analytical schemes for evaluating the sediments were used. They included: 1) physical analysis (grain size) and volatile solid determination for all eight samples; 2) bulk analyses of three composite samples [stations 1+2; 3+4+5(C2); 6+7(C1)] which were analyzed for oil and grease, total organic carbon (TOC), ph, ammonia, heavy metals and organic compounds (pesticides/PCBs and base/neutral extractables); and 3) elutriate analyses of the three composite samples for TOC, pH, ammonia and heavy metals. This study is of little comparative value since most of the detection limits reported are too high to be of use in the evaluation of sediment quality at the dredge site. However, the sample plan of eight sample locations and the compositing scheme was sound and was used in all further studies.

August 1988 - Sediment cores were collected by Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory (Battelle 1988) under contract with USACE, Portland District for confirmatory chemical analysis and solid phase bioassays for the Tongue Point Navigation Improvements Project. The purpose for this study was to determine the suitability of the Tongue Point sediments for ocean disposal.

Sediment samples were collected from five stations (Figure 2) and mixed into two composite batches [stations 3+4+5; (C2) and stations 6+7; (C1)]. Ten day flow-through, solid-phase bioassays were conducted on four species of organisms (*Macoma nasuta, Nephtys caecoides, Rhepoxynius abronius, Grandidierella japonica*). Ten day static, solid-phase bioassays were conducted on two species (*R. abronius* and *G. japonica*). Clams (*M. nasata*) were also subjected to a 20 day flow-through, solid-phase survival and bioaccumulation test. Sediment composites were chemically analyzed for 8 metals or metaloids, 65 polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, 19 pesticides, 5 polychlorinated biphenyls and 5 conventional contaminants. The sediments were also physically analyzed for grain size. Chemical contaminants that were identified as elevated in sediments were then analyzed in the bioaccumulation tissues.

One pesticide (DDD) and 10 PAHs were found either above method detection limits or were considered present by the analyst. The pesticide DDD was below the calculated method detection limit but considered real. No PCB aroclors were detected. Measured PAHs totaled 1,059 mg/kg and 1,013 mg/kg (C2 and C1, respectively) and were composed primarily of fluoranthene, pyrene, benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, benzo(b,k)-floranthene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and benzo(a)pyrene. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate was considered present in one composite and was the only phthalate ester encountered. Cadmium, lead and zinc in the Tongue Point sediments were the enriched when compared to world soils and reference sediments.

Only one bioassay test, *R. abronius* (static) showed statistically significant differences between reference or control samples and test sediment. Survival ranged from 60 to 95% in C1 and 70 to 90% in C2 indicating patchiness to the toxicity. Under flow-through conditions survival of *R. abronius* was not significantly different from survival in control or reference sediments. There was no statistically significant increase in the bioaccumulation of metals or PAHs. Concentrations of the pesticide DDD was above the analytical method detection limit. Low levels of Aroclor-1254 and DDE were measured but were below the calculated method detection limit.

PRESENT STUDY

The Tongue Point Monitoring Program (Appendix A) focused on determining bathymetric, sediment, contaminant and benthic invertebrate community changes in and adjacent to ODMDS F and sediment and contaminant changes in and around the Tongue Point dredge site. The dredge site and disposal site monitoring program was followed to the greatest extent possible. Boat and equipment scheduling, weather and equipment problems caused some delay in the scheduled sampling dates, however these delays are considered minor.

Several major changes were made. The "during construction" bathymetry survey was deleted. Late construction start delayed dredging completion until December 1, 1989. The post-construction disposal site bathymetry survey was conducted on December 11, 1989 and annually thereafter during regularly scheduled offshore hydrosurveys.

Due to rough weather and boat scheduling, post-dredge disposal ODMDS F sediment sampling did not occur until March 1, 1990. Based on the results of these analysis, chemical analysis was not conducted on the June 1990 and July 1991 disposal site sediment samples. However, chemical analysis was conducted on eight disposal site sediment samples collected in July 1992. Chemical analysis of fish tissue was completely dropped due to the lack of any identifiable sediment contamination.

Based on the 1989 and 1990 dredge site monitoring results and the lack of maintenance dredging, scheduled 1991 and 1992 Tongue Point dredge site sediment sampling and analysis was dropped. The 1990 "eagle sites" sediment sampling was also discontinued based on the December 1989 monitoring results.

Tongue Point Dredge Site

Pre-dredging Baseline Survey 1988-1989

Five sediment core samples from each of five sample stations (Figure 2) were collected in 1988 at Tongue Point near the finger piers (Battelle, 1988). Two composites were made from these; composite C1 from stations 6 and 7, and composite C2 from stations 3, 4, and 5. The composited samples were used in survival tests, solid-phase bioassays and bioaccumulation tests. Physical and chemical analyses were also performed on the composited sediment samples to determine grain size and to survey possible contaminants.

The two Tongue Point composites (C1 and C2) were chemically analyzed for 65 PAHs, 19 pesticides and 5 PCBs. Only 10 PAHs and 1 pesticide were considered detected in the samples. The total of measured PAHs averaged 1,036 mg/kg. The one pesticide detected was 4,4'-DDD, a degradation product of DDT. The detected 4,4'-DDD concentrations of 1.9 and 2.4 mg/kg were below the calculated method detection limit yet considered real by the analyst therefore reported as detected values. No PCBs were detected or considered present at Tongue Point. Detection limits for all pesticides and PCBs were low and considered acceptable.

Additional surface sediment samples were collected on September 13, 1988 from four stations up river from Tongue Point, along a distance of about 3.6 miles, at various creek outlets (Figure 3). The purpose of is sampling was to provide background data for the bald eagle mitigation/monitoring plan. These stations were chosen to determine if there were contaminated sediments in areas where bald eagles were known to forage. Although these stations were outside the Tongue Point dredging project, it was postulated that dredging could suspend and redistribute contaminated sediment into bald eagle foraging areas. Composites were made from three samples collected at Mill Creek (TP-9), South Tongue Point (TP-10), and Twilight Swamp (TC-12). One sample was collected east of Lois Island (TP-11). Samples were collected from the surface in situ.



Figure 3:--Location of the Tongue Point Navigation Project, Lois Island and Eagle Study Sediment Sampling Stations.

Four sediment samples were collected on July 25, 1989 in a line near the western edge of Lois Island (Figure 3). These were combined into two composites (LI-1/2 & LI-3/4) for chemical analysis. The purpose of these samples was to obtain physical and chemical information on sediments near the Tongue Point dredging project in a deep-water area. Samples were taken from the undisturbed top two inches of the bottom material collected using a box corer (described under methods).

The results of the Tongue Point sediment physical and chemical analyses are presented in Appendix B Tables B-1 through B-4. Analyses for heavy metals showed no indication of significant contamination. No pesticides or PCBs were detected in any of the "bald eagle" study areas or Lois Island deep water sites. The "bald eagle" samples were not subjected to PAH analysis and no PAHs were detected in the Lois Island composites.

Post-dredging Survey December 1989

On December 7 & 14, 1989, seven and fourteen days after dredging ceased, Tongue Point post-dredging sediment samples were collected. To determine if the dredging operation had exposed contamination to the environment, samples were taken from all stations corresponding to the pre-dredge sample locations. These samples were collected consistent with the pre-dredging sampling protocol. Grain size, volatile solids, TOC, oil & grease, metals, pesticides, PCBs and PAHs were measured.

The surface sediments at the dredge site after dredging were about 50% sand and 50% fines (silt/clay) compared to the pre-dredge sediments which were about 34% sand and 65% fines (Appendix B Table B-1). There was an apparent increase in oil & grease from pre-dredge to post-dredge sediments (55.2 and 334.0 ppm, respectively). The amount of total organic carbon (TOC) in post-dredge sediment was similar to pre-dredge sediment. Generally, concentrations of metals remained the same or decreased slightly in post-dredge sediments; these differences were not considered significant. No pesticides, PCBs or PAHs were detected except in sample, TP-BC-5, taken near finger pier number eight which indicated a possible "hit" for 4,4'-DDD (3.0 ppb); however, this was an estimated value below the method detection limit (Appendix B Table B-4).

Post-dredging Survey August 1990

On August 14, 1990 the eight Tongue Point dredge site stations were sampled and the sediments were subjected to physical and chemical analyses. Based upon the previous monitoring results (Appendix B Tables B-2 through B-5), the Lois Island deep water sites and the four eagle monitoring stations were dropped from the monitoring program. Samples were taken by box corer and sub-samples collected from the entire depth of the material retained in the box corer. Previous post-dredging monitoring samples had been restricted to the top two inches. These samples were primarily collected to determine the sediment quality if maintenance dredging was necessary, however due to the lack of infill and need, maintenance dredging has not occurred.

Grain size, volatile solids, TOC, metals, pesticides, PCBs and PAHs were measured. Metal values were similar to previous analyses and below established levels of concern. All pesticides were below method detection limits except for sample TP-S-4 which had a hit for endosulfin at the method detection limit (3.4 ppb). Method detection levels for PAHs were several orders of magnitude below previous analyses and PAHs were detected in all samples at low levels. All PAH values were below established levels of concern.

Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site F

Pre-disposal and Post-disposal Surveys

A pre-disposal baseline survey was conducted as outlined in the Tongue Point Monitoring Program (Appendix A). The pre-dredge bathymetry survey was conducted on May 22, 1989. Sediment, benthic infauna and demersal fish/invertebrates samples were collected between June 21, 1989 and July 10, 1989. Twenty-nine stations centered around the disposal site were sampled and analyzed to determine sediment characteristics (Figure 4). Thirteen of these stations were also sampled for benthic infauna and sediment chemistry evaluation, though only seven stations were actually analyzed chemically (Appendix B Tables B-5 through B-12). Three trawls were conducted on June 21, 1989, one in the disposal site and one each north and south of the disposal area. Post-disposal surveys were conducted as indicated in Table 1.

Bathymeteric Studies Methods/Results/Discussion

Pre-dredge, post-dredge and annual bathymeteric ODMDS F surveys were conducted by the USACE, Portland District's 65 foot Survey boat Hickson. Water depths were recorded using a Krupp Atlas DESO 20 dual frequency (40 and 200 kHz) depth sounder with a HECO-10 swell compensator. Though somewhat dependent on sea conditions accuracy is generally ±1.5 foot. Positioning was by Del Norte Trisponders w/DMU 540 and has an accuracy of ±3.3 feet. Table 2 lists the dates surveys were conducted.

Plots showing the disposal site, 13 sampling stations and depth contours are presented in Figures 5 through 9. To best illustrate the bathymetric changes to the disposal area over time, difference plots were created by subtracting the baseline data (May 22, 1989) from subsequent surveys. The resultant difference plots are presented in Figures 6 through 9 and represent thickness of the dredged material disposal mound as compared to the May 22, 1989 baseline survey. These difference plots have been massaged to eliminate background noise to better define the disposal mound.



Figure 4:--ODMDS F station locations and surrounding area (depth coutours are shown in feet).

A



KEY (Figures 5 through 9)

ODMDS F

Drawing "A"-Raw Survey Data
Drawing "B"-Bathymeteric Difference Plot

Depths and Contours are in Feet

Physical, Chemical and Benthic
Invertebrate Sampling Stations

Figure 5:--ODMDS F bathymetery (A) for May 22, 1989 (pre-disposal).



Figure 6:--ODMDS F bathymetery (A) and bathymeteric difference plot (B) for December 11, 1989.



Figure 7:--ODMDS F bathymetery (A) and bathymeteric difference plot (B) for July 19, 1990.



Figure 8:--ODMDS F bathymetery (A) and bathymeteric difference plot (B) for June 4, 1991.



Figure 9:--ODMDS F bathymetery (A) and bathymeteric difference plot (B) for June 22, 1992.

Table 1:--ODMDS F Sampling Dates.

Date Sampled	Analyses Conducted
June 21, July 5 & 10, 1989	29 stations physical properties 7 stations chemical properties 13 stations benthic infauna 3 trawls
March 1, 1990	29 stations physical properties 13 stations chemical properties
June 13, 26 & 27, 1990	29 stations physical properties 13 stations benthic infauna 3 trawls
July 8, 9 & 10, 1991	29 stations physical properties 13 stations benthic infauna 3 trawls
July 1, 3, 1992	29 stations physical properties 8 stations chemical properties 13 stations benthic infauna 3 trawls

The December 1989-May 1989 plot (Figure 6) shows the disposal mound 10 days after cessation of dredging on December 1, 1989. The mound was solely created by Tongue Point material (no other material was deposited at the site). A fairly symmetrical mound was created with a maximum height of 12 feet. This resulted because tugboat operators were given one coordinate to discharge the hopper barges. The symmetry and integrity of the mound indicates that discharging of dredged material at this coordinate was strictly adhered to and no "short dumping" occurred. Volume calculations show the mound to contain approximately 740,000 CY of material. This is significantly less than the total calculated volume of material removed from the dredge site

Table 2:--ODMDS F bathymetric survey dates.

Time After Disposal		Dates
Baseline	(Pre-disposal)	May 22, 1989
10 days	(Post-disposal)	December 11, 1989
7.5 months	(Post-disposal)	July 19, 1990
18 months	(Post-disposal)	June 4, 1991
30 months	(Post-disposal)	June 22, 1992

(2,030,954 CY). Earlier studies with coarser grained dredged material at experimental ODMDS G (Sternberg, 1977) showed a loss of 29% of the disposal volume. The initial dispersal of the finer grained Tongue Point dredged material along with the difficulty of resolving depths to ±1.5 feet probably accounts for the bulk of the material not accounted for in the bathymeteric surveys.

The 1990, 1991 and 1992 surveys (Figures 7 through 9) show a slight decrease in the foot print of the mound with perhaps a minor shift to the north. The overall height decreased from 12 feet to 10 feet after 30 months including three winter seasons. Considering the accuracy of hydrosurveys, the shape and height of the mound created by the deposition of the Tongue Point dredged material has been fairly stable.

Sediments and Benthic Invertebrates Methods

Sediment samples were collected at 29 stations centered around ODMDS F for physical analysis (Figure 4). Sediment chemistry and benthic invertebrate samples were collected at 13 stations; 5 stations within the disposal site and

8 stations surrounding ODMDS F in 1989, 1990, 1991 and 1992. Station depths ranged from 105 to 160 feet. Loran-C navigational readings for each station were recorded and are presented in Appendix B Table B-1 and Appendix C Table C-1. A modified 0.1-m^2 Gray-O'Hara box corer (Pequegnat et al. 1981) was used to collect one sample at 16 stations and six samples at the remaining 13 benthic invertebrate stations. At the 13 benthic invertebrate stations five of the box-core samples were individually sieved through a 0.5-mm mesh screen, and the residues containing the macroinvertebrates preserved in jars with a buffered 5% formaldehyde solution containing rose bengal (a protein stain). Benthic organisms were sorted from the preserved samples, identified to the lowest practical taxonomic level (usually species), and counted. All specimens were placed in vials containing 70% ethanol and stored at the NMFS Point Adams Biological Field Station, Hammond, Oregon (See Appendix C for a complete description of data analysis methods). Subsamples of the sixth box-core sample were used for physical and chemical sediment analysis. Sediment grain size was determined by sieving, and organic content (volatile solids) by burning for 1 hour at 600^{0}C. Physical sediment analysis was done by the North Pacific Division Materials Testing Laboratory at Troutdale, Oregon. Chemical analysis included TOC, heavy metals, polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), pesticides and PCBs were conducted by private contract laboratories.

Fishes and Large Epibenthic Invertebrates Methods

Three trawling efforts were conducted during each survey, one in the disposal area and one each north and south of the disposal area (Figure 4). Depths along the trawling transects ranged from 109 feet to 148 feet. All trawling efforts were five minutes long and were made in a northwesterly direction as currents allowed. Bottom trawling was done with an 26.5-foot semiballoon shrimp trawl that had an overall mesh size of 0.15-inch (stretched); a 0.05-inch mesh liner was inserted in the cod end to ensure retention of small fishes and epibenthic invertebrates. Fishing width of the trawl was estimated to be 16.4 feet (See Appendix C for complete description of data analysis methods). Location and distance traveled during each trawling effort were determined using Loran-C navigational equipment (Appendix C Table C-1).

All organisms captured by trawling were weighed (g) and measured (mm)--total lengths for fishes, and carapace widths for Dungeness crabs. Shrimp were measured from the rostrum to the distal end of the telson.

Sediment Physical Properties Data Results/Discussion

Physical properties of the sediment samples collected are presented in Appendix B Tables B-5 through B-9. Selected physical properties are also presented in Appendix B Figures B-1 through B-15. Mean sediment grain size in the pre-disposal ODMDS F samples was 0.16 mm with a maximum of 0.18 mm and a minimum of 0.12 mm with a standard deviation of 0.012 mm. The mean percent fines (% of material passing a 230 mesh sieve [0.0625 mm]) was 0.91 % by weight with a maximum of 2.10%, a minimum of 0.40% and a standard deviation of 0.47%. The sediments were also low in organic content, with a mean volatile solids content of 0.61%, a maximum of 1.00%, a minimum of 0.30% and a standard deviation of 0.14%. The ODMDS F pre-disposal sediments were uniform through out the area and showed no indication of previous dredged material disposal events.

As indicated by the isopach contour drawings for median grain size, percent fines and volatile solids (Appendix B Figures B-1 through B-15) the dredged material from Tongue Point can be readily identified at ODMDS F. The March 1, 1990 data, taken three months after disposal, has a minimum median grain size of 0.03 mm, a maximum percent fines of 68.1% and a maximum percent volatile solids of 6.9%. When compared to the July 10, 1989 data, it reveals that any station with a median grain size <0.11 mm, more than 2.5% fines or more than 1.14% volatile solids indicates alteration by dredged material disposal.

While the bathymetric surveys show a rather well defined conical mound created as a result of dredge material disposal at ODMDS F, the plots of the physical characteristics of the March 1, 1990 sediment samples shows a wider distribution of dredged material. As noted in earlier studies (Sternberg, 1977), sediment character is much more sensitive than bathymetry change when defining the extent of dredged material deposit because of the difficulty in resolving depths to ±1.5 foot and the distinct difference between the disposed and ambient sediments.

Though the structural characteristics of the mound, as shown by the bathymetry difference plots, were relatively stable over time, the distribution of the physical characteristics of the sediment samples changed significantly. By July 1992, the physical characteristics of the sediments collected in the immediate area of the mound were indistinguishable from those of the pre-disposal (July 10, 1989) sediments. Apparently, the coarser ambient sediments migrated over and covered the fine grained Tongue Point dredged material. This sand "cap" will further stabilize the disposal mound. The July 1992 data revealed some organic rich fine grained sediments to the north of the disposal site. However, during sampling at these stations we observed that the fine grained material consisted of a 2-3 inch layer with "reg [sic] sand underneath". This may indicate some movement of dredged material to the north, however, similar movement was not observed during earlier sampling.

Sediment Chemical Properties Data Results/Discussion

Chemical analyses were conducted on selected sediment samples from the ODMDS F study area (Appendix B Tables B-10 through B-12). Pre-disposal analyses were conducted on 7 samples collected in July 1989. Post-disposal chemical analyses were conducted on 13 samples collected in March 1990 and 8 samples collected in June 1992. No pesticides or PCB's were detected in any of the ODMDS F samples. With the one exception of Pyrene (20.0 ppb) in sample F2 (June 1992), no PAH's were detected. Heavy metal concentrations in all samples were below established levels of concern, though metal concentrations increased as percent fines increased. The potential for unacceptable adverse environmental impacts due to sediment contamination was not evidenced by these analyses. Because of no evidence of sediment contamination, much of the originally scheduled chemical contaminate testing, including that of fish tissue, was dropped from the monitoring program.

Benthic Invertebrates, Fishes and Large Epibenthic Invertebrates

Benthic Invertebrates Results

The five benthic invertebrate samples from each station were treated as replicates, allowing calculation of a mean number/m^2 and standard deviation for each species, and total mean number/m^2 and standard deviation for each station. Two community structure indices, diversity (H) and species evenness (E), were also calculated for each station (Appendix C Table C-3).

A total of 192 benthic invertebrate taxa were identified from the June 1989 survey (Appendix C Table C-2); a mean of 67 taxa were found at each station (Table 3), with the mean invertebrate density 1,072/m^2 (Table 4). The highest benthic invertebrate density was found at Station D1 (1,517/m^2), which is northwest of ODMDS F at a depth of 138 feet (Appendix C Table C-3). The lowest density was found at Station D7 (788/m^2), located southeast of ODMDS F at a depth of 129 feet. The number of benthic invertebrate taxa/station ranged from 58 (Station E3) to 80 (Station D1).

Table 3.--Number of invertebrate taxa found at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992.

	Year			
Station	**1989**	**1990**	**1991**	**1992**
A4	71	73	99	110
B2	68	93	105	121
B6	68	72	107	108
C3	63	109	102	117
C5	67	109	106	110
D1	80	86	89	107
D4	64	58	106	109
D7	59	71	100	92
E3	58	88	108	111
E5	61	74	93	103
F2	71	73	92	93
F6	72	71	97	89
G4	65	68	101	83
Mean	67	80	100	104

Table 4.--Densities (number/m^2) of the benthic invertebrate community at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992. Station densities were calculated by combining replicates from each station.

	Year			
Station	**1989**	**1990**	**1991**	**1992**
A4	1,223	2,238	3,599	13,759
B2	1,294	3,262	4,362	14,027
B6	871	2,574	3,872	11,479
C3	967	3,712	5,937	14,171
C5	1,142	2,978	3,833	7,821
D1	1,517	3,587	4,001	14,819
D4	882	724	3,416	10,072
D7	788	2,584	3,660	6,646
E3	992	2,793	6,823	9,820
E5	798	2,270	4,379	8,709
F2	1,046	1,588	5,760	9,422
F6	1,132	2,538	4,739	7,332
G4	1,278	1,555	4,987	7,207
Mean	1,072	2,492	4,567	10,406

A total of 209 benthic invertebrate taxa were identified from the June 1990 survey (Appendix C Table C-2); the mean invertebrate density in 1990 was 2,492/m^2 (Table 4). The highest benthic invertebrate density was found at Station C3 (3,712/m^2) (Table 4), located on the west corner of ODMDS F at a depth of 171 feet (Appendix C Table C-2) . The lowest density was found at Station D4 (724/m^2), located in the center of the ODMDS F at a depth of 132 feet. The number of benthic invertebrate taxa/station ranged from 58 (Station D4) to 109 (Stations C3 and C5) (Table 3).

A total of 224 benthic invertebrate taxa were identified from the July 1991 survey (Appendix C Table C-2); the mean invertebrate density was 4,567/m^2 (Table 4). The highest benthic invertebrate density was found at Station E3 (6,823/m^2), located at the north end of ODMDS F at a depth of 138 feet (Appendix C Table C-3). The lowest density was found at Station D4 (3,416/m^2), located at the center of the ODMDS F. The number of benthic invertebrate taxa/station ranged from 89 (Station D1) to 108 (Station E3) (Table 3).

A total of 235 benthic invertebrate taxa were identified from the July 1992 survey (Appendix C Table C-2); the mean invertebrate density was 10,406/m^2 (Table 4). The highest benthic invertebrate density was found at Station D1 (14,819/m^2), located at the north end of ODMDS F at a depth of 138 feet (Appendix C Table C-3). The lowest density was found at Station D7 (6,646/m^2), located south of the ODMDS F. The number of benthic invertebrate taxa/station ranged from 83 (Station G4) to 121 (Station B2) (Table 3).

The overall mean benthic invertebrate density (all stations combined) changed significantly during the four survey years (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.05$). Benthic invertebrate densities essentially doubled each survey year (Table 4).

The number of taxa also increased significantly during our survey years (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.05$), rising from a overall mean of 67 taxa in 1989 to a high of 104 in 1992 (Table 3).

Diversity (H) dropped significantly during our survey years (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.05$), from a high mean of 4.89 in 1989 to a low of 3.82 in 1992 (Table 5).

Evenness (E) followed a similar pattern, falling from a high mean of 0.81 in 1989 to a low of 0.57 in 1992. These values were also found to be significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.05$) (Table 6).

Polychaetes and amphipods were the most abundant taxa captured during each survey, with molluscs also being important (Table 7). Dominant species in June 1989 included the polychaetes *Nephtys* spp., *Leitoscoloplos elongatus*, and *Chaetozone spinosa*; and the amphipods *Eohaustorius sencillus* and *Rhepoxynius* spp. Dominant species in June 1990 included the polychaetes *Spiophanes bombyx*, *Spiophanes berkeleyorum*, and *Magelona sacculata*; and the amphipods *Orchomene* cf. *pinguis* and *Rhepoxynius daboius*. Dominant species in July 1991 was the bivalve *Olivella baetica*, and the polychaetes *Magelona sacculata* and *Spiophanes berkeleyorum*. In 1992, dominant species where the polychaetes *Spiochaetopterus costaum, Spiophanes bombyx,* and *Spiophanes berkeleyorum.*

The increases in benthic invertebrate densities during each concurrent survey were typically not related to any specific increase in any specific taxa, but a result in increases in many different taxa (Table 7). One of the major polychaete species, *Spiophanes bombyx,* increased from 69.4 mean individuals/m^2 in 1989 to 1,309.2 mean individuals/m^2 in 1992. However, one taxa, *Spiochaetopterus costarum,* was not found in 1989 but was the most abundant taxa in 1992 (3,316.4 mean individuals/m^2) (Table 7).

Table 5.--Diversity (H) of the benthic invertebrate community at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992. Station values were calculated by combining replicates from each station.

	Year			
Station	1989	1990	1991	1992
A4	4.88	4.75	5.13	3.81
B2	4.97	4.90	4.95	3.50
B6	5.08	4.28	5.27	3.98
C3	4.54	4.80	4.82	3.38
C5	4.92	5.20	5.17	4.17
D1	4.89	4.84	4.60	3.66
D4	4.82	4.91	5.18	4.00
D7	5.02	4.19	4.70	3.96
E3	4.71	4.33	4.95	4.04
E5	4.85	4.40	4.56	3.97
F2	4.94	4.71	4.03	3.46
F6	4.93	4.10	4.59	3.71
G4	4.96	4.46	4.62	4.03
Mean	4.89	4.61	4.81	3.82

Table 6.--Evenness (E) of the benthic invertebrate community at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992. Station values were calculated by combining replicates from each station.

	Year			
Station	1989	1990	1991	1992
A4	0.79	0.77	0.77	0.56
B2	0.82	0.75	0.74	0.51
B6	0.83	0.69	0.78	0.59
C3	0.76	0.71	0.72	0.49
C5	0.81	0.77	0.77	0.61
D1	0.77	0.75	0.71	0.54
D4	0.80	0.84	0.77	0.59
D7	0.85	0.68	0.71	0.61
E3	0.80	0.67	0.73	0.59
E5	0.82	0.71	0.70	0.59
F2	0.80	0.76	0.62	0.53
F6	0.80	0.67	0.70	0.57
G4	0.82	0.73	0.69	0.63
Mean	0.81	0.73	0.72	0.57

Groups of stations identified from the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) are shown graphically in Figures 10 through 13. These figures reveal that the study area had an unstable benthic invertebrate community that helps to mask or overrides any changes in the benthic invertebrate community which could be statistically attributed to the disposal of dredged material. Nevertheless, Station D4, at the middle of the disposal site, did appear to be affected by the dredged material disposal in 1990. This is indicated by its low benthic invertebrate densities (724 individuals/m^2) in 1990 compared with the other stations, and the fact it was not included with any other station groupings (i.e., had different major species) (Figure 11). However, by 1991, the benthic invertebrate species composition and densities at Station D4 were similar to Stations C3 and E3 (Figure 12). This station grouping (D4, C3, and



Figure 10.--Benthic invertebrate PCA groups and their top three major taxa identified at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, July 1989.



Figure 11.--Benthic invertebrate PCA groups and their top three major taxa identified at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, June 1990.



Figure 12.--Benthic invertebrate PCA groups and their top three major taxa identified at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, July 1991.



Figure 13.--Benthic invertebrate PCA groups and their top three major taxa identified at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, July 1992.

Table 7.--Densities (number/m^2) of dominant benthic invertebrates collected at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992. Densities were calculated by combining all replicates for each year.

	Year			
Taxa	**1989**	**1990**	**1991**	**1992**
Polychaeta				
Spiochaetopterus costarum		1.5	0.3	3,316.4
Spiophanes bombyx	69.4	359.2	303.5	1,309.2
Spiophanes berkeleyorum	68.6	268.3	382.9	1,200.2
Owenia fusiformis	0.2	8.5	0.3	622.3
Glycinde armigera	33.3	149.1	96.6	334.4
Magelona sacculata	60.9	250.2	384.2	312.3
Nephtys caecoides	43.8	62.5	99.9	161.1
Chaetozone spinosa	72.9	62	175	137.4
Phyllodoce hartmanae	9.3	12	15.2	93.6
Nephtys spp.	80.2	178.4	181.3	71
Prionopsio lighti	2.4	14.2	164.2	42.5
Leitoscoloplos pugettensis	72.3	143.6	112.6	39.8
Magelona spp.		5.2	55.7	35.6
Miscellaneous	110	204.9	577.7	403.1
Mollusca				
Olivella baetica	1.6	19.5	513.2	238.5
Siliqua spp.			0.2	227.2
Olivella spp.	6.1	9.9	1	109
Gastropteron pacificum	0.3		4.5	77.6
Nitidella gouldi	14.1	22	36.9	58.7
Olivella pycna	8.8	57.8	3.5	57.4
Axinopsida serricata	22.9	37.8	50.3	49.9
Macoma spp.	2.9	4.1	17.9	38.3
Tellina spp.	9.5	1.3	23.7	3.8
Miscellaneous	28	49.9	55.5	72.9
Mysidacea/Cumacea				
Leucon spp.			8.6	44.4
Leuconidae	0.8	0.2	19.4	
Diastylopsis tenuis	0.2	45.4	28	34.1
Diastylopsis dawsoni	1.6	4.7	148.7	27.7
Hemilamprops californica		33.5		24
Diastylis spp.	0.2	4.1	48.8	11.4
Diastylopsis spp.	0.2		104.7	1.3
Colurostylis occidentalis	0.5	22.1	54.7	1
Miscellaneous	4.5	25.3	9.2	2.1

Table 7.--Continued.

Taxa	1989	1990	1991	1992
		Year		
Amphipoda				
Orchomene pinquis				115.3
Rhepoxynius daboius	28.1	83.2	32.7	89.1
Protomedeia articulata		0.2		87.8
Orchomene cf. *pinquis*	9.6	52.3	7.9	81.4
Orchomene pacifica	0.8		94.3	0.3
Rhepoxynius spp.	43.1	0.7	100.9	68.1
Photis macinerneyi	3	19	39.9	44.7
Synchelidium shoemakeri		8.6	14.4	42.2
Synchelidium spp.	0.2		41.3	
Eohaustorius sencillus	57.4	32.2	12.7	36.9
Ampelisca careyi	5.6	19	24.6	16.7
Rhepoxynius abronius	26.6	12.7	4.3	16
Miscellaneous	52.9	55	213.4	93
Echinodermata				
Echinoidea				80.3
Amphiodia spp.	5.8		3.3	47.8
Amphiodia urtica	0.5		9.4	
Dendraster excentricus	1	4.6	0.8	1.6
Ophiuroidea		8		0.5
Miscellaneous	1.5	1.1	1.2	0.3
Miscellaneous taxa				
Nemertea	70.5	60.2	105.5	176.8
Euphilomedes carcharodonta	22.6	38.1	51.1	81.3
Echiuridae				57.2
Synidotea angulata	2.4	17.6	34.9	12.8
Cylindroleberididae	4.8	9.1	6.9	31.4
Phoronida	1	7.2	35.6	19.2
Miscellaneous	9.1	6	53.7	47
Total	1,072.0	2,492.0	4,567.0	10,405.9

E3) may be related to dredged material disposal since all three sites are in the disposal area, but the high benthic invertebrate densities (5,392 individuals/m^2) and large number of taxa (Table 3), indicates a healthy benthic invertebrate community (see **DISCUSSION** for information regarding sediment composition [% fines] and Benthic Community Structure).

By 1992, a large change had taken place in the benthic invertebrate community at our study area. Only three station groupings were identified using PCA. The polychaete species, *Spiochaetopterus costarum,* became a dominant invertebrate in 1992 and this species help define the station groupings (Figure 13). At eight stations (one station grouping) it was the overwhelming dominant species; at the other station groupings it was also abundant but secondary species help define the station groupings.

Fishes and Large Epibenthic Invertebrates Results

During the June 1989 survey, a total of 2,469 fishes and epibenthic invertebrates were captured, representing 25 different taxa (Appendix C Tables C-4 and C-5). Overall density was 4,820 fish and large epibenthic invertebrates/ha (Table 8). Numerically dominant species were whitebait smelt, *Allosmerus elongatus;* northern crangon, *Crangon alaskensis;* and Pacific sanddab, *Citharichthys sordidus* (Table 8). The South trawling station had the highest catch of the three stations (Table 9). Community structure indices H and E were highest at the ODMDS F and the North trawling stations in 1989, indicating relatively high number of taxa and fairly equal proportional abundances among the species. The low values at the South station indicated lower diversity and less equal distribution among the species, caused by the dominance of whitebait smelt (Appendix C Table C-5).

During the June 1990 survey, fish and epibenthic invertebrate mean density was 9,606/ha, representing 31 different taxa (Table 8 and Appendix C Table C-4). Numerically dominant species were whitebait smelt, northern crangon, and butter sole (Table 8). The ODMDS F had the highest trawl catch of the three stations (Table 9). Community structure indices H and E were highest at the North station, indicating a high number of species and a relatively equal proportional abundances among the species. H and E were lower at the South and ODMDS F stations because of the dominance of one or several species and fewer species (Appendix C Table C-5).

During the August 1991 survey, fish and epibenthic invertebrate mean density was 2,924/ha, representing 26 different taxa (Table 8 and Appendix C Table C-4). Numerically dominant species were English sole, Pacific sanddab and Pacific tomcod (Table 8). The ODMDS F had the lowest trawl catch of the three stations (Table 9). Community structure indices H and E were highest at the North station, indicating high number of species and relatively equal proportional abundances among the species. H and E were lower at the ODMDS F station because of fewer species and the dominance of a couple of species (Appendix C Table C-5).

During the July 1992 survey, fish and epibenthic invertebrate mean density was 9,118/ha, representing 32 different taxa (Table 8 and Appendix C Table C-4). Numerically dominant species were whitebait smelt, Dungeness crab (*Cancer magister*), and Pacific sanddab (Table 8). The ODMDS F had the highest

Table 8.--Major fish and large epibenthic invertebrates densities (mean number/ha) captured by bottom trawl at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, in summer (June\July\August) of 1989 through 1992. Three trawling efforts were conducted during each survey.

	Year			
Species	1989	1990	1991	1992
Whitebait smelt	2,963	7,905	194	5,553
Pacific tomcod	212	158	280	177
Pacific sanddab	326	147	403	697
Butter sole	271	268	104	410
English sole	184	214	1,471	544
Northern crangon	422	294	122	38
Dungeness crab	25	6	2	799
Miscellaneous taxa	415	615	348	899
Total	4,820	9,606	2,924	9,118

Table 9.--Summary of fish and large epibenthic invertebrate catches for three trawling stations at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, in summer (June\July\August) of 1989 and 1992. The North and South stations were outside of ODMDS F (Figure 2).

Station [depth (m)]	Number of taxa	Total number captured	Number/ha	Wt.(g)/ha	H	E
			June 1989			
North (41.1)	21	441	1,904	84,518	3.28	0.75
ODMDS F (41.1)	19	718	1,683	147,694	3.04	0.72
South (41.1)	15	1,310	10,872	231,120	1.26	0.32
			June 1990			
North (37.8)	24	992	3,796	103,950	3.59	0.78
ODMDS F (36.9)	26	10,112	22,743	215,771	0.54	0.11
South (41.8)	11	1,372	2,280	39,785	0.91	0.26
			August 1991			
North (38.4)	24	625	3,262	317,588	3.05	0.67
ODMDS F (38.1)	15	368	1,494	77,706	2.31	0.59
South (33.5)	14	749	4,015	297,459	1.69	0.44
			July 1992			
North (33.2)	27	1338	6,878	328,445	2.94	0.62
ODMDS F (37.8)	20	3,530	16,572	344,601	1.03	0.24
South (40.2)	16	904	3,904	816,851	2.12	0.53

trawl catch of the three stations (Table 9). Community structure indices H and E were highest at the North station, indicating high number of species and relatively equal proportional abundances among the species. H and E were lower at the ODMDS F station because of fewer species and the dominance of a couple of species (Appendix C Table C-5). In 1992, the trawl at the south station was unusually high in the number of Dungeness crab captured (453), 1,957/ha.

Excluding whitebait smelt from the trawl catches analysis (a schooling pelagic fish that often resides near the bottom) indicates that overall highest demersal fish and shellfish densities were similar in 1989 and 1990 (1,855/ha and 1,702/ha, respectively)and increased in 1991 (2,730/ha) and 1992 (3,564/ha). The increase in demersal fish and shellfish densities corresponds with the observed overall increase in benthic invertebrate densities from 1989 to 1992.

Most of the dominant fish and shellfish species captured at and adjacent to ODMDS F in 1989 through 1992 were represented by multiple size classes, with the total length of most fishes >75 mm. All northern crangon were ≤70 mm long. With the exception of Pacific sanddab, the size ranges for individual species were similar for all surveys.

Benthic Invertebrates, Fishes and Large Epibenthic Invertebrates Discussion

Previous benthic surveys off the Oregon/Washington coast conducted at similar depths have found comparable species compositions (Lie and Kisker 1970; Richardson et al. 1977; Hancock et al. 1984; Emmett et al. 1987; Marine Taxonomic Services 1990; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1988, 1991a, 1991b; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1992). In particular, our study area closely resembles the shallow water sand-bottom community identified by Lie and Kisker (1970) using factor analysis. The shallow water sand-bottom community is easily identified from other deep-water offshore benthic communities by having less than 10% mud in the sediments. All our stations met this criteria prior to dredged material disposal.

Benthic invertebrate densities during 1989, 1990, and 1991 generally resemble previous benthic surveys off the Columbia River (Richardson et al. 1977). However, the high benthic invertebrate community observed in 1992 are unusual with respect to densities and species compositions. Other Northwest nearshore ocean areas where high benthic invertebrate densities have been reported include off Tillamook Bay, Oregon (Emmett and Hinton 1992) and off Willapa Bay, Washington (Miller et al. 1988). At these two areas the polychaete, *Owenia fusiformis*, was a dominant species. Emmett and Hinton (1992) attributed the high densities of benthic invertebrates at these nearshore areas to the "outwelling" (Odem 1980) of large amounts of organic material from adjacent estuaries. At ODMDS F the polychaete, *Spiochaetopterus costarum*, which did not occur in 1989, became the dominant organism in 1992. Besides *S. costarum*, densities of most taxa increased dramatically from 1989 to 1992. The reason for the large increase in benthic invertebrate densities is unclear. The Columbia River is physically and biologically much different from Tillamook and Willapa Bays and probably does not export large amounts of organic material. For example, the Columbia River estuary has very little eelgrass (*Zostera* spp.), an abundant macrophyte in Tillamook and Willapa Bays that may contribute substantial amounts of organic material to these systems.

Apparently, oceanographic conditions from 1989 to 1992 were favorable for the ODMDS F benthic invertebrate community, with conditions between our 1991 and 1992 benthic surveys particularly positive for recruitment of *Spiochaetopterus costarum*. Many of the dominant polychaetes found in our study are surface deposit feeders (*Spiochaetopterus costarum, Spiophanes bombyx, Spiophanes berkeleyorum, Chaetozone spinosa* and *Magelona sacculata*) (Fauchald and Jumars 1979). We believe that oceanographic conditions (particularly from 1991 to 1992) must have either 1) increased the amount of food available to the benthic invertebrate community or 2) been relatively stable (i.e., few strong storms or currents), permitting the successful recruitment and growth of many benthic invertebrate species.

Preliminary information indicates that downwelling (the opposite of upwelling) off the Oregon coast was very intense during the winter of 1991/1992 (unpublished data). This was also a very mild winter with only minor storms. Since downwelling and wind stress can have major effects on benthic invertebrate communities (Wulff and Field 1983; Emerson 1989), the high benthic invertebrates densities in July 1992 may simply reflect these oceanographic conditions. Only long-term benthic invertebrate data sets will enable scientists to identify how changing ocean conditions effect benthic invertebrate communities. These type of data sets presently do not exist for areas off the Oregon/Washington coast. This study shows that long-term benthic data would be extremely valuable when discerning natural variations.

Whatever the causative factor for the high benthic invertebrate densities in 1992, our data indicate the disposal of fine-grained dredge material had only a very localized effect on the benthic invertebrate community and was over shadowed by large annual variations in benthic invertebrate species compositions and densities.

Previous research trawling off the Columbia River found similar fish and shellfish taxa and diversity (Durkin and Lipovsky 1977). Conducting only three trawls at ODMDS F per year did not permit rigorous statistical testing of biological changes in the demersal fish and shellfish community as a result of dredge material disposal. However, these efforts did permit us to identify the demersal species composition and densities which utilizes this area and would have been affected by dredged material disposal. No changes or differences in species composition and densities between the disposal site and trawls north and south of the disposal site could be attributed to dredge-material disposal. Excluding whitebait smelt from the trawl data analysis indicates that overall demersal fish and shellfish densities increased from 1989 to 1992. This is not surprising since benthic invertebrate densities (the food source of most of these species) (Durkin and Lipovsky 1977) also increased significantly during this period.

OVERALL SURVEY SUMMARY

Discussion

The effect of dredged material disposal at ODMDS F can best be evaluated by combining information from several sources onto individual plots. By plotting bathymetry and percent fines (Figures 14 through 17) or benthic invertebrate PCA Station Groups and percent fines (Figures 18 through 21) comparative evaluation of cause and effect can be achieved.

In July 1989 (pre-disposal), sediment structure at ODMDS F was relatively uniform, with no stations having percent fines greater than 2.5% (Figure 14). However, the PCA of the benthic invertebrate community did not reflect this uniformity, as exhibited by the four stations that did not associate with any other stations (Figure 18).

In March 1990 (post-disposal), the dredged material placed at ODMDS F was clearly evident by the distribution of percent fines and bathymetric changes (Figure 14). Percent fines and other physical characteristics (Appendix B Figures B-1 through B-15) of the dredged material are clearly more sensitive for determining the presence of dredged material over bathymetric changes.

In June 1990 (post-disposal), the dredged material placed at ODMDS F was still evident by the distribution of percent fines and bathymetric changes (Figure 15). While the foot print for both decreased, the decrease in percent fines was more dramatic. As stated previously, sediment analysis revealed that stations with sediments having >2.5% fines indicated alteration by dredged material disposal. Three stations (E3, C3 and C5) had percent fines greater than 20%. These three stations, together with stations B2 and A4 were classified as a group using PCA of benthic invertebrate taxa densities (Figure 19). Station B2 was probably associated with the other three stations because it had recently been affected (sediments had a high percentage of fines in March 1990, Figure 14). It is unclear why Station A4 (a station whose sediments had not been affected) had a similar benthic invertebrate structure as the other four stations. At least four stations were affected by dredge



Figure 14.--Percent fines (March 1, 1990) and bathymetric diffrence plot (December 11, 1989 vs. May 22, 1989) at ODMDS F, off the mouth of the Columbia River.



Figure 15.--Percent fines (June 27, 1990) and bathymetric diffrence plot (July 19, 1990 vs. May 22, 1989) at ODMDS F, off the mouth of the Columbia River.



Figure 16.--Percent fines (July 11, 1991) and bathymetric diffrence plots (June 4, 1991 vs. May 22, 1989) at ODMDS F, off the mouth of the Columbia River.



Figure 17.--Percent fines (July 1, 1992) and bathymetric diffrence plot (June 22, 1992 vs. May 22, 1998) at ODMDS F, off the mouth of the Columbia River.



Figure 18.--Percent fines and benthic invertebrate PCA groups adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, July 1989.



Figure 19.--Percent fines and benthic invertebrate PCA groups adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, June 1990



Figure 20.--Percent fines and benthic invertebrate PCA groups adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, July 1991.



Figure 21.--Percent fines and benthic invertebrate PCA groups adjacent to Site F, offshore from the Columbia River, July 1992.

material disposal in 1989, which probably buried the native fauna. Nevertheless, benthic invertebrate recruitment was so successful that mean benthic invertebrate densities for these stations in June 1990 was higher than invertebrate densities observed in July 1989. Species composition of the benthic invertebrate community of these stations also changed. However, nearly all stations had significant changes in their species composition from 1989-1990, apparently a result of wide spread differential recruitment of various species of benthic invertebrates.

Bathymeteric data from July 1990 revealed that D4 (the center of ODMDS F) contained dredge material, but this was not reflected in the sediment structure data (Figure 15). Evidently a layer of ambient material (sand low in silt/clay) overlayed the fine-grained dredge material by June 1990. Station D4 appeared to lie at the edge of the deposited dredged material and had relative unstable sediment structure (i.e., had changing sediment characteristics between surveys). This appeared to be reflected in the low benthic invertebrate densities (Figure 11) and the kind of species found at this station. The three top species, the polychaete, *Glycinde armigera,* mollusc, *Olivella pycna*, and amphipod, *Repoxynius daboius*, are species which can move relatively quickly compared to other benthic invertebrate taxa, and may be early colonizers at this station.

By July 1991, the sediment analysis revealed only benthic invertebrate Stations E3, C3, and D4 had sediments with percent fines above ambient levels (Figures 16 and 20). Not surprisingly, these three stations were classified as a station grouping from the PCA of benthic invertebrate taxa densities. However, while these stations differed from other stations in benthic invertebrate taxa, they were similar in overall benthic invertebrate densities.

By July 1992, only two benthic invertebrate stations (D1 and F2), located north of the disposal site, had sediments with percent fines above ambient levels (Figures 17 and 21). Here the high percentage of fines may not be related to the ODMDS F dredge material. The bathymetric data shows no positive change in relief at Stations D1 and F2 that could account for the sediment change from 1991 to 1992. We speculate that either surface sediments were scoured away to reveal historic sediments or a thin layer of fine sediments was recently deposited. Similar fine grained material was noted at Station G1 in 1991 not associated with the disposal of the Tongue Point dredged material. In addition, fine grained material was collected in two of four consecutive samples collected at a single station east of ODMDS B during a separate study in 1992. Apparently small patches of fine grained material exist offshore of the mouth of the Columbia River in areas normally low in fines (<1%). The origin of these patches is unknown at this time but cannot be directly associated with any known dredge material disposal event. Sediment analysis did not reveal the high percentage of fines that identify the dredged material mound at ODMDS F (Figure 17). Evidently ambient sediments completely covered the dredged material mound.

The July 1992 PCA of the benthic invertebrate data revealed no station grouping that could be attributed to the presence of dredged material (Figures 13 and 21). By July 1992 the benthic invertebrate community in the study area was a consequence of factors other than the 1989 dredged material disposal. There was wide-spread large scale recruitment of the polychaetes, *Spiochaetopeterus costarum*, *Spiophanes berkelorum* and *S. bombyx* whose abundance primarily determined PCA Station Grouping (Figure 21).

Conclusions

No significant contamination, toxicity or bioaccumulation impacts due to dredging and disposal activities could be documented at either Tongue Point or ODMDS F.

The dredged material deposited at ODMDS F consisted of sediments finer than the ambient material, and formed a relatively stable and recognizable mound. Bathymetry surveys of the dredged material mounds indicates its area remained relatively unchanged while the maximum height decreased from 12 feet to 10 feet 30 months after disposal. The coarser ambient material covered the finer grained Tongue Point dredged material, further stabilizing the mound.

Dredged material disposal affected sediment characteristics at ODMDS F but this was not clearly reflected in the benthic invertebrate community structure. Recolonization of the dredged material mound by benthic invertebrates was very rapid. Six months (June 1990) after the cessation of dredged material disposal (December 1989) benthic invertebrate densities were higher at all stations except one when compared to the previous year. Only Station D4 (the center of ODMDS F) showed a decline in benthic invertebrate density six months after dredged material disposal. However, sediment characterization of the Station D4 sediments indicated it had reverted to ambient conditions by June 1990.

The presence of dredged material did not reduce benthic invertebrate densities but altered the benthic community structure compared to the surrounding stations. In 1991, three stations (E3, C3 and D4), whose sediments consisted of dredged material, as shown by the large percentage of fine sediment, had different major benthic invertebrate taxa compared to stations unaffected by dredged material disposal. However, E3 and C3 had the two highest benthic invertebrate densities of the 13 benthic invertebrate stations analyzed. All benthic invertebrate stations showed changes in community structure form year to year.

Benthic invertebrate densities increased significantly (an order of magnitude) in the study area from 1989 to 1992. This appears to reflect long-term annual variation and not a result of dredged material disposal. The large annual variations of benthic invertebrate communities (changes in species composition and densities) off the mouth of the Columbia River over shadowed the ecological effect of dredged material disposal at ODMDS F. Long-term benthic invertebrate data sets are needed to provide perspective on the ecology of nearshore benthic communities and the effect of dredged material disposal.

The benthic invertebrate community off the mouth of the Columbia River is very dynamic and was only slightly affected by dredged material disposal. Although the dredged material deposited at ODMDS F was unlike ambient material, recolonization of this material was rapid. The recolonization species appeared to come from adjacent areas, an indication that many of these benthic species can tolerate a wide range of sediment characteristics.

Acknowledgements

The Tongue Point Monitoring Program was funded for the first two years by Tongue Point, Oregon Navigation Improvements construction funds. The following two years were funded through the USACE, Portland District's O&M budget. We thank the USACE, North Pacific Division Material Testing Laboratory for the sediment physical and chemical analyses. We would also like to thank the USACE, Portland District Waterways Maintenance Section for the hydrosurveys. Special thanks go to Jim Britton, Lawrence Davis, Maurice Laird, and David Miller for their assistance in data collection.

Mark D. Siipola
CENPP-PE-HR
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Portland District
P.O. Box 2946
Portland, Oregon 98208-2946
Phone: (503) 326-6463

Mark D. Siipola received a B. S. Degree in Oceanography (Geological) from California State University, Humboldt in 1972 and a M. S. Degree in Marine Science (Coastal Engineering) from North Carolina State University in 1977. He has worked for the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers since February 1978. His experience with dredging include work on his masters thesis; beach nourishment, harbor development, flood control (St. Helens) projects; as well as O&M dredging. Since 1988 he has work in the USACE, Portland District's Planning and most recently Planning/Engineering Division where he is responsible for the evaluation and monitoring of ocean dredged material disposal sites (ODMDS) used by the Portland District. This includes the evaluation of interim or adjusted ODMDSs leading to final site designation by EPA and the monitoring of existing sites to assure that dredged material disposal does not "adversely effect the environment" as required by the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1977. As the Ocean Dumping Coordinator for the Portland District he has designed and administered contracts for the collection of data related to ODMDS evaluation and monitoring as well as participated in field sample collection and evaluation.

Robert Emmett
Fishery Biologist (Research)
Pt. Adams Biological field Station
National Marine Fisheries Service
P.O. Box 155
Hammond, Oregon 97121
Phone: (503)861-1818

EDUCATION

B.S. University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 1977
M.S. University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 1982

MEMBERSHIPS
Pacific Estuarine Research Society
Northwest Scientific Association

SELECTED PUBLICATIONS

Emmett, R.L., and J.T. Durkin.
1985. The Columbia River estuary; an important nursery area for Dungeness crab. Mar. Fish. Rev. 47(3):21-25.

Emmett, R.L., G.T. McCabe, Jr., and W.D. Muir.
1990. Effects of the 1980 Mount St. Helens eruption on Columbia River estuarine fishes: Implications for dredging in Northwest estuaries. In C.A. Simenstad (editor), Effects of dredging on anadromous Pacific coast fishes, p. 74-91. Wash. Sea Grant, Univ. Wash, Seattle, WA. (WSG-WO 90-1)

Emmett, R.L., D. Miller, and T. Blahm.
1986. Food of juvenile chinook, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, and coho, O. kisutch, salmon in the coastal waters of Oregon and Washington, May-June, July, and August-September 1980. Cal. Fish and Game. 72(1):38-46.

Emmett, R.L.,S.L. Stone, S.A. Hinton, and M.E. Monaco.
1991. Distribution and abundance of fishes and invertebrates in west coast estuaries, Vol. II: Species life histories summaries. ELMR Rep. No.8, NOAA/NOS Strategic Environmental Assessments Division, Rockville, MD. p.329.

Emmett, R.L., M.E. Monaco, D.M. Nelson, and S.A. Hinton.
1990. Distribution and abundance of fishes and invertebrates in west coast estuaries, Vol. I: Data summaries. ELMR Rep. No.8, NOAA/NOS, Rockville, MD. p. 240.

Emmett, R.L., M.E. Monaco, and T.A. Lowery.
1992. Assemblages of US west coast estuaries based on the distribution of fishes. J. Biogeography 19:251-267

Emmett, R.L., G.T. McCabe, Jr., W.D. Muir,and J.T. Durkin.
1983. Interrelationships between juvenile salmonids and nonsalmonid fish in the Columbia River estuary. fish. Bull., U.S. 81(4):815-826.

Susan A Hinton
Biological Science Laboratory Technician (Fish)
Point Adams Biological Field Station
National Marine Fisheries Service
P.O. Box 155
Hammond, OR 97121-0155

EDUCATION:

A.S. Oceanographic Technology (5184)
Clatsop Community College

SELECTED PUBLICATIONS and REPORTS:

McCabe, G. T., Jr., S. A. Hinton and R. L. Emmett
In press. Distribution, abundance, and community structure of benthic invertebrates in channel areas of the lower Columbia River. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.

McCabe, G. T., Jr., R. L. Emmett and S. A. Hinton
In press. Feeding ecology of juvenile white sturgeon in the lower Columbia River. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.

Monaco, M. E., D. M. Nelson, R. L. Emmett, and S. A. Hinton.
1990. Distribution and abundance of fishes and invertebrates in west coast estuaries, Volume I: data summaries. ELMR Rep. No. 4. Strategic Assessment Branch, NOS/NOAA, Rockville MD, 240p.

Emmett, R. L., S. L. Stone, S. A. Hinton, and M. E. Monaco.
1991. Distribution and abundance of fishes and invertebrates in west coast estuaries, Volume II: species life history summaries. ELMR Rep. No. 8. NOAA/NOS Strategic Environmental Assessments Division, Rockville, MD, 329 p.

Hinton, S. A., G. T. McCabe, Jr., and R. L. Emmett.
1990. Fishes, benthic invertebrates, and sediment characteristics in intertidal and subtidal habitats at five areas in the Columbia River estuary. Final Rep. to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District, Portland, OR. 92 p. plus appendices.

Hinton, S. A., R. L. Emmett, G. T. McCabe, Jr.
1992. Fishes, shrimp, benthic invertebrates, and sediment characteristics in intertidal and subtidal habitats at Rice Island and Miller Sands, Columbia River estuary, 1991. Final Rep. to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District, Portland, OR. 43 p. plus appendices.

Hinton, S. A. and R. L. Emmett
1993. Demersal fishes, benthic and epibenthic invertebrates, and sediment characteristics at and adjacent to offshore disposal site F, Coos Bay, Oregon, April 1992. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District, Portland, OR. 17 p. plus appendices.

McCabe G. T., Jr., S. A. Hinton, R. L. Emmett
1993. In-water restoration between Miller Sands and Pillar Rock Island, Columbia River: biological surveys, 1992. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District, Portland, OR. 23 p. plus appendices.

LITERATURE CITED

Battelle Pacific Northwest Marine Laboratory. 1988. Confirmatory sediment analyses and solid phase bioassays of sediment from the Columbia River, Tongue Point, Oregon. Prepared for the USACE, Portland District, Contract No. DE-AC06-76RLO-1830. 58 pp.

Borgeld, J.C., Creager, J.S., Walter, S.R., and Roy, E.H., 1978. A geological investigation of the sedimentary environment at Sites E, G, and H near the mouth of the Columbia River. Prepared for the USACE, Portland District Contract No. DACW57-77-C-0035. Unpaged.

Dames and Moore. 1984. Geotechnical investigation, proposed Tongue Point industrial developement near Astoria, Oregon. Prepared for the State of Oregon, Division of State Lands, 47 pp.

Durkin, J. T., and S. J. Lipovsky, 1977. Aquatic disposal field investigations Columbia River disposal site, Oregon; Appendix E: Demersal fish and decapod shellfish studies. Tech. Rep. D-77-30, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Exp. Sta., Vicksburg, MS. 184 p.

Emerson, C. W., 1989. Wind stress limitation of benthic secondary production in shallow, soft-sediment communities. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 53:65-77.

Emmett, R. L., T. C. Coley, G. T. McCabe, Jr., and R. J. McConnell, 1987. Demersal fishes and benthic invertebrates at four interim dredge disposal sites off the Oregon coast. Unpubl. rep., Northwest Fish. Cent., Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., NOAA, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112 (Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-85- F-0210). 69 p. plus appendices.

Emmett, R. L., and S. A. Hinton, 1992. Benthic and epibentic invertebrates, demersal fishes, and sediment structure off Tillamook Bay, Oregon, September 1990, with comparisons to previous surveys. Unpubl. rep., Northwest Fish. Cent., Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., NOAA, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112 (Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract E9690002). 53 p. plus appendices.

Enviro Science, Inc. 1983. Enviromnental assessment for 15-acre fill and dredging at Tongue Point. Prepared for the State of Oregon, Division of State Lands. 62 pp.

Fauchald, K., and P. A. Jumars, 1979. The diet of worms: a study of polychaete feeding guilds. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev. 17:193-284.

Fletcher, W. 1988. Monitoring of Site H, Coos Bay, Oregon 1985 to 1987. USACE, Portland District, Oregon. 12 pp.

Hancock, D. R., P. O. Nelson, C. K. Sollitt, and K. J. Williamson, 1984. Coos Bay offshore disposal site investigation interim report, Phase I, February 1979 - March 1980. Unpubl. rep., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR (Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-79-C0040).

Krebs, C. J. 1978. Ecology: the experimental analysis of distribution and abundance. Harper and Row. New York, NY. 678 p.

Lie, ulf, and D. S. Kisker, 1970. Species composition and structure of benthic infauna communities off the coast of Washington. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 27: 2273-2285.

Marine Taxonomic Services, 1990. Yaquina Bay ocean dredged material disposal site benthic infauna evaluation. Unpubl. rep., Marine Taxonomic Services, 5125 N.W. Crescent Valley Dr., Corvallis, OR 97330 (Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-90-M-0098) 93 p.

McCabe, G. T., Jr., R. L. Emmett, T. C. Coley, and R. J. McConnell, 1986. Distribution, abundance, and size-class structure of Dungeness crabs in the Columbia River estuary. Unpubl. rep., Northwest Fish. Cent., Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., NOAA, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112 (Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-84-F-0178). 57 p.

Miller, D. R., R. L. Emmett, and R. J. McConnell, 1988. Benthic invertebrates and demersal fishes at an interim dredge-disposal site off Willapa Bay, Washington. Unpubl. rep., Northwest Fish. Cent., Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., NOAA, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112 (Report to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Contract DW 13931463-01-0). 20 p. plus appendices.

Odum, E. P, 1980. The status of three ecosystem-level hypotheses regarding saltmarsh estuaries: tidal subsidy, outwelling and detritus-based food chains. pp. 485-495, In Kennedy, V. (editor), Estuarine Perspectives. Academic Press, New York.

Pequegnat, W. E., L. H. Pequegnat, P. Wilkinson, J. S. Young, and S. L. Kiessger. 1981. Procedural guide for designation surveys of ocean dredged material disposal sites. Tech. Rep. EL-81-1 to Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army, Washington D.C. 20314. 268 p. plus Appendices.

Richardson, M. D., A. G. Carey, and W. A. Colgate. 1977. Aquatic disposal field investigations Columbia River disposal site, Oregon. Appendix C: The effects of dredged material disposal on benthic assemblages. Rep. to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Expt. Station, Vicksburg, MS. 412 p.

Sternberg, W.R., Creager, J.S., Glassley, W., Johnson, J., 1977. Aquatic disposal field investigations Columbia River disposal site, Oregon. Appendix A: Investigation of the hydraulic regime and physical nature of bottom sedimentation. Final Report, December 1977. Vicksberg: USACE Waterways Experiment Station. 327 pp.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District. 1987. Columbia River: Evaluation of new ocean dredged material disposal areas. In: Columbia River coal export channel technical report, Vol. III, Environmental Studies. USACE, Portland District. 33 pp. w/ 6 appendices.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District. 1989. Final detailed project report and environmental assessment, Tongue Point, Oregon Navigation Improvements. Prepared by the USACE, Portland District. 76 pp. w/ 9 appendices.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1992. Siuslaw ocean dredged material disposal site evaluation. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland, OR. 41 p. plus appendices.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1988. Draft environmental impact statement; Coquille ocean dredged material disposal site (ODMDS) designation. U.S. EPA, 1200 Sixth Ave., WD-138, Seattle, WA 98405, 39 p. plus appendices.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991a. Draft environmental impact statement; Rogue ocean dredged material disposal site (ODMDS) designation. U.S. EPA, 1200 Sixth Ave., WD-138, Seattle, WA 98405, 48 p. plus appendices.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991b. Final environmental impact statement; Chetco ocean dredged material disposal site (ODMDS) designation. U.S. EPA, 1200 Sixth Ave., WD-138, Seattle, WA 98405, 54 p. plus appendices.

U.S. Geological Survey. 1983. Analyses of elutriates, native water, and bottom material in selected rivers and estuaries in Western oregon and Washington. Prepared for the USACE, Portland District, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-923, 147 pp.

U.S. Geological Survey. 1984. Analyses of elutriates, native water, and bottom material from the Chetco, Rogue, and Columbia Rivers in Western Oregon. Prepared for the USACE, Portland District, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-133, 57 pp.

U.S. Geological Survey. 1989. The vertical distribution of selected trace metals and organic compounds in bottom material of the proposed lower Columbia River export channel, Oregon. Prepared for the USACE, Portland District, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 88-4099. 40 pp.

Wilkinson, L., 1989. SYSTAT: the system for statistics. SYSTAT, Inc. Evanston, IL.638 p.

Wulff, F. V., and J. G. Field, 1983. Importance of different trophic pathways in a nearshore benthic community under upwelling and downwelling conditions. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 12:217-228.

APPENDIX A

TOUNGUE POINT MONITORING PROGRAM

TONGUE POINT MONITORING PROGRAM *

Dredging and consequent ocean disposal of sediments from the Tongue Point, Oregon Navigation Improvement project will involve large quantities of material. Monitoring of the disposal site during and after construction of the project will be appropriate and is supported by regulatory guidance (40 CFR 228), recent USACE/USEPA MOU's on disposal site management (national MOU signed July 1987, NW regional MOU signed October 1988) and coordination on this project with USEPA Region X. Dredge site monitoring must be conducted to meet the requirements of the Tongue Point Supplemental Feeding Program for bald eagles and future maintenance dredging sediment evaluation requirements. The monitoring program should focus on determining bathymetric, sediment, contaminant and benthic community changes in and adjacent to the ODMDS and sediment and contaminant changes in and around the dredge site. (see ATTACHMENT #1 & 2)

Material dredged during initial construction and maintenance of the Tongue Point navigation channel and turning basin shall be deposited at Ocean Dredge Material Disposal Site (ODMDS) F, which is an USEPA designated disposal site. Site F received final site designation on August 21, 1986. Located approximately 5 nautical miles off the mouth of the Columbia River, the centroid coordinates for site F are 46 deg. -12' -00" N and 124 deg. -09' -00" W. Site F is square in shape with side lengths of 1800 feet and depths of 125 to 140 feet. The last use of this site was in 1976 in which approximately 53,000 cy of material was deposited.

ODMDS MONITORING

Disposal of 1.2 million cubic yards (mcy) of fine sediment over 3 years from upper Coos Bay at ODMDS H off Coos Bay (depth 165-200 feet) has resulted in substantial elevations of fines and organics over an area 5 times the size of the site. These changes have resulted in shifts in benthic communities that could impact local crab and bottom fisheries of commercial importance. A similar and more pronounced condition is anticipated at site F off the MCR, since material quantity will be much greater (2.0-2.8 mcy), disposal rate higher (total quantity will be deposited in 3-4 months) and water shallower (depth 125-140 feet), than in the Coos Bay case. Assuming even spread of material throughout the site, a sandy silt sediment layer 16-19 feet thick will be deposited over the ambient medium sands at the site. This will cause complete smothering of organisms throughout the site at the time of deposition. Much of this material will disperse off the site, particularly in winter storms, and is anticipated to alter benthic habitats in adjacent areas. Contaminants in the dredged sediments may bioaccumulate in organisms in settling areas.

* This is a copy of the original "Tongue Point Monitoring Program" and is presented as originally written in 1989.

The following are components of the anticipated ODMDS Monitoring Program:

1. Pre-construction ODMDS baseline survey (June 1989);

    bathymetry profiles (1 n.m. sq. area)

    physical sediment survey (29 stations)

    contaminant survey (13 stations)

    benthic infauna survey (13 stations, 5 replicates)

    demersal fish/invertebrates survey (3 trawls)

2. During construction disposal site survey (September 1989):

    bathymetry profiles (1 n.m. sq. area)

3. Post-construction disposal site survey (November 1989):

    bathymetry profiles (1 n.m. sq. area)

    physical sediment survey (29 stations)

    contaminant survey (13 stations)

4. Post-construction disposal site survey (July 1990):

    Similar to survey 1, Depending on monitoring results to date.

5. Maintenance disposal site survey (July 1991):

    Similar to survey 1, Depending on monitoring results to date.

6. Maintenance disposal site survey (July 1992):

    Similar to survey 1, Depending on monitoring results to date.

DREDGE SITE MONITORING

The Tongue Point dredge site sediments were the subject of three evaluations related to the present Tongue Point Navigation Improvement project (Enviro Sciences, 1987, Battelle Pacific NW Laboratory, 1988 and Ardl Laboratory, 1988). These constitute the dredge site baseline survey. This monitoring plan therefore covers post-construction as well as pre- and post-maintenance dredge site surveys.

The goal of this plan is to evaluate and monitor the effect on surface sediments from material resuspended by dredging, prop-wash or sloughing at the project dredge site. Sampling sites will include, in addition to the eight sites located within the project area four sites corresponding to key eagle foraging areas. These four include the Mill Creek embayment, South Tongue Point across from John Day Point, Lois Island marsh and Twilight Creek swamp. Surface samples will be collected for physical and chemical analyses. The sample sites associated with eagle foraging areas are a required element of the formal consultation process for threatened and endangered species, under the auspices of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended.

The following are components of the anticipated dredge site monitoring program:

1. Pre-construction dredge site baseline survey was conducted as part of the "Detailed Project Report and Environmental Assessment".

2. Post-construction dredge site survey (1 week after completion of dredging, 1989)

   physical sediment (12 stations, some samples may be composited)

   chemical sediment (12 stations, some samples may be composited)

3. Post-construction dredge site survey (July 1990):

   similar to survey 2

4. Pre-maintenance dredging site survey (July 1991):

   similar to survey 2

5. Pre-maintenance dredging site survey (July 1992):

   similar to survey 2

Additional ODMDS monitoring, dredge site monitoring or bioassays/bioaccumulation studies may be required depending upon results of the outlined program.

SAMPLING METHODS

Surveys will utilize the following methods:

Benthic infauna: Samples will be collected with a 0.096 m-sq. Gray-O"Hara box corer. Six box core samples will be collected at each station. Five of the samples will be for benthic macroinfauna and the sixth will be subsampled for physical and/or chemical analyses. Infauna samples will be passed through a 0.5 mm sieve with residue material, containing the infauna, preserved in a buffered solution of 5% formaldehyde in seawater. The protein stain Rose Bengal may be used on specimens if desired. Specimens will be sorted in the lab and identified to species if possible, otherwise to the lowest identifiable taxon. Results will be reported as number and abundance, per species and total, for each sample and each station. Community structure, diversity and evenness indices will be calculated. An evaluation will be made of the ecological significance of communities and their dominant species as trophic supports for fish and shellfish and any other significant ecologic functions.

Demersal fish/invertebrates: Demersal fauna will be collected with an 8-meter semi-balloon shrimp trawl, with 38 mm stretched mesh size and a finer cod-end mesh to retain smaller specimens. The trawl tow will be 10 minutes in duration and will be made on a track parallel to depth contours. Collected organisms will be identified to species, counted and lengths taken on a representative number. Diversity, evenness and richness indices will be calculated. Chemical analysis of tissue for bioaccumulation of contaminants will also be preformed on representative subsamples. These individuals will be frozen before transport to the laboratory.

Physical sediment analysis: Sediment samples will be analyzed for grain size using sieve/hydrometer soils analysis methods. Volatile solids (organic content) will be determined by sample ignition at 600 deg. C. or 1 hour. Oil and Grease analysis using gravimetric methods will be completed for the same samples. Work will be performed by the North Pacific Division Materials Laboratory in Troutdale, Oregon.

Chemical sediment analysis: The following constituents will be measured in samples submitted for chemical analysis. Some compositing of samples may occur depending on field observations. Also, more samples will be analyzed for TOC and heavy metals than for organic contaminants.

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)

Heavy metals: As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb and Zn

Pesticides/PCBs

Base/Neutral extractible PAHs

Petroleum hydrocarbons

Dissolved sulfides and Ammonia



Attachment I: Ocean Dredge Material Disposal Site "F" Sample Locations



SAMPLE NO.

1-8 Tongue Point Dredge Site
9 Mill Creek Embayment
10 South Tongue Point
11 Lois Island East Marsh
12 Twilight Swamp



Attachment 2: Dredging site sample locations.

APPENDIX B

SEDIMENT PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL DATA

TONGUE POINT DREDGE SITE AND

OCEAN DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITE F

List of Tables

Table No.		Page No.
B-1	Tongue Point Sediment Physical Data	B-1
B-2	Tongue Point Sediment Metal Data	B-2
B-3	Tongue Point Sediment Pesticides/PCB Data	B-3
B-4	Tongue Point Sediment PAH Data	B-4
B-5	Loran-C Coordinates for ODMDS F Sample Stations	B-5
B-6	ODMDS F Sediment Physical Data	B-6
B-7	ODMDS F Sediment Metal Data	B-11
B-8	ODMDS F Sediment Pesticides/PCB Data	B-12
B-9	ODMDS F Sediment PAH Data	B-13

List of Figures

Figure No. Page No.

B-1 ODMDS F Percent Fines July 10, 1989 . . . . B-14

B-2 ODMDS F Percent Fines March 1, 1990 . . . . B-15

B-3 ODMDS F Percent Fines June 27, 1990 . . . . B-16

B-4 ODMDS F Percent Fines July 11, 1991 . . . . B-17

B-5 ODMDS F Percent Fines July 1, 1992 . . . . B-18

B-6 ODMDS F Median Grain Size July 10, 1989 . . . . B-19

B-7 ODMDS F Median Grain Size March 1, 1990 . . . . B-20

B-8 ODMDS F Median Grain Size June 27, 1990 . . . . B-21

B-9 ODMDS F Median Grain Size July 11, 1991 . . . . B-22

B-10 ODMDS F Median Grain Size July 1, 1992 . . . . B-23

B-11 ODMDS F Volatile Solids July 10, 1989 . . . . B-24

B-12 ODMDS F Volatile Solids March 1, 1990 . . . . B-25

B-13 ODMDS F Volatile Solids June 27, 1990 . . . . B-26

B-14 ODMDS F Volatile Solids July 11, 1991 . . . . B-27

B-15 ODMDS F Volatile Solids July 1, 1992 . . . . B-28

Abrivations

CB	Cathlamet Bay (from USGS, 1984)
C	Composit (from from Enviro, 1983)
TP	Tongue Point sample
LI	Lois Island sample
s	Surface sample
Vf	Very fine sand
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
SF	Site F sample
mm	millimeter
ppm	parts per million
ppb	parts per billion

Appendix B Table B-1.--Tongue Point Sediment Physical Data.

Sediment Quality - Physical Properties												
Location	Station	Date	Grain Size		Grain Size Distribution				Oil	Nh4	TOC	Volatile Solids
			Mean mm	Median mm	Sand % finer	Vf Sand % finer	Silt % finer	Clay %	ppm	ppm	mg/g	% by wgt
Tongue Point	CB-6a	8/1/84			100.00	52.00	13.00	2.00			2.20	
Tongue Point	CB-6a	8/1/84			98.00	56.00	35.00	8.00				
Tongue Point	CB-6b	8/1/84			98.00	32.00	13.00	2.00			10.60	
Tongue Point	C-1/2	6/3/87			100.00	59.10	46.00	13.10	1390.00	22.00	8.26	1.87
Tongue Point	C-3/4/5	6/3/87			100.00	81.13	58.33	12.80	1480.00	20.80	11.10	3.30
Tongue Point	C-6/7	6/3/87			100.00	100.00	64.50	15.30	1365.00	17.80	11.05	1.58
Tongue Point	C-3/4/5	8/23/88			100.00	80.14	58.00	12.87	53.00	105.00	0.93	
Tongue Point	C-6/7	8/23/88			100.00	70.78	50.23	10.56	58.00	131.00	0.80	
Tongue Point	TP-9	9/13/88	0.050	0.158	91.40	32.00	6.20	3.00			1.00	1.10
Tongue Point	TP-10	9/13/88	0.148	0.149	95.30	37.60	6.90	1.00			1.40	1.40
Tongue Point	TP-11	9/13/88	0.157	0.044	99.70	97.70	71.70	1.00			4.60	1.10
Tongue Point	TP-12	9/13/88	0.045	0.029	99.80	99.50	96.10	4.00			5.80	4.00
Tongue Point	LI-1/2	7/25/89	0.049	0.017	99.80	99.35	91.90	12.85	359.00		1.23	8.20
Tongue Point	LI-3/4	7/25/89	0.049	0.045	99.80	92.90	65.90	9.05	<10.00		0.89	4.15
Tongue Point	LI-1/2	12/18/89	0.029	0.024	99.75	99.50	88.90	7.90	298.00		0.96	3.70
Tongue Point	LI-3/4	12/18/89	0.000	0.011	99.40	99.00	97.45	19.45	649.00		2.41	9.25
Tongue Point	TP-1/2	12/18/89	0.081	0.058	99.45	90.55	54.55	7.65	145.00		0.63	2.60
Tongue Point	TP-3	12/18/89	0.108	0.100	98.40	60.00	27.30	5.10	305.00		1.05	2.60
Tongue Point	TP-4	12/18/89	0.073	0.087	97.60	81.70	47.20	6.90	163.00		0.55	2.60
Tongue Point	TP-5	12/18/89	0.040	0.029	99.60	95.20	75.60	12.20	551.00		1.53	3.90
Tongue Point	TP-6/7	12/18/89	0.080	0.070	97.15	75.60	48.80	6.85	214.00		0.52	2.70
Tongue Point	TP-8	12/18/89	0.032	0.024	99.60	98.30	83.00	14.50	628.00		1.95	6.10
Tongue Point	TP-9	12/18/89	0.122	0.110	96.80	60.30	10.70	0.00	216.00		0.76	1.10
Tongue Point	TP-10	12/18/89	0.173	0.170	93.80	15.40	2.00	0.00	41.00		0.17	0.90
Tongue Point	TP-11	12/18/89	0.047	0.041	99.70	99.60	74.30	3.80	128.00		0.58	2.10
Tongue Point	TP-12	12/18/89	0.045	0.038	99.40	96.90	72.70	4.80	272.00		0.77	2.40
Tongue Point	TP-S-1/2	8/14/90	0.048	0.044	99.70	98.20	63.30	8.30				3.70
Tongue Point	TP-S-2	8/14/90	0.073	0.072	99.50	84.10	41.50	7.60				1.90
Tongue Point	TP-S-3	8/14/90	0.147	0.140	88.30	42.80	15.20	2.60				1.50
Tongue Point	TP-S-4	8/14/90	0.051	0.036	97.70	89.90	67.80	9.30				5.30
Tongue Point	TP-S-5	8/14/90	0.074	0.065	98.50	87.90	46.60	1.90				2.70
Tongue Point	TP-S-6	8/14/90	0.084	0.059	98.10	90.30	53.30	3.10				5.60
Tongue Point	TP-S-8	8/14/90	0.058	0.064	99.50	89.00	48.90	1.90				2.60

Appendix B Table B-2.--Tongue Point Sediment Metals Data.

Sediment Quality - Metals (ppm)												
Location	Station	Date	Iron	Manganese	Arsnic	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Zinc	Cadmium
Tongue Point	CB-6a	8/1/84	6000.00	180.00		4.00	10.00	12.00	<0.100	5.00	55.00	0.60
Tongue Point	CB-6a	8/1/84	9000.00	310.00		3.00	16.00	5.00	<0.100	6.00	23.00	0.20
Tongue Point	C-1/2	6/3/87			<1.00	12.30	16.20	8.53	0.090		61.10	0.480
Tongue Point	C-3/4/5	6/3/87			<1.00	15.90	22.20	16.70	0.180		111.00	0.870
Tongue Point	C-6/7	6/3/87			<1.00	15.00	20.85	15.05	0.090		109.50	0.770
Tongue Point	C-3/4/5	8/23/88			5.18	22.80	35.10	20.53	0.160	22.40	161.80	1.210
Tongue Point	C-6/7	8/23/88			5.43	25.00	30.80	18.23	0.140	23.00	134.00	1.160
Tongue Point	TP-9	9/13/88	12700.00	319.00	4.27	12.80	8.86	9.61	0.020	6.64	52.70	0.120
Tongue Point	TP-10	9/13/88	14000.00	373.00	5.88	13.60	8.86	9.47	0.020	6.11	54.20	0.130
Tongue Point	TP-11	9/13/88	17300.00	430.00	6.45	16.40	19.40	24.40	0.040	8.87	87.50	0.210
Tongue Point	TP-12	9/13/88	20000.00	307.00	6.10	18.90	21.20	13.20	0.050	9.92	91.90	0.290
Tongue Point	LI-1/2	7/25/89			16.00	26.00	39.00	13.10	0.110		133.00	0.620
Tongue Point	LI-3/4	7/25/89			8.00	20.00	23.00	9.90	0.060		93.00	0.620
Tongue Point	LI-1/2	12/18/89			10.50	23.00	29.00	12.00	<0.080		104.00	0.670
Tongue Point	LI-3/4	12/18/89			12.80	34.00	52.00	26.00	0.120		157.00	1.200
Tongue Point	TP-1/2	12/18/89			4.50	16.00	14.00	6.50	<0.030		63.00	0.250
Tongue Point	TP-3	12/18/89			5.30	16.00	16.00	5.90	<0.040		57.00	0.240
Tongue Point	TP-4	12/18/89			7.20	18.00	18.00	7.80	<0.040		70.00	0.400
Tongue Point	TP-5	12/18/89			10.60	26.00	37.00	14.00	0.100		122.00	1.040
Tongue Point	TP-6/7	12/18/89			6.00	16.00	18.00	7.50	<0.060		67.00	0.520
Tongue Point	TP-8	12/18/89			12.80	27.00	37.00	14.00	<0.110		128.00	0.850
Tongue Point	TP-9	12/18/89			6.30	14.00	15.00	6.30	<0.030		64.00	0.360
Tongue Point	TP-10	12/18/89			5.80	13.00	7.60	5.30	<0.020		52.00	0.240
Tongue Point	TP-11	12/18/89			8.80	18.00	18.00	11.00	<0.050		87.00	0.440
Tongue Point	TP-12-R1	12/18/89			11.60	19.00	17.00	12.00	<0.050		83.00	0.460
Tongue Point	TP-12-R2	12/18/89			9.30	19.00	17.00	9.50	<0.050		81.00	0.480
Tongue Point	TP-S-1/2	8/14/90			2.00	13.00	2.40	6.00	0.024	9.50	52.00	0.260
Tongue Point	TP-S-3	8/14/90			2.90	18.00	8.00	3.70	0.017	13.00	46.00	0.120
Tongue Point	TP-S-4	8/14/90			2.70	14.00	9.20	3.10	0.064	10.00	72.00	0.440
Tongue Point	TP-S-5	8/14/90			2.20	15.00	6.50	4.10	0.022	12.00	42.00	0.280
Tongue Point	TP-S-6	8/14/90			3.20	33.00	17.00	3.70	0.038	27.00	47.00	0.310
Tongue Point	TP-S-8	8/14/90			3.20	13.00	11.00	3.70	0.065	9.50	64.00	0.000

Appendix B Table B-3.--Tongue Point Sediment Pesticides/PCB Data.

Sediment Quality - Pesticides/PCBs (ppb)

Location	Station	Date	Aldrin	Chlordane	Dieldrin	DDD	DDE	DDT	Endosulfan	Endrin	Heptachlor	Lindane	Metoxychlor	Toxaphene	PCBs
Tongue Point	CB-6a	8/1/84	<0.01	<1.00	<0.01	1.30	0.40	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<10.00	2.00
Tongue Point	CB-6a	8/1/84	0.10	<1.00	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<10.00	<1.0
Tongue Point	CB-6b	8/1/84	<0.01	<1.00	<0.01	8.90	0.90	0.20	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<10.00	15.00
Tongue Point	C-1/2	6/3/87	<15.00	<20.00	<15.00	<10.00	<15.00	<10.00	<15.00	<5.00	<20.00	<15.00		<500.00	<500.00
Tongue Point	C-3/4/5	6/3/87	<15.00	<20.00	<15.00	<10.00	<15.00	<10.00	<15.00	<5.00	<20.00	<15.00		<500.00	<500.00
Tongue Point	C-6/7	6/3/87	<15.00	<20.00	<15.00	<10.00	<15.00	<10.00	<15.00	<5.00	<20.00	<15.00		<500.00	<500.00
Tongue Point	C-3/4/5	8/23/88	<2.00	<20.00	<4.00	2.40	<4.00	<4.00	<6.00	<4.00	<2.00	<2.00	<8.00	<200.00	<40.00
Tongue Point	C-6/7	8/23/88	<2.00	<20.00	<4.00	1.90	<4.00	<4.00	<6.00	<4.00	<2.00	<2.00	<8.00	<200.00	<40.00
Tongue Point	TP-9	9/13/88	<5.00	<20.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<50.00	<40.00
Tongue Point	TP-10	9/13/88	<5.00	<20.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<50.00	<40.00
Tongue Point	TP-11	9/13/88	<5.00	<20.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<50.00	<40.00
Tongue Point	TP-12	9/13/88	<5.00	<20.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<5.00	<50.00	<40.00
Tongue Point	LI-1/2	7/25/89	<2.00	<2.00	<4.00	<4.00	<4.00	<4.00	<4.00	<4.00	<2.00	<2.00	<8.00	<300.00	<40.00
Tongue Point	LI-3/4	7/25/89	<2.00	<2.00	<4.00	<4.00	<4.00	<4.00	<2.00	<4.00	<2.00	<2.00	<8.00	<300.00	<40.00
Tongue Point	LI-1/2	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	LI-3/4	12/18/89	<6.00	<10.00	<12.00	<12.00	<12.00	<12.00	<6.00	<12.00	<6.00	<6.00	<24.00	<900.00	<120.00
Tongue Point	TP-1/2	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-3	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-4	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-5	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-6/7	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-8	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-9-R1	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-9-R2	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-10	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-11	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-12	12/18/89	<3.00	<5.0	<3.00	<6.00	<6.00	<6.00	<3.00	<6.00	<3.00	<3.00	<12.00	<450.00	<60.00
Tongue Point	TP-S-1/2	8/14/90	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70	<5.50	<27.40	<27.00
Tongue Point	TP-S-3	8/14/90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<7.80	<28.60	<29.00
Tongue Point	TP-S-4	8/14/90	<3.40	<3.40	<3.40	<3.40	<3.40	<3.40	<3.40	<3.40	<3.40	3.40	4.70	<33.80	<34.00
Tongue Point	TP-S-5	8/14/90	<2.80	<2.80	<2.80	<2.80	<2.80	<2.80	<2.80	<2.80	<2.80	<2.80	<5.70	<28.40	<28.00
Tongue Point	TP-S-6	8/14/90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<2.90	<5.80	<29.20	<29.00
Tongue Point	TP-S-8	8/14/90	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	<6.50	<20.00	<33.00

Appendix B Table B-4.--Tongue Point Sediment PAH Data.

Sediment Quality - PAHs (ppb)																				
Location	Station	Date	Ace-naphthene	Ace-napthylene	Anthracene	Fluorene	Napthalene	Phen anthrene	Total LPAHs	Benzo(a) anthracene	Benzo(b,k) floranthenes	Benzo perylene	Benzo(a) pyrene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene	Indeno pyrene	Fluor-anthene	Pyrene	Total HPAHs
Tongue Point	CB-6b	8/1/84	<7.0	<6.0	<20.0	<6.0	8.0	72.0	80.0	159.0	261.0	173.0	223.0	76.0	<200.0	<132.0	278.0	260.0	1430.0
Tongue Point	C-1/2	6/3/87	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0	<200.0	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0
Tongue Point	C-3/4/5	6/3/87	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0	<200.0	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0
Tongue Point	C-6/7	6/3/87	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0	<200.0	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<1000.0	<200.0	<200.0	<1000.0
Tongue Point	C-3/4/5	8/23/88	<60.0	<60.0	<60.0	<60.0	<60.0	48.0	48.0	62.0	140.0	140.0	95.0	97.0	<60.0	110.0	93.0	150.0	983.0
Tongue Point	C-6/7	8/23/88	<57.0	<57.0	<57.0	<57.0	<57.0	55.0	55.0	68.0	160.0	180.0	66.0	110.0	<57.0	160.0	110.0	150.0	1114.0
Tongue Point	LI-1/2	7/25/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	<30.0	<130.0
Tongue Point	LI-3/4	7/25/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	15.0	15.0	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	42.0	42.0
Tongue Point	LI-1/2	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	LI-3/4	12/18/89	<150.0	<150.0	<150.0	<150.0	<150.0	<150.0	<150.0	<220.0	<200.0	<600.0	<400.0	<150.0	<600.0	<600.0	<150.0	<150.0	<600.0
Tongue Point	TP-1/2	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-3	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-4	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-5	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-6/7	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-8	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-9	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-10	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-11	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-12	12/18/89	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<75.0	<100.0	<300.0	<200.0	<75.0	<300.0	<300.0	<75.0	<75.0	<300.0
Tongue Point	TP-S-1/2	8/14/90	<1.1	<0.4	0.7	<0.9	<2.9	3.5	4.2	6.0	9.9	5.3	3.6	8.6	0.7	3.3	5.2	4.8	47.4
Tongue Point	TP-S-3	8/14/90	<1.1	<0.4	<0.5	<0.9	<3.0	<1.2	<3.0	1.7	2.3	1.7	0.9	2.1	<0.4	1.1	1.9	2.3	14.0
Tongue Point	TP-S-4	8/14/90	1.9	1.7	3.4	3.9	<3.5	21.6	32.5	28.9	67.9	40.6	32.1	49.2	4.4	22.6	47.8	52.6	346.1
Tongue Point	TP-S-5	8/14/90	<1.1	<0.5	0.7	<0.9	<3.0	4.4	5.1	6.5	14.3	14.1	8.5	9.2	1.3	6.8	12.8	17.2	90.7
Tongue Point	TP-S-6	8/14/90	1.8	1.5	1.6	2.8	5.7	10.8	24.2	26.3	44.1	20.4	21.5	28.6	2.8	11.0	27.2	28.5	211.4
Tongue Point	TP-S-8	8/14/90	9.8	2.8	5.5	10.4	8.8	35.2	72.5	37.2	83.1	54.3	41.1	59.0	5.4	31.6	68.0	69.8	449.5
Tongue Point	TP-S-8R	8/14/90	4.2	1.6	4.5	5.7	5.9	27.4	49.3	20.9	42.7	35.4	22.5	27.4	3.1	19.6	43.2	47.6	263.4

Appendix B Table B-5.--Loran-C navigation readings (in Latitude and Longitude) for sampling stations at and adjacent to ODMDS F, off the mouth of the Columbia River.

ODMDS F Sampling Stations[a]

Station #	North	West	Depth ft (m)	Remarks
SF-G1[b]	46° 13' 02″ N	124° 09' 00″ W	96 (29.2)	
SF-F2	46° 12' 37″ N	124° 09' 00″ W	114 (34.7)	
SF-D1	46° 12' 31″ N	124° 09' 31″ W	138 (42.1)	
SF-G4	46° 12' 31″ N	124° 08' 29″ W	105 (32.0)	
SF-E2	46° 12' 25″ N	124° 09' 18″ W	126 (38.4)	
SF-F3	46° 12' 25″ N	124° 08 42″ W	114 (34.7)	
SF-C2	46° 12' 12″ N	124° 09' 25″ W	138 (42.1)	
SF-E3	46° 12' 12″ N	124° 09' 00″ W	126 (38.4)	N corner ODMDS F
SF-F5	46° 12' 12″ N	124° 08' 35″ W	114 (34.7)	
SF-D3	46° 12' 06″ N	124° 09' 12″ W	132 (40.2)	
SF-E4	46° 12' 06″ N	124° 08' 48″ W	126 (38.4)	
SF-A1	46° 12' 00″ N	124° 10' 08″ W	168 (51.2)	
SF-B2	46° 12' 00″ N	124° 09' 43″ W	153 (46.6)	
SF-C3	46° 12' 00″ N	124° 09' 18″ W	138 (42.1)	W corner ODMDS F
SF-D4	46° 12' 00″ N	124° 09' 00″ W	132 (40.2)	Center-ODMDS F
SF-E5	46° 12' 00″ N	124° 08' 42″ W	126 (38.4)	E corner ODMDS F
SF-F6	46° 12' 00″ N	124° 08' 17″ W	114 (34.7)	
SF-G7	46° 12' 00″ N	124° 07 52″ W	102 (31.1)	
SF-C4	46° 11' 54″ N	124° 09' 12″ W	138 (42.1)	
SF-D5	46° 11' 54″ N	124° 08' 48″ W	132 (40.2)	
SF-B3	46° 11' 48″ N	124° 09' 25″ W	150 (44.8)	
SF-C5	46° 11' 48″ N	124° 09' 00″ W	138 (42.1)	S corner ODMDS F
SF-E6	46° 11' 48″ N	124° 08' 35″ W	126 (38.4)	
SF-B5	46° 11' 35″ N	124° 09' 18″ W	150 (44.8)	
SF-C6	46° 11' 35″ N	124° 08' 42″ W	132 (40.2)	
SF-A4	46° 11' 29″ N	124° 09' 31″ W	162 (49.4)	
SF-D7	46° 11' 29″ N	124° 08' 29″ W	129 (39.2)	
SF-B6	46° 11' 23″ N	124° 09' 06″ W	150 (44.8)	
SF-A7	46° 10' 58″ N	124° 09' 00″ W	156 (47.5)	

[a]Station locations were the same for all years.
[b]Main text and all drawings do not list the "SF" prefix.

Appendix B Table B-6.--ODMDS F Sediment Physical Data.

Sediment Quality - Physical Properties July 10, 1989											
Location	Station	Date	Grain Size Mean mm	Grain Size Median mm	Grain Size Distribution Sand % finer	Vf Sand % finer	Silt % finer	Clay %	Oil ppm	TOC mg/g	Volatile Solids % by wgt
ODMDS F	SF-A1	07/10/89	0.170	0.170	97.40	16.30	1.60	0.00			0.90
ODMDS F	SF-A4	07/10/89	0.170	0.170	96.40	16.60	2.10	0.00			1.00
ODMDS F	SF-A7	07/10/89	0.160	0.160	98.30	22.50	0.40	0.00			0.80
ODMDS F	SF-B2	07/10/89	0.158	0.160	98.10	25.00	1.20	0.00	72.00	0.06	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-B3	07/10/89	0.157	0.150	98.40	27.10	0.80	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-B5	07/10/89	0.145	0.140	97.90	40.30	0.70	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-B6	07/10/89	0.146	0.140	98.10	36.10	0.50	0.00	40.00	0.08	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-C2	07/10/89	0.117	0.100	96.20	66.10	1.50	0.00			0.50
ODMDS F	SF-C3	07/10/89	0.154	0.150	96.90	34.10	1.10	0.00			0.50
ODMDS F	SF-C4	07/10/89	0.160	0.160	97.50	26.30	0.50	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-C5	07/10/89	0.150	0.150	98.10	34.20	0.60	0.00	22.00	0.07	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-C6	07/10/89	0.146	0.140	98.40	37.10	0.50	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-D1	07/10/89	0.183	0.180	86.30	15.30	0.90	0.00			0.80
ODMDS F	SF-D3	07/10/89	0.155	0.150	97.70	31.10	1.00	0.00			0.70
ODMDS F	SF-D4	07/10/89	0.160	0.160	97.00	26.50	0.80	0.00	61.00	0.12	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-D5	07/10/89	0.160	0.160	96.80	24.60	0.70	0.50			0.50
ODMDS F	SF-D7	07/10/89	0.146	0.140	98.50	37.10	0.60	0.00			0.50
ODMDS F	SF-E2	07/10/89	0.173	0.170	90.00	17.90	1.90	0.00			0.80
ODMDS F	SF-E3	07/10/89	0.153	0.150	96.60	34.40	0.70	0.00	38.00	0.07	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-E4	07/10/89	0.156	0.150	95.90	28.60	0.70	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-E5	07/10/89	0.153	0.150	95.60	34.40	0.90	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-E6	07/10/89	0.154	0.150	97.30	32.80	0.60	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F2	07/10/89	0.173	0.170	87.00	18.40	1.90	0.00	17.00	0.07	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F3	07/10/89	0.173	0.170	88.10	17.40	0.60	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F5	07/10/89	0.157	0.150	93.10	33.40	0.70	0.00			0.50
ODMDS F	SF-F6	07/10/89	0.154	0.150	96.50	33.40	0.60	0.00	<6.00	0.21	0.30
ODMDS F	SF-G1										
ODMDS F	SF-G4	07/10/89	0.167	0.160	88.90	26.90	0.70	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-G7	07/10/89	0.154	0.150	96.40	33.60	0.70	0.00			0.60

Appendix B Table B-6.--Continued.

Sediment Quality - Physical Properties March 1, 1990											
Location	Station	Date	Grain Size		Grain Size Distribution				Oil	TOC	Volatile
			Mean	Median	Sand	Vf Sand	Silt	Clay			Solids
			mm	mm	% finer	% finer	% finer	%	ppm	mg/g	% by wgt
ODMDS F	SF-A1	03/01/90	0.159	0.160	97.40	23.40	1.70	0.00			0.90
ODMDS F	SF-A4	03/01/90	0.160	0.160	98.00	18.30	0.60	0.00		0.07	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-A7	03/01/90	0.160	0.160	96.70	20.80	0.60	0.00			0.80
ODMDS F	SF-B2	03/01/90		0.110	97.50	54.30	26.00	0.00		0.29	1.90
ODMDS F	SF-B3	03/01/90	0.170	0.170	95.90	15.80	0.30	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-B5	03/01/90	0.156	0.160	97.80	26.40	3.10	0.00			1.10
ODMDS F	SF-B6	03/01/90	0.160	0.160	98.60	23.70	0.60	0.00		0.07	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-C2	03/01/90	0.090	0.081	96.20	72.60	38.60	6.60			2.20
ODMDS F	SF-C3	03/01/90	0.063	0.049	99.90	82.70	55.00	8.60		1.03	3.00
ODMDS F	SF-C4	03/01/90		0.110	94.60	54.80	31.70	0.00			2.30
ODMDS F	SF-C5	03/01/90		0.099	91.90	59.40	33.80	0.00		0.38	2.10
ODMDS F	SF-C6	03/01/90	0.154	0.150	96.10	32.70	0.40	0.00			0.50
ODMDS F	SF-D1	03/01/90	0.148	0.140	93.30	38.40	2.80	0.00		0.04	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-D3	03/01/90	0.050	0.025	97.50	86.90	68.70	12.90			4.50
ODMDS F	SF-D4	03/01/90		0.091	98.40	63.60	35.50	0.00		0.71	2.30
ODMDS F	SF-D5	03/01/90	0.154	0.150	97.10	33.60	0.70	0.00			0.50
ODMDS F	SF-D7	03/01/90	0.146	0.140	97.90	36.60	0.50	0.00		0.05	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-E2	03/01/90	0.094	0.083	94.90	67.40	41.20	7.60			6.90
ODMDS F	SF-E3	03/01/90	0.080	0.087	98.20	73.60	50.10	10.20		0.63	3.30
ODMDS F	SF-E4	03/01/90		0.130	95.20	46.40	16.50	0.00			1.50
ODMDS F	SF-E5	03/01/90	0.145	0.140	96.50	39.30	0.80	0.00		0.04	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-E6	03/01/90	0.146	0.140	97.50	35.90	0.60	0.00			1.40
ODMDS F	SF-F2	03/01/90	0.145	0.140	92.30	40.50	9.00	0.00		0.08	1.10
ODMDS F	SF-F3	03/01/90		0.091	94.30	65.20	30.50	0.00			0.50
ODMDS F	SF-F5	03/01/90	0.163	0.160	92.40	20.80	0.50	0.00			0.70
ODMDS F	SF-F6	03/01/90	0.158	0.150	92.80	30.60	0.80	0.00		0.04	0.30
ODMDS F	SF-G1	03/01/90	0.200	0.190	79.40	11.50	1.10	0.00			0.70
ODMDS F	SF-G4	03/01/90	0.177	0.170	89.00	15.10	0.40	0.00		0.04	0.80
ODMDS F	SF-G7	03/01/90	0.160	0.160	94.60	25.50	0.30	0.00			0.60

Appendix B Table B-6.--Continued.

Sediment Quality - Physical Properties June 27, 1990											
Location	Station	Date	Grain Size		Grain Size Distribution				Oil	TOC	Volatile Solids
			Mean mm	Median mm	Sand % finer	Vf Sand % finer	Silt % finer	Clay %	ppm	mg/g	% by wgt
ODMDS F	SF-A1	06/27/90	0.160	0.160	97.90	17.50	0.90	0.00			1.00
ODMDS F	SF-A4	06/27/90	0.160	0.160	97.40	26.80	2.50	0.00		0.16	1.00
ODMDS F	SF-A7	06/27/90	0.160	0.160	97.80	24.60	0.40	0.00			0.80
ODMDS F	SF-B2	06/27/90	0.170	0.160	91.60	24.70	1.30	0.00		0.06	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-B3	06/27/90	0.160	0.160	97.70	25.20	0.60	0.00			0.80
ODMDS F	SF-B5	06/27/90	0.150	0.150	97.20	33.00	0.60	0.00			0.80
ODMDS F	SF-B6	06/27/90	0.150	0.150	97.80	34.70	0.40	0.00		0.04	0.90
ODMDS F	SF-C2	06/27/90	0.127	0.130	91.60	50.00	27.50	3.10			1.70
ODMDS F	SF-C3	06/27/90	0.102	0.083	96.20	66.60	38.00	2.90		0.51	2.60
ODMDS F	SF-C4	06/27/90	0.156	0.150	95.60	30.10	1.10	0.00			0.80
ODMDS F	SF-C5	06/27/90	0.111	0.100	96.10	58.70	32.90	3.90		0.26	1.90
ODMDS F	SF-C6	06/27/90	0.146	0.140	97.90	35.90	0.60	0.00			0.70
ODMDS F	SF-D1	06/27/90	0.173	0.170	86.60	21.60	1.30	0.00		0.03	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-D3	06/27/90	0.170	0.170	89.80	21.10	1.10	0.00			1.00
ODMDS F	SF-D4	06/27/90	0.153	0.150	93.50	34.50	3.00	0.00		0.10	1.10
ODMDS F	SF-D5	06/27/90	0.145	0.140	96.80	37.60	0.70	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-D7	06/27/90	0.146	0.140	97.40	37.60	0.40	0.00		0.03	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-E2	06/27/90	0.101	0.088	96.90	70.60	30.00	1.40			1.90
ODMDS F	SF-E3	06/27/90	0.084	0.067	97.70	76.30	46.80	2.70		0.52	2.70
ODMDS F	SF-E4	06/27/90	0.127	0.120	97.10	54.50	16.10	1.20			1.10
ODMDS F	SF-E5	06/27/90	0.148	0.140	94.80	38.00	0.70	0.00		0.02	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-E6	06/27/90	0.154	0.150	96.30	32.70	0.70	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F2	06/27/90	0.169	0.170	87.20	22.10	3.00	0.00		0.05	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-F3	06/27/90	0.177	0.170	84.10	21.40	1.20	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F5	06/27/90	0.151	0.150	91.70	32.80	0.80	0.00			0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F6	06/27/90	0.163	0.160	93.20	27.80	0.60	0.00		0.03	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-G1	06/27/90	0.233	0.210	65.00	7.30	1.20	0.00			0.70
ODMDS F	SF-G4	06/27/90	0.183	0.170	83.70	18.80	1.90	0.00		0.03	0.80
ODMDS F	SF-G7	06/27/90	0.149	0.140	95.60	37.90	0.30	0.00			0.50

Appendix B Table B-6.--Continued.

Sediment Quality - Physical Properties July 11, 1991											
Location	Station	Date	Grain Size		Grain Size Distribution				Oil	TOC	Volatile
			Mean mm	Median mm	Sand % finer	Vf Sand % finer	Silt % finer	Clay %	ppm	mg/g	Solids % by wgt
ODMDS F	SF-A1	07/11/91	0.173	0.170	95.60	16.40	2.20	0.00		1.30	0.90
ODMDS F	SF-A4	07/11/91	0.145	0.140	97.50	38.80	0.70	0.00		0.95	1.00
ODMDS F	SF-A7	07/11/91	0.163	0.160	98.10	19.50	0.30	0.00		1.20	0.90
ODMDS F	SF-B2	07/11/91	0.148	0.140	92.50	37.60	2.00	0.00		1.00	0.90
ODMDS F	SF-B3	07/11/91	0.140	0.130	96.70	44.10	0.90	0.00		0.94	0.80
ODMDS F	SF-B5	07/11/91	0.155	0.150	95.60	31.70	0.50	0.00		1.10	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-B6	07/11/91	0.160	0.160	96.80	21.70	1.20	0.00		1.30	0.80
ODMDS F	SF-C2	07/11/91	0.170	0.170	87.20	21.40	1.30	0.00		0.72	0.80
ODMDS F	SF-C3	07/11/91	0.139	0.130	91.40	47.60	12.30	0.00		1.40	1.50
ODMDS F	SF-C4	07/11/91	0.145	0.140	96.20	37.90	11.50	4.40		1.70	1.30
ODMDS F	SF-C5	07/11/91	0.157	0.150	97.60	27.10	0.70	0.00		0.94	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-C6	07/11/91	0.132	0.130	97.50	50.20	0.60	0.00		0.76	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-D1	07/11/91	0.175	0.170	84.00	22.20	3.90	0.00		1.70	1.10
ODMDS F	SF-D3	07/11/91	0.077	0.070	93.80	82.50	39.30	7.30		3.00	2.70
ODMDS F	SF-D4	07/11/91	0.123	0.130	95.70	465.10	18.30	4.70		2.30	1.70
ODMDS F	SF-D5	07/11/91	0.131	0.140	95.90	55.30	0.80	0.00		1.00	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-D7	07/11/91	0.132	0.120	97.50	52.10	0.20	0.00		0.96	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-E2	07/11/91	0.097	0.100	93.60	73.60	19.50	5.70		1.50	1.70
ODMDS F	SF-E3	07/11/91	0.093	0.090	96.30	68.40	37.80	7.10		4.60	2.50
ODMDS F	SF-E4	07/11/91	0.155	0.150	96.20	31.00	1.70	0.00		0.93	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-E5	07/11/91	0.157	0.150	96.10	28.30	0.50	0.00		0.75	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-E6	07/11/91	0.155	0.150	97.00	32.10	0.90	0.00		1.50	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-F2	07/11/91	0.163	0.160	87.10	24.90	4.70	0.00		1.80	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-F3	07/11/91	0.165	0.150	83.50	35.30	0.60	0.00		0.57	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F5	07/11/91	0.163	0.160	93.30	21.50	1.10	0.00		0.75	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-F6	07/11/91	0.147	0.140	94.40	42.00	0.90	0.00		0.67	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-G1	07/11/91	0.132	0.013	91.00	46.20	19.60	3.60		7.30	2.00
ODMDS F	SF-G4	07/11/91	0.158	0.015	86.50	35.90	2.50	0.00		0.54	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-G7	07/11/91	0.142	0.130	91.10	48.30	0.90	0.00		0.71	0.70

Appendix B Table B-6.--Continued.

Sediment Quality - Physical Properties July 1, 1992											
Location	Station	Date	Grain Size Mean mm	Grain Size Median mm	Grain Size Distribution Sand % finer	Vf Sand % finer	Silt % finer	Clay %	Oil ppm	TOC mg/g	Volatile Solids % by wgt
ODMDS F	SF-A1	07/01/92	0.160	0.160	98.20	23.50	8.10	2.50		0.57	1.00
ODMDS F	SF-A4	07/01/92	0.160	0.160	97.90	17.50	1.30	0.00		0.17	1.00
ODMDS F	SF-A7	07/01/92	0.160	0.150	96.70	30.70	0.10	0.00		1.20	1.00
ODMDS F	SF-B2	07/01/92	0.170	0.160	95.20	18.70	1.60	0.00		0.17	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-B3	07/01/92	0.140	0.140	97.50	41.00	0.90	0.00		0.11	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-B5	07/01/92	0.160	0.160	97.60	20.40	1.00	0.00		0.13	0.80
ODMDS F	SF-B6	07/01/92	0.160	0.160	97.80	23.10	0.90	0.00		0.10	0.40
ODMDS F	SF-C2	07/01/92	0.250	0.240	54.40	7.20	1.30	0.00		0.08	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-C3	07/01/92	0.170	0.170	89.00	17.80	1.20	0.00		0.08	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-C4	07/01/92	0.160	0.150	96.40	28.30	3.10	0.00		0.01	0.70
ODMDS F	SF-C5	07/01/92	0.160	0.160	96.80	26.40	1.10	0.00		0.08	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-C6	07/01/92	0.160	0.150	97.50	30.40	0.60	0.00		0.09	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-D1	07/01/92	0.150	0.150	93.30	34.70	14.80	4.00		1.08	1.60
ODMDS F	SF-D3	07/01/92	0.170	0.160	88.70	24.80	0.90	0.00		0.06	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-D4	07/01/92	0.156	0.150	97.10	29.70	2.70	0.00		0.15	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-D5	07/01/92	0.145	0.140	96.70	39.30	0.90	0.00		0.08	0.40
ODMDS F	SF-D7	07/01/92	0.156	0.150	97.80	30.30	0.80	0.00		0.07	0.40
ODMDS F	SF-E2	07/01/92	0.130	0.140	92.50	43.30	21.60	0.00		1.10	2.00
ODMDS F	SF-E3	07/01/92	0.160	0.160	96.20	25.40	1.50	0.00		0.11	0.50
ODMDS F	SF-E4	07/01/92	0.156	0.150	96.00	29.30	0.60	0.00		0.07	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-E5	07/01/92	0.160	0.160	95.60	25.00	0.60	0.00		0.08	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-E6	07/01/92	0.117	0.100	97.10	64.70	0.20	0.00		0.06	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F2	07/01/92	0.095	0.076	95.00	59.90	48.30	9.00		1.97	5.50
ODMDS F	SF-F3	07/01/92	0.163	0.160	93.60	21.80	1.30	0.00		0.07	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F5	07/01/92	0.170	0.170	92.00	19.50	0.60	0.00		0.10	0.60
ODMDS F	SF-F6	07/01/92	0.160	0.150	95.30	28.00	0.40	0.00		0.07	0.40
ODMDS F	SF-G1	07/01/92	0.220	0.200	66.80	12.80	0.80	0.00		<0.05	0.30
ODMDS F	SF-G4	07/01/92	0.163	0.160	91.10	29.00	0.90	0.00		0.06	0.30
ODMDS F	SF-G7	07/01/92	0.170	0.160	94.10	25.30	0.20	0.00		0.08	0.50

Appendix B Table B-7.—ODMDS F Sediment Metals Data.

Sediment Quality - Metals (ppm)										
Location	Station	Date	Arsnic	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Zinc	Cadmium
ODMDS F	SF-B2	07/10/89	5.40	27.00	6.30	5.00	0.04	0.0	52	<0.050
ODMDS F	SF-B6	07/10/89	5.40	25.00	6.30	3.70	<0.03	0.0	45	<0.030
ODMDS F	SF-C5	07/10/89	2.60	30.00	6.60	5.80	<0.02	0.0	56	<0.040
ODMDS F	SF-D4	07/10/89	4.80	30.00	6.70	5.60	<0.02	0.0	56	0.070
ODMDS F	SF-E3	07/10/89	2.00	27.00	6.80	3.30	<0.02	0.0	54	<0.050
ODMDS F	SF-F2	07/10/89	4.50	20.00	5.70	4.80	<0.03	0.0	43	<0.040
ODMDS F	SF-F6	07/10/89	3.20	28.00	6.40	5.60	<0.02	0.0	53	<0.040
ODMDS F	SF-A4	03/01/90	2.70	19.50	4.75	4.59	0.02	14.5	38	0.040
ODMDS F	SF-B2	03/01/90	4.20	18.50	10.70	4.83	0.03	14.0	50	0.020
ODMDS F	SF-B6	03/01/90	4.30	19.70	4.60	4.87	0.02	14.0	37	0.020
ODMDS F	SF-C3	03/01/90	8.15	23.65	26.85	10.85	0.11	19.5	91	0.630
ODMDS F	SF-C5	03/01/90	5.90	16.00	12.20	5.94	0.03	14.0	50	0.215
ODMDS F	SF-D1	03/01/90	3.70	11.90	4.20	3.33	<0.02	10.0	29	<0.020
ODMDS F	SF-D4	03/01/90	4.20	26.80	16.20	7.20	0.06	18.0	75	0.400
ODMDS F	SF-D7	03/01/90	2.70	27.40	5.90	5.17	<0.02	17.0	49	0.030
ODMDS F	SF-E3	03/01/90	8.10	26.40	19.80	7.20	0.07	19.0	76	0.550
ODMDS F	SF-E5	03/01/90	3.79	24.70	6.00	4.84	0.02	15.0	48	0.030
ODMDS F	SF-F-2	03/01/90	3.30	19.00	6.80	3.79	0.02	14.0	43	0.060
ODMDS F	SF-F6	03/01/90	2.80	27.10	6.80	4.96	<0.02	15.0	51	0.040
ODMDS F	SF-G4	03/01/90	3.10	15.00	4.20	3.69	0.02	12.0	30	0.020
ODMDS F	SF-B2	06/29/92	3.00	18.00	7.00	4.00	<0.02	14.0	42	<0.100
ODMDS F	SF-B6	06/29/92	3.00	22.00	6.00	5.00	<0.02	15.0	43	<0.100
ODMDS F	SF-C5	06/29/92	3.00	26.00	6.00	5.00	<0.02	14.0	48	<0.100
ODMDS F	SF-D1	06/29/92	4.00	13.00	9.00	5.00	<0.02	11.0	44	0.200
ODMDS F	SF-D4	06/29/92	3.00	21.00	8.00	5.00	<0.02	15.0	48	<0.100
ODMDS F	SF-E3	06/29/92	3.00	20.00	6.00	5.00	<0.02	14.0	43	<0.100
ODMDS F	SF-F2	06/29/92	6.00	20.00	22.00	11.00	0.08	16.0	76	0.500
ODMDS F	SF-F6	06/29/92	3.00	21.00	6.00	5.00	<0.02	13.0	45	<0.100

Appendix B Table B-8.--ODMDS F Sediment Pesticide/PCB Data.

Sediment Quality - Pesticides/PCBs (ppb)																
Location	Station	Date	Aldrin	Chlordane	Dieldrin	DDD	DDE	DDT	Endosulfan	Endrin	Heptachlor	Lindane	Metoxychlor	Toxaphene	PCBs
ODMDS F	SF-B2	07/10/89	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.0	<150.0	<20.0
ODMDS F	SF-B6	07/10/89	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.0	<150.0	<20.0
ODMDS F	SF-C5	07/10/89	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.0	<150.0	<20.0
ODMDS F	SF-D4	07/10/89	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.0	<150.0	<20.0
ODMDS F	SF-E3	07/10/89	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.0	<150.0	<20.0
ODMDS F	SF-F2	07/10/89	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.0	<150.0	<20.0
ODMDS F	SF-F6	07/10/89	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.0	<150.0	<20.0
ODMDS F	SF-A4	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-B2	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-B6	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-C3	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-C5	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-D1	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-D4	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-D7	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-E3	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-E5	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-F-2	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-F6	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-G4	03/01/90	<3.0	<4.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<6.0	<3.0	<6.0	<3.0	<3.0	<12.0	<450.0	<60.0
ODMDS F	SF-B2	06/29/92	<2.0	<10.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<30.0	<10.0
ODMDS F	SF-B6	06/29/92	<2.0	<10.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<30.0	<10.0
ODMDS F	SF-C5	06/29/92	<2.0	<10.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<30.0	<10.0
ODMDS F	SF-D1	06/29/92	<3.0	<10.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<6.0	<50.0	<20.0
ODMDS F	SF-D4	06/29/92	<2.0	<10.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<30.0	<10.0
ODMDS F	SF-E3	06/29/92	<2.0	<10.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<30.0	<10.0
ODMDS F	SF-F2	06/29/92	<5.0	<30.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<10.0	<80.0	<30.0
ODMDS F	SF-F6	06/29/92	<2.0	<10.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<30.0	<10.0

Appendix B Table B-9.--ODMDS F Sediment PAH Data.

Sediment Quality - PAHs (ppb)																			
Location	Station	Date	Ace-naphthene	Ace-napthylene	Anthrace	Fluorene	Napthalene	Phen-anthrene	Total LPAHs	Benzo(a)-anthracene	Benzo(b,k)-floranthenes	Benzo-perylene	Benzo(a)-pyrene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h)-anthracene	Indeno-pyrene	Fluor-anthene	Pyrene	Total HPAHs
ODMDS F	SF-B2	07/10/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-B6	07/10/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-C5	07/10/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-D4	07/10/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-E3	07/10/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-F2	07/10/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-F6	07/10/89	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND	<30.0	<50.0	<130.0	<60.0	<30.0	<130.0	<130.0	<30.0	<30.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-A4	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-B2	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-B6	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-C3	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-C5	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-D1	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-D4	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-D7	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-E3	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-E5	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-F-2	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-F6	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-G4	03/01/90	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	<50.0	ND	<50.0	<150.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	<200.0	<200.0	<150.0	<50.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-B2	06/29/92	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND	<20.0	<40.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-B6	06/29/92	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND	<20.0	<40.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-C5	06/29/92	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND	<20.0	<40.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-D1	06/29/92	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND	<20.0	<40.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-D4	06/29/92	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND	<20.0	<40.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-E3	06/29/92	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND	<20.0	<40.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND
ODMDS F	SF-F2	06/29/92	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND	<20.0	<40.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	20.0	20.0
ODMDS F	SF-F6	06/29/92	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND	<20.0	<40.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	ND



Appendix B Figure B-1.--ODMDS F % Fines July 10, 1989.



Appendix B Figure B-2.--ODMDS F % Fines March 1,1990.



Appendix B Figure B-3.--ODMDS F % Fines June 27, 1990.



Appendix B Figure B-4.--ODMDS F % Fines July 11, 1991.



Appendix B Figure B-5.--ODMDS F % Fines July 1,1992.



Appendix B Figure B-6.--ODMDS F Median Grain Size July 10,1989.



Appendix B Figure B-7.--ODMDS F Median Grain Size March 1,1990.



Appendix B Figure B-8.--ODMDS F Median Grain Size June 27,1990.



Appendix B Figure B-9.--ODMDS F Median Grain Size July 11, 1991.



Appendix B Figure B-10.--ODMDS F Median Grain Size July 1,1992.



Appendix B Figure B-11.--ODMDS F % Volatile Solids July 10,1989.



Appendix B Figure B-12.--ODMDS F % Volatile Solids March 1,1990.



Appendix B Figure B-13.--ODMDS F % Volatile Solids June 27,1990.



Appendix B Figure B-14.--ODMDS F % Volatile Solids July 11, 1991.



Appendix B Figure B-15.--ODMDS F % Volatile Solids July 1,1992.

APPENDIX C

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATE, FISH AND EPIBENTHIC INVERTEBRATE DATA

List of Tables

Table No.		Page No.
C-1	Loran-C Navigational Readings of Benthic Invertebrate, Sediment, and Bottom Trawling Stations at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, Summer (June-August) 1989 through 1992.	C-3
C-2	Benthic Invertebrate Taxa (mean number/m^2) Collected by Box Corer at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, during June/July 1989 to 1992	C-5
C-3	Summary of Benthic Invertebrates, by Station at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992. (contained on the enclosed 3.5 inch floppy disk)	C-16
C-4	Fishes and Large Epibenthic Invertebrates Captured at and Adjacent to Site F, Offshore from the Columbia River, with an 8-m Bottom Trawl in June/July/August 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992.	C-17
C-5	Summary of 8-m Trawling Efforts at and Adjacent to ODMDS F, Offshore from the Columbia River in June\July\August 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992.	C-19

Data Analyses

Benthic Invertebrates

The five benthic invertebrate samples from each station were treated as replicates, allowing calculation of a mean number/m^2 and standard deviation for each species, and total mean number/m^2 and standard deviation for each station. Two community structure indices, diversity and species equitabilty, were also calculated for each station. Community species diversity was calculated using the Shannon-Weiner function (H), which contains two components: number of species and proportional abundance of individuals among the species (Krebs 1978).

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i \log_2 P_i$$

where $P_i = n_i/N$ (n_i is the number of individuals of a particular species in the sample, and N is the total number of all individuals in the sample) and s = number of species. The second community structure index was Evenness (E), which measures the proportional abundances among the various species in a sample (Krebs 1978). Evenness (E) has a possible range of 0.00 to 1.00, with 1.00 indicating all species in the sample are numerically equal.

$$E = H/\log_2 s$$

where H = Shannon-Weiner function and s = number of species.

Principal component analysis (PCA), a multivariate statistical technique, was used to identify species distribution and abundance patterns for each survey. PCA was conducted using a Pearson correlation matrix with varimaax rotation. Rotated component loadings (i.e., corelation coefficents) ≥ 0.5 were used to define station groupings. If a station had component loadings ≥0.5 on more than one component, then that station was grouped where it had the highest loading value. The PCA was performed using the Factor procedure of Systat: The System for Statistics (Wilkinson 1989) on a Macintosh computer. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis one-eay analysis of ranks (Ryan et al. 1985) was used to compare benthic invertebrate densities, number of taxa, H, and E values between surveys. This test was used instead of an Analysis of Variance because yearly variances were not homogeneous, both before and after various data transformations.

Fishes and Large Epibenthic Invertebrates

By using distance fished, fishing width of the trawl, and catch data, we estimated densities of fishes and large epibenthic invertebrates [number/hectare(ha)]. With the use of various computer programs, a descriptive summary of each trawling effort was produced, which included a species list, numbers and weights of fishes and large epibenthic invertebrates captured (total and by species), number/ha (total and by species), weight/ha (total and by species), and the previously described community structure indices. Length-frequency distributions of six dominant fish species and width-frequency distribution for Dungeness crab were used to define the size-class structures of the major species in the study area. Histograms were made by grouping individual total lengths for each species into 5-mm increments; fish >300 mm were included in the 296 to 300-mm interval.

We used a Kuskal-Wallace nonparametric test (Ryan et al. 1985) to compare densities (number/ha) between surveys. A nonparametric test was performed because these data were not normally distributed.

Appendix C Table C-1.--Loran-C navigational readings of benthic invertebrate, sediment, and bottom trawling stations at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, during summer (June-August) 1989 through 1992.

Benthic invertebrate and sediment station locations[a]

Station	Depth, ft (m)	Loran reading	
SF-A4[b]	162 (49.4)	12112.0	28022.6
SF-B2	153 (46.6)	12108.4	28022.8
SF-B6	147 (44.8)	12111.6	28023.4
SF-C3	138 (42.1)	12107.0	28023.
SF-C5	138 (42.1)	12108.5	28023.8
SF-D1	138 (42.1)	12103.0	28023.6
SF-D4	132 (40.2)	12106.6	28024.1
SF-D7	129 (39.2)	12110.0	28024.3
SF-E3	126 (38.4)	12105.0	28024.3
SF-E5	126 (38.4)	12106.0	28024.8
SF-F2	114 (34.7)	12101.0	28024.9
SF-F6	114 (34.7)	12105.0	28025.5
SF-G4	105 (32.0)	12101.0	28025.6

[a]Station locations were the same for all years.

[b]Main text, Table C-3 and all drawings do not list the "SF" prefix.

Trawling locations

Station	Mean depth, ft (m)	Loran readings: Beginning		Loran readings: Ending	
June 1989					
FS	135 (41.1)	12112.7	28023.7	12111.8	28023.5
FM	135 (41.1)	12109.0	28024.0	12106.4	28024.0
FN	135 (41.1)	12103.0	28023.9	12102.0	28024.1
June 1990					
FS	137 (41.8)	12111.3	28023.7	12111.4	28022.5
FM	121 (36.9)	12107.7	28024.0	12106.3	28023.5
FN	124 (37.8)	12103.1	28023.9	12102.1	28024.0
August 1991					
FS	125 (28.1)	12111.5	28024.2	12109.8	28024.3
FM	126 (38.4)	12108.8	28024.1	12107.6	28024.6
FN	110 (33.5)	12002.3	28024.2	12101.0	28024.1
July 1992					
FS	132 (40.1)	12111.4	28024.0	12109.8	28024.1
FM	124 (37.8)	12108.0	28024.2	12106.3	28024.6
FN	109 (33.2)	12102.0	28024.2	12100.4	28024.5

Appendix C Table C-2.--Benthic invertebrate taxa (mean number/m^2) collected by box corer at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, during June/July 1989 to 1992.

	YEAR			
Taxa	1989	1990	1991	1992
Cnidaria				
Anthozoa		0.3	6.5	
Platyhelminthes				
Turbellaria			0.3	1.4
Nemertinea				
Paleonemertea	2.1			15.5
Heteronemertea	0.2			
Nemertea	70.5	60.2	105.5	176.8
Nematoda	0.2			
Annelida				
Polychaeta				
Aphroditidae				
Aphrodita spp.				0.2
Polynoidae	0.3	1.8	4.8	9.8
Tenonia priops	1.6	0.5		19.1
Sigalionidae			1.2	0.3
Pholoe minuta	0.5	2.0	1.8	19.6
Sthenelais spp.			0.3	0.2
Sthenelais berkeleyi	1.0	0.2	22.7	7.5
Sthenelais tertiaglabra	1.1	1.6	0.8	0.3
Sigalion spp.		0.5		
Sigalion mathildae				0.2
Thalenessa spp.				0.2
Thalenessa spinosa	0.8	0.8	0.2	
Phyllodocidae	0.2	3.7	8.4	3.7
Eteone californica		1.3		
Eteone fauchaldi	2.2	3.6	2.6	3.4
Eteone longa	0.5	1.3	37.0	8.0
Eteone spp.	0.6	3.9	1.0	4.5
Paranaitides polynoides	0.5	2.0	0.2	1.1
Phyllodoce spp.		1.1	10.3	29.3
Phyllodoce groenlandica	0.8	0.3	6.3	2.9
Phyllodoce hartmanae	9.3	12.0	15.2	93.6
Phyllodoce medipapillata			0.7	
Phyllodoce multipapillata	0.3	0.5		
Phyllodoce papillosa	0.3			
Hesionidae	1.1			0.3
Gyptis brevipalpa	0.5	1.3	7.3	0.6
Microphthalmus spp.		2.6		
Microphthalmus sczelkowii				5.6
Heteropodarke heteromorpha		6.7		1.3

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

Taxa	1989	1990	1991	1992
	YEAR			
Syllidae	1.4	5.2	1.2	3.0
Autolytus cornutus		0.2		0.5
Ehlersia heterochaeta				0.5
Syllis spp.	0.3			
Syllis hyalina	0.2			
Nereidae		0.2	0.2	10.6
Nereis spp.			0.3	
Nereis neoneanthes			0.3	
Nereis zonata	0.5	1.0		
Nephtyidae				1.1
Nephtys spp.	80.2	178.4	181.3	71.0
Nephtys caeca	0.2	0.3	6.5	36.7
Nephtys caecoides	43.8	62.5	99.9	161.1
Nephtys cornuta cornuta				0.2
Nephtys ferruginea	0.5			
Sphaerodoridae				
Sphaerodoropsis minuta				0.2
Sphaerodorepsis spaerulifer			0.3	
Glyceridae				0.2
Glycera spp.		0.2	0.8	
Glycera americana		0.3		0.5
Glycera capitata	0.2	0.5	0.3	1.0
Glycera convoluta		0.2		
Glycera robusta		0.2		
Goniadidae			3.3	0.8
Glycinde spp.			4.3	25.8
Glycinde armigera	33.3	149.1	96.6	334.4
Glycinde picta			20.0	27.4
Goniada brunnea	7.5	9.8	5.1	5.6
Goniada maculata			7.9	
Onuphidae	0.6	0.8		8.7
Onuphis spp.	8.8	0.7		1.0
Onuphis iridescens	0.6	31.4	22.5	6.1
Onuphis elegans	19.9			0.2
Lumbrineridae				2.6
Lumbrineris spp.	1.8	4.1	21.0	2.7
Lumbrineris bicirrata	2.9	8.5	10.4	3.8
Lumbrineris cruzensis				0.2
Lumbrineris californiensis				1.4
Lumbrineris luti	0.2		6.5	
Lumbrineris limicola	0.2		1.5	
Arabellidae			0.3	
Notocirrus spp.			0.5	
Dorvillea spp.			0.3	

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

	YEAR			
Taxa	1989	1990	1991	1992
Orbiniidae		7.0	1.0	24.5
Leitoscoloplos pugettensis	72.3	143.6	112.6	39.8
Naineris uncinata				0.2
Scoloplos spp.			0.2	
Scoloplos armiger	3.2		1.5	1.3
Orbinia (Phylo) *felix*	11.7	18.6	13.6	6.6
Paraonidae			1.8	
Aricidea spp.			1.2	0.5
Aricidea (Acesta) *catherinae*	0.5			0.3
Aricidae lopezi				0.2
Aricidea suecica		1.1		
Aricidae quadrilobata		0.2		
Paraonella platybranchia	1.0	4.1	0.2	1.8
Spionidae	0.3		22.3	0.3
Laonice spp.			0.2	
Laonice cirrata	0.6	0.8	1.2	
Paraprionospio pinnata				0.3
Polydora spp.			0.2	0.2
Polydora cornuta			0.2	
Polydora brachycephala		0.3	26.0	0.5
Polydora socialis		0.2		0.6
Prionospio spp.			0.3	
Prionospio steenstrupi	0.2		1.2	
Prionopsio lighti	2.4	14.2	164.2	42.5
Spio spp.		0.3	2.0	0.6
Spio filicornis	1.4	0.3	1.2	0.2
Spio butleri		0.5		1.8
Polydora (Boccardia) *pugettens*		1.0		0.2
Boccardia spp.			0.5	
Spiophanes spp.			161.1	
Spiophanes bombyx	69.4	359.2	303.5	1309.2
Spiophanes berkeleyorum	68.6	268.3	382.9	1200.2
Scolelepis spp.				3.2
Scolelepis squamata		0.2		0.3
Magelonidae				
Magelona spp.		5.2	55.7	35.6
Magelona berkeleyi				0.6
Magelona hobsonae			3.3	1.9
Magelona longicornis	7.9	33.2	23.8	13.0
Magelona sacculata	60.9	250.2	384.2	312.3
Trochochaetidae				1.9
Trochochaeta multisetosa	0.2			

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

Taxa	1989	1990	1991	1992
		YEAR		
Chaetopteridae			14.6	16.0
Spiochaetopterus spp.			4.6	
Spiochaetopterus costarum		1.5	0.3	3316.4
Mesochaetopterus taylori		0.8		1.0
Cirratulidae	0.2	1.6	22.0	0.3
Aphelochaeta spp.	0.2		3.1	
Aphelochaeta multifilis		0.2	3.8	0.2
Chaetozone spp.			0.7	0.3
Chaetozone setosa	1.8			
Chaetozone spinosa	72.9	62.0	175.0	137.4
Flabelligeridae				0.3
Flabelligera affinis				0.3
Opheliidae			0.2	1.8
Armandia brevis			0.2	15.5
Ophelia spp.		0.2		0.2
Travisia spp.		0.5		0.2
Travisia brevis		0.2		
Travisia japonica	0.2			
Capitellidae	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.5
Barantolla americana				0.2
Capitella capitata complex	0.2			0.3
Decamastus gracilis	8.5	7.3	2.3	0.5
Heteromastus filiformis		0.2		0.3
Heteromastus filobranchus	0.2	4.1	0.2	0.5
Heteromastus spp.			0.7	
Mediomastus californiensis	0.5	3.7	20.3	2.7
Mediomastus spp.		0.2	1.0	6.7
Notomastus lineatus	9.5	8.8	0.2	2.4
Notomastus tenuis	0.2		0.2	0.3
Notomastus spp.			6.5	
Arenicolidae				
Abarenicola spp.			0.2	
Maldanidae		0.3	0.2	1.0
Asychis spp.		0.7		
Euclymene spp.				0.2
Oweniidae				
Owenia fusiformis	0.2	8.5	0.3	622.3
Galathowenia oculata		0.5	7.3	0.3
Pectinariidae				
Pectinari spp.			0.3	14.4
Pectinaria californiensis				8.0

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

Taxa	1989	1990	1991	1992
	YEAR			
Ampharetidae			1.7	4.0
Ampharete spp.			2.5	1.3
Ampharete acutifrons	1.1	2.9	0.5	1.9
Ampharete labrops			0.2	
Ampharete finmarchica	0.6			
Terebellidae	0.2		0.8	0.3
Pista spp.			0.8	
Polycirrus spp. complex	0.6	4.4		1.1
Sabellidae			0.2	
Chone dunneri		0.2		
Euchone hancocki		0.3		0.2
Euchone incolor	0.3			
Oligochaeta		0.5		0.3
Hirudinea	0.2	0.3		
Gastropoda	1.9	0.5	2.6	1.4
Archaeogastropoda				
Turbinidae				
Spiromoellaria quadrae			0.2	0.3
Mesogastropoda				
Lacunidae				
Lacuna spp.			0.2	0.2
Naticidae	0.2			
Polinices spp.	0.2			
Nitidella gouldi	14.1	22.0	36.9	58.7
Nassariidae				
Nassarius spp.			4.1	12.8
Nassarius mendicus	1.0	0.8	1.3	1.1
Nassarius fossatus			1.0	1.4
Nassarius perpinguis		0.2	0.2	
Olividae				
Olivella spp.	6.1	9.9	1.0	109.0
Olivella biplicata	1.6	1.6		
Olivella cf. *biplicata*		0.7		
Olivella baetica	1.6	19.5	513.2	238.5
Olivella pycna	8.8	57.8	3.5	57.4
Turridae			0.2	0.6
Oenopata spp.				1.4
Kurtziella plumbea	1.1	1.6	4.0	2.2
Pyramidellidae				
Odostomia spp.	0.5	2.1	2.8	2.1
Turbonilla spp.		0.7	1.3	1.0

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

Taxa	YEAR 1989	1990	1991	1992
Cylichnidae				
Cylichna spp.	0.5	0.5		
Cylichna attonsa	2.7		8.6	5.0
Cylichna alba		5.0		
Scaphandridae				
Scaphander willetti			0.2	7.4
Aglajidae				
Melanochlamys diomedea	0.5	1.8	6.9	0.5
Melanochlamys cf.*diomedea*	0.3	0.5		
Gastropteridae				
Gastropteron pacificum	0.3		4.5	77.6
Diaphanidae				
Diaphana spp.			0.8	11.9
Cuthona spp.		0.2		
Gymnosomata				0.3
Aplacophora				
Chaetodermatidae				
Chaetoderma spp.				0.6
Pelecypoda	12.5	5.7	0.8	2.4
Nuculidae	0.2			
Acila castrensis	1.3	3.7	2.8	1.9
Nucula tenuis	1.9	3.7	5.6	8.5
Yoldia spp.			0.2	0.6
Yoldia myalis		1.1		
Yoldia scissurata		0.3	0.7	
Mytilidae	0.3	7.7	7.6	4.2
Montacutidae			0.5	
Mysella tumida				0.2
Pseudopythina rugifera				0.3
Thyasiridae				
Axinopsida serricata	22.9	37.8	50.3	49.9
Cutellidae				
Siliqua spp.			0.2	227.2
Siliqua patula	0.3	0.3	0.5	
Siliqua sloati				1.0
Solen sicarius				1.3
Tellinidae				
Macoma spp.	2.9	4.1	17.9	38.3
Macoma calcarea		4.1	1.5	0.2
Macoma cf. *lama*		0.3		
Macoma carlottensis		0.5		
Macoma balthica		2.8		
Macoma cf. *balthica*		0.5		
Macoma secta				0.2
Tellina spp.	9.5	1.3	23.7	3.8

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

Taxa	YEAR 1989	1990	1991	1992
Tellina carpenteri		1.5		0.3
Tellina modesta	0.5	0.8		0.5
Tellina cf. *modesta*		0.2		
Tellina cf. *bodegensis*	0.5	0.2		
Pandoridae				
Pandora spp.				0.2
Pandora filosa		0.3	0.2	0.2
Pandora punctata			0.5	0.5
Scaphopoda			0.2	0.2
Arthropoda				
Arachnida		0.2		0.3
Crustacea				
Ostracoda	0.2		0.2	
Ostracoda sp. 2	0.2			
Podon spp.		0.2		
Cylindroleberididae	4.8	9.1	6.9	31.4
Bathyleberis spp.	0.2		11.2	
Philomedidae			9.8	
Cypridinidae				
Euphilomedes spp.				3.4
Euphilomedes carcharodonta	22.6	38.1	51.1	81.3
Calanoida copepoda				*a
Harpacticoida copepoda				*
Clausidium vancouverense				*
Cirripedia		*		*
Balanus spp.		*		*
Leptostraca				
Nebaliidae				
Nebalia spp.			0.3	
Nebalia pugettensis	0.3	0.3	1.2	2.4
Mysidacea				
Mysidacea				
Mysidae	0.2			
Acanthomysis columbiae	*			*
Acanthomysis macrops		*		
Archaeomysis grebnitzkii	2.4	3.6	3.3	0.2
Neomysis spp.		*		
Neomysis kadiakensis		*		*
Neomysis rayii	*			
Cumacea		6.8	0.2	0.3
Lampropidae				
Hemilamprops spp.				0.2
Hemilamprops californica		33.5		24.0

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

Taxa	YEAR 1989	1990	1991	1992
Leuconidae	0.8	0.2	19.4	
Leucon spp.			8.6	44.4
Diastylidae	0.3	0.2	5.3	
Diastylis spp.	0.2	4.1	48.8	11.4
Diastylopsis spp.	0.2		104.7	1.3
Diastylopsis dawsoni	1.6	4.7	148.7	27.7
Diastylopsis tenuis	0.2	45.4	28.0	34.1
Colurostylidae				
Colurostylis spp.				0.3
Colurostylis occidentalis	0.5	22.1	54.7	1.0
Campylaspis spp.	0.3		0.2	
Nannastacidae	0.2			1.1
Cumella vulgaris			0.2	
Tanaidacea				
Leptognathiidae				
Leptognathia spp.	0.2			0.3
Isopoda				
Sphaeromatidae				
Tecticeps alascensis			0.2	
Tecticeps pugettensis	0.2	0.3	0.3	
Ancinus granulatus		0.2		0.5
Bathycopea daltonae		0.2		
Idoteidae				
Synidotea spp.		7.2	0.5	5.9
Synidotea cf. *nodulosa*		0.2		
Synidotea angulata	2.4	17.6	34.9	12.8
Idotea spp.	0.3		0.3	
Edotea spp.				0.2
Edotea sublittoralis	0.2	1.0	3.6	
Munnidae	0.2			1.0
Pleurogonium rubicundum		0.8		
Liriopsidae				
Liriopsis pygmaea		0.2		
Amphipoda		0.2	0.2	
Gammaridea	0.3	1.5		0.2
Atylidae				
Atylus tridens		0.3		
Ampeliscidae				
Ampelisca spp.	0.5		5.5	4.3
Ampelisca macrocephala	1.0		1.0	
Ampelisca pugetica		0.2		
Ampelisca careyi	5.6	19.0	24.6	16.7
Aoroidae				0.3
Aoroides spp.		4.7		

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

Taxa	YEAR 1989	1990	1991	1992
Melitidae				
Elasmopus spp.				0.2
Ischyroceridae				
Cerapus spp.			3.8	
Corophiidae				
Corophium spp.			0.7	
Corophium spinicorne	0.8	1.8	0.5	1.1
Gammaridae			0.3	
Haustoridae				
Eohaustorius spp.	2.9	1.5	12.6	1.1
Eohaustorius washingtonianus	0.8	0.3	2.3	
Eohaustorius estuarius	1.8		29.9	6.7
Eohaustorius sawyeri	0.2		1.7	
Eohaustorius sencillus	57.4	32.2	12.7	36.9
Isaeidae				4.0
Cheirimedia spp.		0.5	9.1	
Cheirimedia zotea			26.3	
Cheirimedia cf. *zotea*	0.2		0.2	
Gammaropsis spp.				1.1
Photis spp.	2.1	3.4	2.5	10.4
Photis brevipes	0.2		8.9	
Photis macinerneyi	3.0	19.0	39.9	44.7
Photis parvidons	1.0	0.3	7.8	2.7
Protomedeia spp.	0.2		7.9	4.6
Protomedeia articulata		0.2		87.8
Ischyroceridae	0.2			
Ischyrocerus spp.				0.2
Lysianassidae				
Anonyx spp.	9.1		4.3	
Anonyx cf. *liljeborgi*	9.3	0.3		
Anonyx liljeborgi			0.7	
Hippomedon spp.				0.2
Lepidepecreum spp.				0.2
Lepidecreum gurjanovae		6.3		0.3
Opisa tridentata	0.2	0.3	0.2	
Orchomene spp.	3.2	0.3	3.6	0.8
Orchomene pacifica	0.8		94.3	0.3
Orchomene pinquis				115.3
Orchomene cf. *pinquis*	9.6	52.3	7.9	81.4
Pachynus c.f. *barnardi*		0.2		9.8
Wecomedon spp.	0.2			
Wecomedon wecomus	0.8			
Oedicerotidae	0.2	0.5		
Bathymedon spp.				1.6
Monoculodes spp.	0.6	0.2	1.0	1.1

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

	YEAR			
Taxa	1989	1990	1991	1992
Monoculodes spinipes	1.3	7.0	2.3	
Synchelidium spp.	0.2		41.3	
Synchelidium shoemakeri		8.6	14.4	42.2
Westwoodilla caecula	1.0	2.3	15.5	3.7
Pardaliscidae				
Pardalisca spp.		0.2		
Phoxocephalidae				
Paraphoxus spp.	0.3		4.1	
Paraphoxus cognatus			0.3	
Foxiphalus obtusidens	2.2	0.3	0.5	
Paraphoxus oculatus	0.3	0.8	3.0	
Mandibulophoxus gilesi	0.6	1.1		
Mandibulophoxus uncirostratus		0.2		
Rhepoxynius spp.	43.1	0.7	100.9	68.1
Rhepoxynius abronius	26.6	12.7	4.3	16.0
Rhepoxynius daboius	28.1	83.2	32.7	89.1
Rhepoxynius heterocuspidata	0.6		3.1	
Rhepoxynius variatus	0.2	0.5	10.3	
Rhepoxynius tridentatus	0.5	0.3	0.7	
Rhepoxynius vigitegus	9.3	5.4	12.7	13.5
Eobrolgus spinosus	0.3		3.1	0.3
Foxiphalus major	0.3		23.0	1.4
Pleustidae		0.5		
Parapleustes spp.		6.0		14.9
Parapleustes den				0.3
Stenothoidae	0.2	6.8	3.8	8.0
Synopiidae				
Tiron biocellata		0.3		
Hyperiidae		0.3		
Caprellidae				
Caprella spp.		0.2		
Decapoda				*
Caridea zoea				*
Caridea larvae				*
Crangonidae	*			
Crangon spp.				*
Crangon nigricauda		*		*
Lissocrangon stylirostris				*
Callianassidae	0.2	0.2		
Callianassa spp.	0.3	8.5	6.5	2.6
Callianassa californiensis	0.2		3.6	
Anomura				0.3
Paguridae	0.6	1.1	0.3	0.3
Pagurus spp.	2.4	1.1	8.9	9.9

Appendix C Table C-2.--Continued.

Taxa	YEAR 1989	1990	1991	1992
Upogebiidae				
Upogebia spp.	0.8			
Upogebia pugettensis	0.2			
Brachyura				
Cancridae			0.2	
Cancer spp.	0.2	0.2		
Cancer magister			0.2	
Onychophora				
Pinnotheridae				
Pinnixa spp.	0.3	0.5	16.2	0.5
Pinnixa eburna		0.7		1.3
Sipunculidae	0.6		1.5	1.4
Echiura				57.2
Echiuridae				
Arhynchite pugettensis		0.3		
Echiurus echiurus alaskanus		0.5		
Phoronida	1.0	7.2	35.6	19.2
Phoronidae		4.2	0.3	
Brachiopoda				
Ophiuroidea		8.0		0.5
Amphiuridae	0.8			
Amphiodia spp.	5.8		3.3	47.8
Amphiodia urtica	0.5		9.4	
Ophiuridae				
Ophiura spp.	0.5		0.5	
Echnodermata				
Echinoidea				80.3
Dendrasteridae				
Dendraster excentricus	1.0	4.6	0.8	1.6
Holothuroidea	0.2	1.1	0.7	0.3
Insecta				
Coleoptera				*
Chaetognatha				*
Sagittidae				
Sagitta spp.				*

[a] Taxa found but not considered a benthic invertebrate or not sampled quantitatively and not included in analysis.

Appendix C Table C-3.--Summary of benthic invertebrates, by station at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River, in June/July 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992.

Appendix C Table C-3 is 136 pages long and is therefore not included with this report. A copy of Table C-3 may be obtained from the authors of this report upon request. The table will be provided on MS/DOS or Apple formatted 3.5 inch floppy disc in MS/DOS WordPerfect® 5.1 or Macintosh WordPerfect® 2.0.1 format. Other text formats can be provided however table structure can not be guaranteed.

Appendix C Table C-4.--Fishes and large epibenthic invertebrates captured at and adjacent to Site F, offshore from the Columbia River, with an 8-m bottom trawl in June/July/August 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992.

		Year			
Scientific name	Common name	1989	1990	1991	1992
Fish					
Squalidae					
Squalus acanthias	Spiny dogfish	x			x
Rajidae					
Raja binoculata	Big skate	x	x	x	x
Clupeidae					
Clupea harengus pallasi	Pacific herring		x	x	x
Engraulidae					
Engraulis mordax	Northern anchovy		x		
Osmeridae					
Larval smelt		x	x	x	
Unidetified smelt				x	
Allosmerus elongatus	Whitebait smelt	x	x	x	x
Hypomesus pretiosus	Surf smelt		x		
Spirinchus thaleichthys	Longfin smelt		x		x
Spirinchus starksi	Night smelt				x
Gadidae					
Merluccius productus	Pacific hake	x		x	x
Microgadus proximus	Pacific tomcod	x	x	x	x
Scorpaenidae					
Unidentified rockfish		x	x		
Sebastes melanops	Black rockfish		x		
Hexagrammidae					
Ophiodon elongatus	Lingcod		x		x
Cottidae					
Leptocottus armatus	Pacific staghorn sculpin	x	x	x	x
Agonidae					
Agonus acipenserinus	Sturgeon poacher		x		x
Occella verrucosa	Warty poacher	x	x	x	x
Stellerina xyosterna	Pricklebreast poacher	x			x

Appendix C Table C-4.--Continued.

Scientific name	Common name	1989	1990	1991	1992
		Year			
Cyclopteridae					
Unidentified snailfish		x			
Liparis pulchellus	Showy snailfish		x	x	x
Bothidae					
Unidentified sanddab		x	x	x	x
Citharichthys sordidus	Pacific sanddab	x	x	x	x
Citharichthys stigmaeus	Speckled sanddab		x	x	x
Pleuronectidae					
Larval flatfish		x	x		
Eopsetta jordani	Petrale sole	x	x	x	x
Glyptocephalus zachirus	Rex sole	x	x	x	x
Isopsetta isolepis	Butter sole	x	x	x	x
Lyopsetta exilis	Slender sole			x	
Microstomus pacificus	Dover sole	x		x	x
Parophrys vetulus	English sole	x	x	x	x
Platichthys stellatus	Starry flounder			x	x
Pleuronichthys decurrens	Curlfin sole				x
Psettichthys melanostictus	Sand sole	x	x	x	x
Invertebrates					
Loliginidae					
Loligo opalescens	Common squid	x	x		
Octopodidae					
Octopus dofleini	Giant octopus				x
Canceridae					
Cancer magister	Dungeness crab	x	x	x	
Cancer gracilis	Graceful crab		x		
Crangonidae					
Crangon franciscorum	California bay shrimp	x	x	x	x
Crangon alaskensis	Northern shrimp	x	x	x	x
Lissocrangon stylirostris	Smooth shrimp		x	x	x
Pandalidae					
Pandalus platycerus	Prawn				x
Luidiidae					
Luidia foliolata	Sand star	x	x	x	
Total number of taxa		25	31	26	32

Appendix C Table C-5.--Summary of 8-m trawling efforts at and adjacent to ODMDS F, offshore from the Columbia River in June\July\August 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992.

Station: FS

Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 21 Jun 1989
Depth: 41.1 m
Distance traveled: 241 m

Species	Number captured	Total wt. (g)	Number per hectare	Wt. (g) per hectare
Big skate	1	434	8	3,602
Whitebait smelt	1,050	6,181	8,714	51,295
Pacific tomcod	17	541	141	4,490
Pacific staghorn sculpin	1	140	8	1,162
Warty poacher	4	61	33	506
Unidentified sanddab	12	26	100	216
Pacific sanddab	80	11,348	664	94,174
Petrale sole	9	2,118	75	17,577
Rex sole	1	105	8	871
Butter sole	33	1,317	274	10,929
English sole	29	3,817	241	31,676
Dover sole	1	287	8	2,382
Dungeness crab	3	1,367	25	11,344
Northern crangon	67	102	556	846
Sand star	2	6	17	50
TOTALS	1,310	27,850	10,872	231,120

H = 1.26 J = 0.32

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

Station: FM

Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 21 Jun 1989
Depth: 41.1 m
Distance traveled: 852 m

Species	Number captured	Total Wt. (g)	Number per hectare	Wt. (g) per hectare
Spiny dogfish	1	873	2	2,049
Big skate	1	103	2	242
Larval smelt	3	0	7	0
Whitebait smelt	75	354	176	831
Pacific hake	2	1,461	5	3,430
Pacific tomcod	112	3,700	263	8,685
Pacific staghorn sculpin	6	969	14	2,275
Warty poacher	11	192	26	451
Unidentified sanddab	16	15	38	35
Pacific sanddab	130	16,396	305	38,488
Petrale sole	9	2,489	21	5,843
Rex sole	1	219	2	514
Butter sole	116	23,709	272	55,655
English sole	79	7,909	185	18,566
Sand sole	1	226	2	531
Dover sole	4	1,064	9	2,498
Dungeness crab	7	3,032	16	7,117
Northern crangon	141	203	331	477
Sand star	3	3	7	7
TOTALS	718	62,917	1,683	147,694

H = 3.04 J = 0.72

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

Station: FN

Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 21 Jun 1989
Depth: 41.1 m
Distance traveled: 463 m

Species	Number captured	Total wt. (g)	Number per hectare	Wt. (g) per hectare
Big skate	1	279	4	1,205
Larval smelt	20	0	86	0
Pacific hake	10	6,415	43	27,711
Pacific tomcod	54	1,315	233	5,680
Unidentified rockfish	2	2	9	9
Pacific staghorn sculpin	1	161	4	695
Warty poacher	9	80	39	346
Pricklebreast poacher	35	19	151	82
Unidentified snailfish	4	0	17	0
Unidentified sanddab	11	12	48	52
Pacific sanddab	2	304	9	1,313
Rex sole	1	187	4	808
Butter sole	62	3,358	268	14,505
English sole	29	5,187	125	22,406
Dover sole	2	441	9	1,905
Larval flatfish	1	0	4	0
Dungeness crab	8	1,448	35	6,255
Northern crangon	88	95	380	410
California bay shrimp	95	206	410	890
Sand star	5	14	22	60
Common squid	1	43	4	186
TOTALS	441	19,566	1,904	84,518

H = 3.28 J = 0.75

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

Station: FS

Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 12 Jun 1990
Depth: 41.8 m
Distance traveled: 1,204 m

Species	Number captured	Total wt. (g)	Number per hectare	Wt. (g) per hectare
Pacific herring	44	933	73	1,550
Northern anchovy	27	810	45	1,346
Surf smelt	1	34	2	56
Whitebait smelt	1,186	9,071	1,970	15,068
Pacific tomcod	3	44	5	73
Pacific staghorn sculpin	4	613	7	1,018
Pacific sanddab	40	5,455	66	9,061
Petrale sole	1	138	2	229
Rex sole	1	240	2	399
English sole	52	6,595	86	10,955
Northern crangon	13	18	22	30
TOTALS	1,372	23,951	2,280	39,785

H = 0.91 J = 0.26

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

Station: FM

Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 12 Jun 1990
Depth: 36.9 m
Distance traveled: 889 m

Species	Number captured	Total wt. (g)	Number per hectare	Wt. (g) per hectare
Big skate	2	407	4	916
Pacific herring	37	749	83	1,685
Northern anchovy	16	514	36	1,156
Surf smelt	2	57	4	128
Longfin smelt	1	9	2	20
Whitebait smelt	9,472	49,489	21,309	111,336
Pacific tomcod	79	3,792	178	8,531
Unidentified rockfish	1	1	2	2
Lingcod	8	80	18	180
Pacific staghorn sculpin	25	3,702	56	8,328
Sturgeon poacher	1	9	2	20
Warty poacher	5	95	11	214
Pacific sanddab	134	13,004	301	29,255
Speckled sanddab	5	90	11	202
Petrale sole	2	610	4	1,372
Rex sole	1	156	2	351
Butter sole	31	1,011	70	2,274
English sole	186	19,909	418	44,790
Sand sole	21	1,613	47	3,629
Larval flatfish	8	13	18	29
Dungeness crab	1	450	2	1,012
Cancer gracilis	1	8	2	18
Northern crangon	70	106	157	238
California bay shrimp	1	3	2	7
Sand star	1	10	2	22
Common squid	1	25	2	56
TOTALS	10,112	95,912	22,743	215,771

H = 0.54 J = 0.11

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

Station: FN

Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 12 Jun 1990
Depth: 37.8 m
Distance traveled: 523 m

Species	Number captured	Total wt. (g)	Number per hectare	Wt. (g) per hectare
Big skate	1	271	4	1,036
Pacific herring	1	25	4	96
Longfin smelt	78	473	298	1,809
Larval smelt	48	48	184	184
Whitebait smelt	114	691	436	2,642
Pacific tomcod	76	3,530	291	13,499
Black rockfish	1	2,210	4	8,451
Unidentified rockfish	6	6	23	23
Lingcod	6	54	23	207
Pacific staghorn sculpin	30	4,766	115	18,226
Warty poacher	2	51	8	195
Showy snailfish	3	132	11	505
Pacific sanddab	19	1,389	73	5,312
Speckled sanddab	23	233	88	891
Butter sole	192	4,312	734	16,489
English sole	36	4,813	138	18,405
Sand sole	30	2,053	115	7,851
Larval flatfish	27	0	103	0
Larval sanddab	61	72	233	275
Dungeness crab	4	1,788	15	6,837
Northern crangon	184	197	704	753
California bay shrimp	47	58	180	222
Smooth crangon	1	1	4	4
Sand star	2	10	8	38
TOTALS	992	27,183	3,796	103,950

H = 3.59 J = 0.78

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

STATION:FM
Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 7 Aug 1991
Depth: 38.1 m
Distance traveled: 492 m

Species	No. Captured	Total Wt. (g)	No. Per Hectare	Wt. Per Hectare
Unidentified juv. smelt	3	3	12	12
Whitebait smelt	125	1,171	508	4,760
Pacific tomcod	9	178	37	724
Pacific staghorn sculpin	1	123	4	500
Warty poacher	1	36	4	146
Pacific sanddab	46	4,631	187	18,825
Speckled sanddab	5	54	20	220
Petrale sole	1	151	4	614
Butter sole	5	289	20	1,175
English sole	142	11,770	577	7,846
Sand sole	4	514	16	2,089
Dover sole	2	174	8	707
Northern crangon	15	14	61	59
California bay shrimp	6	6	24	27
Smooth crangon	3	0	12	2
TOTALS	368	19,115	1,494	77,706

H = 2.31   J = 0.59

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

STATION:FS
Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 7 Aug 1991
Depth: 33.5 m
Distance traveled: 373 m

Species	No. Captured	Total Wt.(g)	No. Per Hectare	Wt. Per Hectare
Big skate	1	700	5	3,753
Larval smelt	6	6	32	32
Whitebait smelt	4	21	21	113
Pacific tomcod	30	35	161	188
Pacific staghorn sculpin	6	811	32	4,349
Pacific sanddab	168	16,182	901	86,767
Speckled sanddab	14	155	75	831
Petrale sole	6	1,163	32	6,236
Butter sole	3	237	16	1,271
English sole	481	34,435	2,579	184,638
Sand sole	8	1,498	43	8,032
Northern crangon	15	167	80	895
California bay shrimp	5	60	27	322
Smooth crangon	2	6	11	32
TOTALS	749	55,476	4,015	297,459

H = 1.69 J = 0.44

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

STATION:FN
Gear: 8-m Trawl
Date: 7 Aug 1991
Depth: 38.4 m
Distance traveled: 383 m

Species	No. Captured	Total Wt. (g)	No. Per Hectare	Wt. Per Hectare
Big skate	14	9,031	73	47,159
Pacific herring	1	25	5	131
Unidentified juv. smelt	13	13	68	68
Whitebait smelt	10	79	52	413
Pacific hake	2	1,186	10	6,193
Pacific tomcod	123	644	642	3,363
Pacific staghorn sculpin	9	981	47	5,123
Warty poacher	15	322	78	1,681
Showy snailfish	2	97	10	507
Unidentified sanddab	2	2	10	10
Pacific sanddab	23	3,263	120	17,039
Speckled sanddab	9	79	47	413
Rex sole	3	344	16	1,796
Butter sole	53	3,959	277	20,674
Slender sole	3	163	16	851
English sole	241	33,105	1,258	172,872
Starry flounder	1	776	5	4,052
Sand sole	13	1,896	68	9,901
Dover sole	31	3,647	162	19,044
Dungeness crab	1	395	5	2,063
Northern crangon	43	600	225	3,133
California bay shrimp	7	77	37	402
Smooth crangon	4	18	21	94
Sand star	2	116	10	606
TOTALS	625	60,818	3,262	317,588

H = 3.05 J = 0.67

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

Station: FM
Gear: 8-m trawl
Date: 1 Jul 1992
Depth: 37.8 m
Distance traveled: 426 m

Species	No. Captured	Total Wt.(g)	No. Per Hectare	Wt.(g) Per Hectare
Spiny dogfish	2	2,406	9	11,296
Big skate	1	73	5	343
Pacific herring	1	47	5	221
Whitebait smelt	2,970	15,130	13,944	71,033
Pacific tomcod	5	381	23	1,789
Lingcod	6	71	28	333
Pacific staghorn sculpin	32	3,044	150	14,291
Sturgeon poacher	1	16	5	75
Pacific sanddab	264	18,350	1,239	86,150
Speckled sanddab	15	260	70	1,221
Petrale sole	1	282	5	1,324
Butter sole	20	270	94	1,268
English sole	87	7,068	408	33,183
Sand sole	6	785	28	3,685
Dover sole	1	108	5	507
Larval sanddab	40	97	188	455
Dungeness crab	71	24,992	333	117,333
Northern crangon	3	12	14	56
California bay shrimp	1	4	5	19
Smooth crangon	3	4	14	19
TOTALS	3,530	73,400	16,572	344,601

H = 1.03 J = 0.24

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

Station: FS
Gear: 8-m trawl
Date: 1 Jul 1992
Depth: 40.2 m
Distance traveled: 463 m

Species	No. Captured	Total Wt. (g)	No. Per Hectare	Wt. (g) Per Hectare
Pacific tomcod	1	1	4	4
Lingcod	3	43	13	186
Pacific staghorn sculpin	14	1,455	60	6,285
Warty poacher	1	11	4	48
Pacific sanddab	191	15,546	825	67,153
Speckled sanddab	25	359	108	1,551
Rex sole	1	161	4	695
Butter sole	6	295	26	1,274
English sole	118	8,944	510	38,635
Curlfin sole	1	93	4	402
Sand sole	5	335	22	1,447
Dover sole	1	106	4	458
Larval sanddab	79	138	341	596
Dungeness crab	453	161,562	1,957	697,892
Northern crangon	3	49	13	212
Smooth crangon	2	3	9	13
TOTALS	904	189,101	3,904	816,851

H = 2.12 J = 0.53

Appendix C Table C-5.--Continued.

Station: FN
Gear: 8-m trawl
Date: 1 Jul 1992
Depth: 33.2 m
Distance traveled: 389 m

Species	No. Captured	Total Wt.(g)	No. Per Hectare	Wt.(g) Per Hectare
Spiny dogfish	1	1,000	5	5,141
Big skate	1	41	5	211
Pacific herring	1	61	5	314
Longfin smelt	7	27	36	139
Night smelt	27	151	139	776
Unidentified juv. smelt	3	3	15	15
Whitebait smelt	528	2,715	2,715	13,959
Pacific hake	2	1,161	10	5,969
Pacific tomcod	98	5,852	504	30,087
Lingcod	3	80	15	411
Pacific staghorn sculpin	81	9,091	416	46,740
Warty poacher	2	21	10	108
Pricklebreast poacher	2	3	10	15
Showy snailfish	1	37	5	190
Pacific sanddab	5	326	26	1,676
Speckled sanddab	75	485	386	2,494
Butter sole	216	6,517	1,111	33,506
English sole	139	19,587	715	100,704
Starry flounder	4	2,932	21	15,075
Sand sole	21	5,455	108	28,046
Dover sole	2	226	10	1,162
Dungeness crab	21	7,646	108	39,311
Northern crangon	17	134	87	689
California bay shrimp	9	86	46	442
Smooth crangon	69	171	355	879
Prawn	1	63	5	324
Giant octopus	2	12	10	62
TOTALS	1,338	63,883	6,878	328,445

H = 2.94 J = 0.62