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NABSTRACT Bonneville Power Administration conducted a study related to migrational behavior and survival of juvenile salmonids entering the Columbia River estuary. Beach and purse seines were used to sample at Jones Beach (RKm 75) from March through November 1981. The total salmonid catch was approximately 200,000 fish, of which $3.3 \%$ were marked.

Migration peaks for hatchery yearling chinook and coho salmon and steelhead trout occurred during early May whereas three peaks were recorded for subyearling chinook salmon during April, May, and July. Movement rates were slowest for three groups: (1) those that had wintered in the system, (2) yearling chinook salmon released in March and April, and (3) small subyearling chinook salmon released throughout the spring and summer. Movement rates to the estuary generally increased with increasing river flow and fish size.

Survival rates to the estuary were increased by transportation. Estimated survival rates of transported groups (relative to controls) increased proportionately with the numbers of dams bypassed--averaging 56\% for one dam and 311\% for eight dams.

The Jones Beach sampling provided survival estimates to other agencies for nutrition, rearing density, and chemical treatment studies.
'-)
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## INTRODUCTION

Returns of adult salmon and steelhead to the Columbia and Snake Rivers have declined to critical levels during the last decade. One of the most significant factors in this decline is failure of juveniles (smolts) to successfully migrate to the ocean. Dams and their reservoirs are major obstacles to the seaward migration of smolts. In recent years, increased electrical power requirements have necessitated more generating capacity resulting in decreased water flow during the peak migration of juvenile salmonids. Consequently, migration time is extended anf more fish are passing through the turbines at each dam, thus decreasing the survival of juveniles (Raymond 1979). Fishery agencies with the cooperation of power entities have responded by: (1) increasing and improving production at hatcheries, (2) improving turbine bypass systems for smolts, (3) transporting juvenile salmon past dams by truck and barge to downstream sites, and (4) recommending minimum flows during the periud of the spring smolt migration.

In 1977, National. Marine Fisheries Service (i MFS) personnel reestablished an estuarine sampling station at Jones Beach in the upper Columbia River estuary at River Kilometer (RKm) 75 to assist in evaluating some of the above activities taken to increase survival of smolts (Figure 1).

Timing, survival, and migratory behavior of juvenile salmonids from various sites were examined each year through 1980 (Figure 2). Although valuable information relative to smolt behavior and survival was obtained, additional data were needed to more precisely evaluate juvenile survival and migrational behavior in relation to other actions recommended to increase smolt survival.


Figure 1.--Map of lower Columbia River and estuary; Jones Beach sampling site and Prescott release site are indicated at Rkm 75 and 115, respectively.


> Figure 2.--Map of release sites for marked fish in Columbia River systems. Index numbers correspond to location and Rkm as indicated on legend.

The initial funds were provided by the Pacific Northwest Regional Commission (PNRC). In 1981, the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) began funding the sampling in the estuary. The current objectives of this sampling are:

1. Define migration timing and movement rate of various stocks of salmonids from release location to the estuary.
2. Obtain comparative capture percentages for marked groups to evaluate relative smolt survival in relation to:
a. mitigation hatcheries;
b. bypass systems at dams;
c. transportation programs;
d. fish size, release site, and date;
e. adult recoveries and;
f. river flows and electrical power production.
3. Amass information on which to partially base management and regulatory practices which will restore, enhance, and protect the fishery resources of the Columbia River.

This report summarizes sampling activities for 1981 and includes an evaluation of catch data from 1977 to 1981.

EXPERIMENTAL AREA AND METHODOLOGY

The Columbia River at Jones Beach (RKm 75) is about 1.6 km wide and has a central ship channel that is dredged to a depth of 14 m . This location is considered by hydrologists to be the "entrance" to the estuary. The gradually sloped sandy beach and debris-free channel make the site ideal for sampling with both beach and purse seines.

## Catches at Jones Beach of marked fish released at many locations throughout the Columbia River system (Figure 2) provided the majority of the data contained in this report.

Equipment and Sampling Procedures
Purse seining was done in water about 9 m deep at the north edge of the ship channel near the upstream tip of Puget Island. The seine was 206 m long and 11 m deep with mesh of 1 to 2 cm stretched measure (Johnsen and Sims 1973). Beach seining was done on the Oregon shore where the water depth was about 6 m at the outer end of the net sweep. The net was 95 m long and 5 m deep with mesh of 1 to 2 cm (Sims and Johnsen 1974). Variations in the amount of water strained by the seines was minimized in relation to water velocity because the nets moved with the river current as each set was made.

From 1977 through 1980, sampling began in March and ended in September except for 1977 and 1978 when sampling continued through the winter and into the following spring. In 1981, sampling at Jones Beach began in March and terminated in December. Seining effort varied weekly depending on the number of migrants present. Initial fishing effort was 4 sets/day, 3 days/week with the beach seine and 2 sets/day, 2 days/week with the purse seine. In May and June, the nets were fished 6 or 7 days/week with an average of 10 sets/day for the beach seine and 4 sets/day for the purse seine. From July to September, the effort reverted to the initial level (Appendix Table Al). Generally, sampling began at sunrise and continued for 7 hours.

## Physical Data

Surface water temperatures were measured daily at Jones Beach to + 0.5
${ }^{\circ}$ C. Average daily river flow at Bonneville Dam was obtained from the U.S.

Army Corps of Engineersl'. Weekly turbidity measurements for the Columbia River downstream from the Cowlitz River were obtained from NMFS personnel at the Prescott Field Station2/.

Fish Processing
Fish were examined at a permanent fish processing facility on shore; they were anesthetized with ethyl p-aminobenzoate (benzocaine), enumerated by species, and examined for identifying marks. Fork lengths were measured from a subsample of each species. Juvenile chinook salmon were separated into subyearling and yearling categories on the basis of length frequency distributions of fork length; some overlap in age determination occurred and was verified by recovery of marked fish of known age, however, this method generally proved satisfactory. The fish were released after processing. Marks, species, fork length (mm), sampling gear, sampling site, time, and date were recorded for each marked fish. Salmonids with an excised adipose fin, indicating the presence of an implanted coded wire tag (CWT), were passed through a detector to estimate tag retention percentages for each species. When less than 100 CWT per species were captured per day, they were sacrificed for tag identification; when more than 100 CWT per species were caught, subsamples were taken. The data were expanded to represent that portion of the catch that was released.

Samples for other research programs were collected from fish with CWT: (1) gill tissues $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{+}-\mathrm{K}^{+}\right.$ATPase analysis)for the NMFS smoltification study 3/ and (2) scales for Oregon Department of Fish and Wildife

1/ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, NPD, Reservoir Control, 210 Custom House, Portland, OR 97208.

2/ Robert McConnell, NMFS, P.O. Box 1051, Longview, WA 98632. Measurements adjacent to or 8 km downstream from the mouth of the Cowlitz River.

3/ Waldo Zaugg, NMFS, Cook, WA 98605.


#### Abstract

(ODFW) 4/ and Washington Department of Game (WDG)5/. Sex determinations of coho salmon were made for the U.S. Fish and Wildife ServiceV and adult cutthroat trout were jaw tagged and released for WDG.S/•

Portions of the daily catch at Jones Beach were freeze branded; 80\% of the yearling chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead and $40 \%$ of the subyearling chinook salmon were branded 3 days/week from 1 May to 23 June. Recaptures of these branded fish determined the rate of multiple recapture for each species.


Analysis Procedures
Migration Timing

Migrational timing at Jones Beach for subyearling chinook salmon was based on beach seine catches. Purse seine catches were used for migrational timing of yearling chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead. Catches of marked fish were expanded to represent a standard effort of 10 beach seine and 5 purse seine sets daily.

Movement Rates

Movement rates of marked groups to the estuary were calculated using distance traveled and travel time from the date of release at the hatchery to the date of capture of the median fish at Jones Beach. Seasonal average rates for freshwater migration were calculated for each species, using index groups from particular hatcheries for each year.

```
4/ Ron Youker, ODFW, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331.
5/ John Loch, WDG, 1351 Kalama River Rd., Kalama, WA 98625.
6/ Percy Washington, USFWS, Naval Support Activity, Bldg. 204, Seattle, WA
98115.
```


## Relative Survival

Relative survival estimates for marked groups released by various fishery agencies were made by comparing catch rates at Jones Beach:


Variation in Catches
 comparisons were made for mark groups from the same stock and size migrating at different river flows. Only those groups released downstream from Bonneville Dam were used because of the variation in survival affected by flow conditions at dams (Raymond 1979). We examined each species separately and found no significant differences, then combined the data. An inverse relationship between catch percent and flow was apparent in 27 of 35 observations (Appendix Table A2). The average effect of change is river flow on catch percentages was a $1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(35,300 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})$ increase in flow results in a $21 \%$ decrease in catch percentage. Since only 35 comparisons could be made and variation between comparisons was large, the catch/flow relationship is not yet well defined. As the data base increases this measure for the average affect of flow on catch percentages will improve, and an adjustment of catch percentages to reflect river flow changes will be possible.

Evaluation of the overall consistency of Jones Beach catch percentages was made using the $G$ statistic [modification of chi-square for small sample size (Sokal and Rohlf 1969)] to establish the validity of the hypothesis: $H_{\circ}=$ no difference, in catch percentages of identical groups (replicates) released with different marks as opposed to the alternative hypothesis, $H_{A}=$ there were significant differences between catch percentages of replicate groups. Homogeneity of catch percentages was found in 161 of 180 comparisons at the 95\% rejection level.


[^0]

Figure 3.--Differences between catch percentages for replicate mark groups compared to number in catch.

Numbers of marked and unmarked fish captured in 1981 were similar to 1980 but substantially less than 1977-79 (Figure 4). Effort levels throughout the peak migration period were similar for all 5 years, but river flows were substantially higher in 1980 and 1981, and the eruption of Mount St. Helens decreased catches in 1980 (Dawley.et al. 1981).

The sampling at Jones Beach from March through December 1981, (1199 beach seine sets and 379 purse seine sets) resulted in catches of: 123,483 subyearling chinook salmon; 16,541 yearling chinook salmon; 37,633 coho salmon; and 12,312 steelhead (Appendix Tables A3 and A4). Catch percentages of marked fish groups were generally below $0.5 \%$ of the number released (Appendix B); marked fish recaptured included 4,808 CWT and 1,696 external marks (Table 1). Recapture of fish sampled and marked at Jones Beach was less than 0.6\%.

In 1981, water temperatures at Jones Beach ranged from $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in March to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in August and were similar to temperature patterns observed in other years (Appendix Table A5). Turbidity levels ranged from 2.5 to 20 Jackson Turbidity Units (JTU) during the spring and summer migration period--not substantially different than in years prior to the eruption of Mount St. Helens during May of 1980.

Migration Timing
Temporal distributions of migrations of salmon and steelhead (Figure 5) were similar to 1980 (Appendix Table A6). The major differences were that $25 \%$ fewer steelhead were caught during their peak migration period, and a sudden decrease in catch rates of coho salmon and steelhead did not occur as was observed in 1980 coincident with increased river turbidity following the eruption of Mount St. Helens.


* Includes off-shore and lower estuary

Figure 4.--Numbers of marked (darkened area) and unmarked salmonids captured at Jones Beach, 1977-81. Percentage of marked fish in total catch is shown in parenthesis.

Table 1.--Numbers of marked juvenile salmonids captured in the Columbia River estuary (Rkm 75) during 1981.

| Species | Coded <br> wire <br> tagsa/ <br> (CWT)- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ad clip } \\ & \text { (no CWT) } \end{aligned}$ | Brands | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fin } \\ & \text { clips } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chinook salmon-subydarlings | 3,232 | 232 | 304 | 4 | 3,772 |
| Chinook salmon-yearlings | 464 | 49 | 31 | 471 | 1,015 |
| Coho salmon | 830 | 60 | 18 | 22 | 930 |
| Steelhead | 282 | 47 | 76 | 382 | 787 |
| Sockeye salmon |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4,808 | 388 | 429 | 879 | 6,504 |

a/ Retention of CWT was lowest for steelhead (83\%) and highest for subyearling chinook and coho salmon (93\%).
SUBYEARLING CHINOOK SALMON




Figure 5.--Weekly catch per set averages for subyearling chinook, yearling chinook, and coho salmon and steelhead caught by
beach and purse seines at Jones Beach in 1981.

Subyearling chinook salmon became prevalent in catches the last week in March coincidentally with mark recoveries from the March release at Spring Creek Hatchery; beach seine catch per set (CPS) peaked at 88 and had decreased to about 25 fish by mid-April. A second peak CPS of 200 fish occurred during the first week in May, which related to April and May fall chinook salmon releases from Spring Creek and Bonneville Hatcheries. Catches decreased in late May and June coincident with the sudden increase in river flow (Appendix Table A7). Fish groups from Kalama Falls, Little White Salmon, and Klickitat Hatcheries were the principal groups passing during this period. Catch percentages for marked fish from these groups were substantially below those of previous years except for the Little White Salmon group released in 1980 , which was about equal to 1981 (Table 2).

In early July, a CPS of 350 fish coincided with the passage of marked fish from Washougal and Cowlitz Hatcheries; the CPS decreased to about 15 fish in early August (Appendix Table A3).

Yearling Chinook Salmon
In mid-March, beach seine CPS averaged 35. Through the first week in April it fluctuated between 12 and 44 and then decreased (Appendix Table A3).

Initial purse seine CPS (late March) was 15; it began to increase during the third week of April (Appendix Table A4). Peak CPS (164) occurred during the second week of May and declined to 22 by the first week in June; the last fish was caught on 30 July 1981.

Coho Salmon

Coho salmon juvenile catches began in late April and peaked at 224 CPS during the second week in May. The migration was essentially complete by mid-June; however, a few fish were captured in late June and early July from the sequential release studies at Washougal and Cascade Hatcheries.

Steelhead

Steelhead were present in catches by the first week in April, peaked at 144 CPS during the second week in May, and were completely 'absent from catches by mid-July.

## Movement Rates

Movement rates of CWT groups ranged from less than 1 km/day to greater than $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{day}$. The fastest moving groups were steelhead captured at Lower Granite and McNary Dams. These fish were subsequently tagged and released at various sites in the Columbia River (Appendix B). Extremely fast movement rates (greater than $200 \mathrm{~km} /$ day) were measured for some groups released in Idaho, but these data were no doubt affected by transportation of the fish from Lower Granite and McNary Dams to downstream of Bonneville Dam. The slowest movement rates measured were for: (1) individuals that resided in the Columbia River or itS tributaries over winter and migrated in the spring (Appendix Table A8), but do not necessarily represent group movement rate due to lack of catch data from the 1980-81 winter; (2) yearling chinook salmon released in March and April; and (3) groups of fall chinook salmon released at a small size (119 and 100/lb)! during May and June from Kalama Falls and Lower Kalama Hatcheries, respectively.
$7^{/}$Number per pound was used throughout this report because that unit of measure is in common usage at all salmon and steelhead hatcheries in the Columbia River system.
increase with fish size (Dawley et al. 1978), both increasing and decreasing trends of daily mean length were observed within various marked groups (Figures 6 and 7). Previous observations of smolt behavior by Shapovalov and Taft (1954) and Salo and Bayliff (1958) indicate that the larger fish within a population migrated faster than the smaller fish.

The movement rate of subyearling chinook salmon appears directly correlated with river flow. A comparison of movement rates of fish from Little White Salmon, Kalama Falls, and Klickitat Hatcheries with flows occurring during migrations from 1977-1981 indicated a positive correlation. $[(r=0.85, r=0.88$, and $r=0.38$, respectively (Table 2)]. Below average flows observed in 1977 (Appendix Table A7) may have caused decreased movement rates for fall chinook salmon which substantially increased the duration of migration between release site and the estuary. For example, migration period (days, from date of release to date of median catch) for groups passing Jones Beach in August 1977 increased an average of $170 \%$ over the longest migration period measured in 1978 through 1981 (Table 3); average river flows during July and August 1977 were 21\% less than the least flow during 1978-1981.

Sims $8^{J}$ found that during normal flow, subyearling chinook salmon of similar size that migrated the greatest distance moved fastest. However, in 1977 when river flow was below average the marked group that migrated the farthest (Klickitat fall chinook salmon--283 km) displayed the longest migration period as well as a very slow movement rate.

Movement rates of subyearling chinook salmon also tend to increase in relation to elevated gill $\mathrm{Na}^{+}-\mathrm{K}^{+}$ATPase levels at time of release (Zaugg 1981).

8/ Carl W. Sims. NOAA, NMFS, Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center, Coastal Zone and Estuarine Studies, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112. Unpublished report, July 1977.

Table 2.--Correlation between flow and movement rate of fall chinook salmon for Little White Salmon NFH, Kalama Falls, and Klickitat Hatchery groups, 1977 to 1981.

| Release date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { (no./lb) } \end{gathered}$ | Catch <br> (\%) | Date of med. recapt. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flow } \\ 1,000^{\mathrm{m3}} \end{gathered}$ | Mov. rate (km/day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Little White Salmon NFH |  |  |  |  |
| 15 May 77 | 122 | 0.11 | 21 Jun | 3.2 | 5 |
| 25 May 78 | 125 | 0.35 | 8 Jun | 7.3 | 13 |
| 22 Jun 79 | 123 | 0.22 | 4 Jul | 3.7 | 16 |
| 10 Jun 80 | 101 | 0.07 | 19 Jun | 8.5 | 21 |
| 05 Jun 81 | 94 | 0.07 | 11 Jun | 10.8 | 27 |
| Correlationcoefficient r=0.85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kalama Falls Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 Jun 77 | 113 | 0.67 | 26 Jul | 2.6 | 2 |
| 22 Jun 77 | 76 | 0.19 | 14 Jul | 2.8 | 3 |
| 12 Jul 78 | 108 | 0.63 | 3 Aug | 6.4 | 3 |
| 22 Jun 79 | 180 | 1.43 | 27 Jul | 3.4 | 2 |
| 13 Jun 80 | 115 | 0.24 | 11 Jul | 4.9 | 2 |
| 22 May 81 | 119 | 0.12 | 31 May | 10.1 | 7 |
|  |  |  | Corre | n coeffi | ent $\mathrm{r}=0.88$ |
| Klickitat Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03 Jun 77 | 92 | $028^{\text {a/ }}$ | 18 Aug | 2.9 | 4 |
| 06 Jun 78 | 87 | 0.17 | 2 Jul | 5.7 | 14 |
| 01 Jun 79 | 80 | 0.13 | 7 Jun | 5.6 | 52 |
| 27 May 80 | 85 | 0.07 | 8 Jun | 8.3 | 25 |
| 05 Jun 81 | 78 | 0.03 | 18 Jun | 9.9 | 29 |
| Correlation coefficient $\mathrm{r}=0.38$ |  |  |  |  |  |

a/ No purse seine effort during this period. Purse seine catches for other years averaged $55 \%$ of the total catch of Klicktat fall chinook salmon.


FALL CHINOOK SALMON
1981 Little White Salmon Hatchery



Figure 6.--Daily catch and mean fork lengths for beach and purse seines catches of two marked groups of subyearling chinook salmon at Jones Beach.


COHO SALMON


Figure 7.--Daily catch and mean fork lengths for beach and purse seine catches of two marked groups of coho salmon at Jones Beach.

Table 3.--River flow in relation to migration time from various hatcheries to Jones Beach for fall chinook salmon; 1977 compared to 1978 to 1981.

|  |  |  | 1977 |  |  | 1978-1981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Release site/source | Average size at release (no./lb) | ```Distance of migration (km)``` | Date of median recapt. | Migration period days to median fish recapt. | Movement rate km/day | a/River- <br> flow <br> (kcros) | ```Migration period days to median fish recapt. (range)``` | ```Movements/ rate km/day (range)``` | River ' <br> flow kcros <br> (range) |
| Klickitat | 84 | 283 | 18 Aug | 76 | 4 | 2.9 | 6-20 | 14-47 | 5.6-8.3 |
| Spring Creek-March | 112 | 194 | 5 May | 48 | 4 | 4.0 | 9-24 | 8-22 | 4.0-7.1 |
| Spring Creek-April | 77 | 194 | 1 May | 23 | 8 | 4.0 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 5.4-7.8 |
| Spring Creek-May | 53 | 194 | 31 May | 7 | 28 | 4.2 | 4-6 | 32-49 | 6.5-8.0 |
| Little White | 113 | 186 | 21 Jun | 37 | 5 | 3.2 | 7-14 | 13-27 | 3.7-10.8 |
| Bonneville (early) | 79 | 156 | 20 May | 15 | 10 | 3.7 | 7-8 | 19-22 | 6.8-6.9 |
| Washougal | 74 | 146 | 9 Aug | 42 | 3 | 2.7 | 9-22 | 7-16 | 4.4-5.4 |
| Cowlitz | 95 | 114 | 20 Jun | 31 | 4 | 3.2 | 8-36 | 3-14 | 3.3-6.4 |
| Toutle | 125 | 85 | 5 Aug | 61 | 1 | 3.1 | 16-25 | 3-5 | 3.6-5.7 |
| Kalama Falls | 110 | 66 | 26 Aug | 34 | 2 | 2.6 | 9-22 | 3-7 | 3.4-10.1 |

## Groups released over more than a 7-day period were not included.

Seven day average of total river flow at Bonneville Dam during week of median fish recapture.

Because of the various factors affecting movement rates, seasonal averages using index groups for each species (Appendix Table A9) should only be used for general comparisons between years.

Size Characteristics

Generally unmarked fish captured in mid-river with the purse seine were 5 to 20 mm larger than those captured near shore with the beach seine (Figure 8). Mean fork lengths of marked fish captured in mid-river were also consistently larger than their marked counterparts captured near shore (Appendix B); indicating that generally the larger fish of each species tend to migrate more towards the center of the river.

Weekly mean fork lengths of subyearling chinook salmon captured throughout the sampling period fluctuated in relation to the fish size of major hatchery release populations (Figure 8). There was a shift from beach seine catches to purse seine catches with increased size; those groups released at a large size (less than 60/lb) were usually caught in greater percentages by purse seine than by beach seine. However, RKm of release site and degree of smoltification sometimes had an overriding effect on this tendency.

Certain groups which migrated over a long period (3 months) showed an increase in size attributable to riverine growth (Dawley et al. 1980).

Survival Estimates for Selected Hatchery Stocks
Survival estimates for fall chinook salmon from the hatchery to Jones Beach during 1978 and 1979 were presented by Dawley et al. (1979, 1980). The estimates from 1980 data were affected by the Mount St. Helens eruption (18 May 1980). In 1981, there was such wide variation in the calculated survival that the estimates are questionable. We intend to continue making


[^1]these estimates in 1982 then compare 1978 through 1982 using adult return information.

## Relative Survival Between Groups

Catch data presented in Appendix $B$ have been used by many researchers to evaluate survival differences of various experimental groups during their migration to Jones Beach in the Columbia River as well as to better understand migration behavior of the snolts (Appendix Table 10). We examined the data for relative survival trends (1977-1981) correlated with effects of fish size at release, transportation past dams, nutrition, rearing density, and chemical treatments; the significant observations are presented. The conclusions reported herein are based on recoveries at Jones Beach. The prime researchers may come to different conclusions based upon their knowledge of all the factors relating to their research.

Effects of Fish Size

There appears to be a positive relationship between survival to the estuary and increased body size at the time of release for spring chinook, fall chinook, and coho salmon and steelhead. Estuarine catch data indicate that the smaller individuals from certain release populations are missing from the migrant populations passing Jones Beach. Length frequency distributions for yearling and subyearling chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead compared before and after migration are presented in Appendix Figures Al, A2, A3, and A4; in each figure, Group A is a mark group that showed a size shift after migration with proportionately fewer of the smaller fish in the catch; Group $B$ is a mark group that showed little or no size shift.

Gear selectivity appears unrelated to observations of size shift within the population after freshwater migration. Beach seine efficiency for subyearling chinook salmon is inversely correlated with size, which tends to make the observed loss of smaller fish conservative (Dawley et al. 1981). Changes of purse seine efficiency within the size range of yearling fish appears to be insignificant based on the following: (1) several instances length distribution of purse seine catches correlate well with length distributions prior to hatchery release (Appendix Figures A2, A3, and A4; Group B)--if the shift in length distribution was associated with selectivity it should be apparent in all groups observed; and (2) substantial numbers of subyearling fish as small as 60 mm were captured in the purse seine (Figure 5).

Spring chinook salmon groups graded for size and marked for size/survival research at various hatcheries in the Willamette and Deschutes River systems showed higher catch percentages (at Jones Beach) in relation to increased weight in 7 of 10 experiments (Table 4).

A minimum fork length threshold for survival is hypothesized for steelhead smolts by Buchanan (1981). Buchanan reported a release threshold minimum of 180 mm for steelhead of Willamette River origin. However, our observations indicate that this threshold size may not be the same for steelhead of Snake River origin. In some of the Snake River groups, individuals as small as 110 mm migrated successfully to Jones Beach. There seemed to be no size selective mortality for a group of Dworshak steelhead ranging in size from 110 mm to 240 mm (Figure 9).

Similar threshold data are being compiled by Percy Washington for coho salmon 10/.

[^2]Table 4.--Jones Beach catches for spring chinook salmon smolts related to size at time of release from hatcheries.

| Release site/source | Release <br> date <br> (day./mo/yr) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Juv } \\ \text { cat } \\ \text { Jone } \\ - \text { (no.) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 e^{s /} \\ & s \text { at } \\ & \text { each } \\ & \hline\left(\frac{10}{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ave } \\ \mathrm{siz} \\ \mathrm{rel} \\ \text { (no. } \end{array}$ | ge <br> at <br> (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leaburg, OR/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| McKenzie Hat. | $15 \underset{\sim}{\operatorname{Mar}} 80$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 0.153 | 3 | 151 |
| II 11 |  |  | 0.112 | 4 | 113 |
| it | n | 13 | 0.079 | 11 | 41 |
|  | $16 \underset{\text { Mar }}{\text { Mar }} 81$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 4 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.078 \\ & 0.029 \\ & 0.075 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 1137650 |
| " " |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| " " |  |  |  | 9 |  |
| Minto, OR/ <br> Marion $\underset{\text { If }}{\text { Fks. }} \underset{\text { It }}{\text { Hat. }}$ | 16-24 Mar |  |  | 14 | 30 |
|  | it | 10 | 0.041 | 14 | -30 |
|  |  |  | 0.025 | 20 | 20 |
| Dexter Pd./Oakridge Hat. (Oakridge stock) | $\underset{.11}{\text { Mar }_{11}} 79$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.173 \\ & 0.178 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dexter Pd./Oakridge Hat. (Dexter Stock) | $20 \underset{\mathrm{it}}{\operatorname{Mar}} 79$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.299 \\ & 0.282 \end{aligned}$ | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dexter Pd./Oakridge Hat. (Oakridge stock) | $\underset{\text { II }^{\prime}}{10 \mathrm{Mar}_{2}} 80$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.145 \\ & 0.202 \end{aligned}$ | 48 | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ 58 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dexter Pd./Oakridge Hat. (Dexter stock) | 10 Mar 80 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.148 \\ & 0.134 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dexter Pd./Oakridge Hat. (Oakridge stock) | $16 \underset{\mathbf{t}}{\operatorname{Mar}} 81$ | 129 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.096 \\ & 0.063 \end{aligned}$ | 47 | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ 65 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dexter Pd./Oakridge Hat. (Dexter stock) | $16 \operatorname{Mar}_{\mathrm{n}} 81$ | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.104 \\ & 0.133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Round Butte Hat. | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ \text { It } \\ \text { it } \end{gathered}$ | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.183 \\ & 0.122 \\ & 0.121 \end{aligned}$ | 242832 | 191614 |
|  |  | 33 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 34 |  |  |  |

a/ Actual number captured beach and purse seine and adjusted percent captured.

SNAKE RIVER ORIGIN STEELHEAD 1981


Figure 9.-Fork lengths of Snake River steelhead before and after migration showing little change in length frequencies for the portion of the population less than 180 mm .

Effects of Transportation

Relative survival differences for marked fish groups transported by truck or barge past dams in the Columbia River system, (1977-1981) were calculated from catch percentages at Jones Beach (transport groups with 10 or fewer fish captured were not used). Highly variable catch percentages were observed for transported groups which moved rapidly passed Jones Beach (2 days or less for $50 \%$ of the catch). We hypothesize that those fish migrate so rapidly from release sites close to the sampling site that wide dispersion in the river did not occur. If the majority of individuals within a group passed during nonfishing hours, a limited catch percentage resulted. If the majority passed during fishing hours, an inflated catch percentage resulted. Consequently, the catch did not represent the number of individuals passing Jones Beach. Transported fish groups from which 50\% of the catch was made in 2 days or less evoked catch percentages smaller than control groups in 5 of 11 instances, and estimated survival increase for 2 of these 11 groups were greater than $1800 \%$. In all but 5 of 34 instances, transported groups from which $50 \%$ of the catch was attained in longer than 2 days had higher catch percentages than the controls. Only two group had estimated survival increases greater than $300 \%$, and the control for these groups migrated through eight reservoirs and dams which the transported groups bypassed.

In summary, we examined effects from transportation for all marked groups recovered at Jones Beach with the exceptions of those from which 50\% of the catch was made in 2 days or less and groups from which 10 or fewer fish were recovered. Survival estimates generally increased with the number
of dams bypassed; the average increase for one dam bypassed was $56 \%$ (10 transport groups) and for eight dams 311\% (7 transport groups) (Table 5).

## Effects of Nutrition

In 1980 and 1981, relative survival comparisons were made from Jones Beach catches for a diet study using fall chinook salmon at Bonneville Hatchery. In both years, catch percentages of replicate groups were higher for one diet than for the other; the differences were statistically significant at the 95\% level (G - statistic) for 1980 groups. Catch percentages for groups reared in 1981 with a third diet formulation varied significantly, and comparison to catch rates of the other diets was not meaningful (Table 6).

Statistically significant differences in relative survival were not observed for coho salmon sm lts reared with various diets and released from Sandy Hatchery (1977-1981) (Table 6).

Effects of Rearing Density
Differences in catch percentages of coho salmon reared at high to low pond loading densities from Eagle Creek Hatchery were not statistically significant at the $95 \%$ level (G-statistic), nor were groups of spring chinook and coho salmon from the Cowlitz and Sandy Hatcheries released in previous years (Table 7).

Effects of Chemical Treatments

We found no significant differences, using the G-statistic, in catch rate of groups involved with prophylactic treatments or chemical cues for homing following freshwater migration to Jones Beach (Table 8).

Table 5.--Survival differences between fish groups transported past dams a 7 nd those not transported; from catch percentages at Jones Beach, Oregon, 1977-1981.-

| Release site/ source | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ (\mathrm{Da}, \mathrm{mo}, \mathrm{yr}) \end{gathered}$ | Jones <br> Beachb/ catch- |  | Dams bypassed (No.) | Estimated survival change from control <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring chinook | salmo |  |  |  |
| Pasco/Carson Hat. Upstream ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ (Control) | 23 Apr 79 | 33 | 0.107 |  |  |
| Carson Hat. (Downstream Release) | 3 May | 28 | 0.090 | 3 | -16 |
| Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | 21 Apr-7 May | 126 | 0.102 | 4 | -5 |
| Entiat Hat. | 25-26 Apr 78 | 43 | 0.049 |  |  |
| Vernita Bridge (Transport) | 2 May | 13 | 0.102 | 4 | 108 |
| Kooskia Hat. (Control) - | 12 Apr 78 | 61 | 0.073 |  |  |
| Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | 26-28 Apr | 83 | 0.067 |  | -8 |
| Kooskia Hat. (Control)d/ | 16 Apr 80 | 14 | 0.044 |  |  |
| Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | 14 Apr | 26 | 0.072 | 8 | 63 |
| Leavenworth Hat. (Control)' | 25 Apr 78 | 67 | 0.090 |  |  |
| Leavenworth Hat.; Hauled 4 h (Treatment) | 25 Apr | 47 | 0.070 | 0 | $-22$ |
| Below Priest Rapids (Transport) | 8 May | 80 | 0.115 | 3 | 28 |
| Leavenworth Hat. (Control)' | 26 Apr 79 | 104 | 0.142 |  |  |
| Leavenworth Hat.; Hauled 4 h (Treatment) | 26 Apr | 86 | 0.115 | 0 | -19 |
| Below Priest Rapids (Transport) ${ }^{-}$ | 15 May | 164 | 0.209 | 3 | 47 |
| Leavenworth Hat. (Control)' | 24 Apr-1 May 80 | 30 | 0.032 |  |  |
| White Bluffs (Transport) | 24 Apr-1 May | 41 | 0.085 | 3 | 168 |
| Dalton Point (Transport) | 24 Apr-3 May | 141 | 0.115 | 7 | 262 |
| Pateros Ferry/Leavenworth Hat e/ (Control) | 5-13 May 80 | 23 | 0.041 |  |  |
| Below Priest Rapids Dam (Transport) | 22-27 May | 48 | 0.090 | 5 | 120 |
| Richland, WA (Transport) | 22-29 May | 40 | 0.074 | 5 | 80 |
| Round Butte Hat. / | 22 May 78 | $91^{\prime}$ | 0.218 |  |  |
| Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | 30 May | 110 | 0.215 | 2 | -1 |
| Round Butte Hat. ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 23-31 May 79 | 240 | 0.282 |  |  |
| Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | 30 May | 149 | 0.338 | 2 | 20 |
| Foster, OR/S. Santiam Hat.' (Control) | 13 Mar 78 | 26 | 0.084 |  |  |
| Below Willamette Falls (Transport) | 13 Mar | 67 | 0.237 | 1 | 182 |

Table 5.--Continued.


Table 5.--Continued.

| Release site/ source | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { (Da mo, yr) } \end{gathered}$ | Jones <br> $\mathrm{Beach}_{\mathrm{b}}$ / <br> catch- |  | Dams bypassed (No.) | Estimated survival change from control (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring Creek Hat. (Control)- ${ }^{1}$ Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { Apr } 78 \\ & 20 \text { Apr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.232 \\ & 0.247 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Rock Creek/Spring Creek Hat. A/ (Upstream Control) | 21 Apr 81 | 66 | 0.045 |  |  |
| Spring Creek Hat. (Downstream release) <br> I, I | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \text { Apr } \\ 5 \text { May } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.126 \\ & 0.171 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \\ & 276 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |
| Pasco, WA/Carson Hat. (Control) <br> Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { May } 78 \\ & 1-4 \text { May } \end{aligned}$ | 47 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.139 \\ & 0.053 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | -62 |
| Willard Hat. <br> Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | 24 May-8 Jun 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.053 \\ & 0.084 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 58 |
| Willard Hat. <br> Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | $\begin{aligned} & 14-23 \text { May } 80 \\ & 24-25 \text { May } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.033 \\ & 0.039 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 18 |
|  | Steelhead |  |  |  |  |
| Icicle Cr. (Chelan Hat.)' | 26 Apr 79 | 55 | 0.106 |  |  |
| Below Bonneville Dam | 28 Apr | 80 | 0.139 | 1 | 31 |
| Dworshak Hat. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 17 Apr-29 Apr 80 | 140 | 0.125 |  |  |
| Below Bonneville Dam (Transport) | 29 Apr-2 May | 95 | 0.510 | 8 | 304 |
| Methow R. (Wells channel)-' | 27 Apr-8 May 78 | 17 | 0.058 |  |  |
| Ringold Hat. | 5 May | 11 | 0.079 | 5 | 36 |
| Methow R. (Wells channel)-' | 9-44 May 79 | 13 | 0.042. |  |  |
| Below Bonneville Dam | 12 May | 12 | 0.1155 |  | 269 |

a/ Transport groupp.with time period from first to median fish. capture at Jones Beach 2 days or less were not included in analysis.
b/ Actual number captured, beach and purse seine; adjusted ${ }^{\text {eenent }}$ captured comparisons not made for actual catch less than 10 transport fish. Mark groups were combined where possible to exceed the minimum.
c/ National Marine Fisheries Service.
d/ Idaho Department of Fish and Game.
e/ Washington Department of Fisheries.
f/ Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.
g/ United States Fish and Wildlife Service.
hi Washington Department of Game.

Table 6.--Catches of marked fish from nutrition studies.


## COHO SALMON



Table 6 .--Continued.
Tag Release site
Date
Juvenile catches at
(da/mo/yr) Jones Beach 1
$(\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Dl} / \mathrm{D} 2)^{\mathrm{a} /}$ (source) No. $\%$

COHO SALMON
Sandy Hatchery

| $07 / 22 / 55$ | OMP 2 frozen | $01 M Y 81$ | 21 | 0.120 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 57 | OMP 2 frozen | 16 | 0.082 |  |
| 56 | OMP 2 acid | 20 | 0.086 |  |
| 58 | OMP 2 acid | 12 | 0.060 |  |
| 59 | Presscake | 34 | 0.135 |  |
| 62 | Presscake | 25 | 0.111 |  |
| 60 | OMP 4 | 17 | 0.083 |  |
| 63 | OMP 4 |  | 18 | 0.071 |
| 61 | OMP 2 frozen \& fresh | 20 | 0.089 |  |
| $07 / 23 / 01$ | OMP 2 frozen \& fresh | 22 | 0.093 |  |

a/ Binary tag $\mathrm{AC}=$ agency code; $\mathrm{D} 1^{=}$, data 1 and $\mathrm{D} 2=$ data 2.
b/ Number is actual catch; \% represents adjust catch.

Table 7.-Catch percentages of marked fish from rearing density studies, 1977-1981.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tag } \\ & \text { (Ag/Dl/D2) } \end{aligned}$ | Release site (source) | Density | Date (da/molyr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Juveni } \\ \text { Jon } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | atches Beach $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPRING CHINOOK SALMON |  |  |  |  |
| 13/13/01 | Cowlitz Hat. | (low) | 08 MR 77 | 12 | 0.092 |
| 04 |  | (low) |  | 12 | 0.132 |
| 09/14 |  | (med) |  | 31 | 0.119 |
| 11/04 |  | (med) |  | 24 | 0.097 |
| 09/11 |  | (high) |  | 44 | 0.152 |
| 12 |  | (high) |  | 36 | 0.113 |
| 63/16/12 | Cowlitz Hat. | (low) | 08MR78 | 34 | 0.320 |
| 13 |  | (low) |  | 27 | 0.293 |
| 17/17 |  | (med low) |  |  | 0.308 |
| 18 |  | Oiled low) |  | 64 | 0.329 |
| 11 |  | (med high) |  | 77 | 0.408 |
| 12 |  | (med high) |  | 85 | 0.488 |
| 09 |  | (high) |  | 124 | 0.453 |
| 10 |  | (high) |  | 109 | 0.383 |
|  | COHO SALMON |  |  |  |  |
| 09/05/14 | Sandy Hat.. | (low) | 27AP77 | 8 | 0.075 |
| 09/06/01 |  | (low) |  | 7 | 0.053 |
| 09/05/15 |  | (med) |  | 8 | 0.060 |
| 09/06/03 |  | (med) |  | 6 | 0.057 |
| 02 |  | (high) |  | 6 | 0.048 |
| 04 |  | (high) |  | 10 | 0.101 |
| 05/08/27 | Eagle Cri, gat, | (low) | 22AP81 | 62 | 0.186 |
| 28 | (ers | (med) |  | 136 | 0.219 |
| 26 |  | (high) |  | 180 | 0.185 |

a/ Actual catch and adjusted percentage catch, purse seine plus beach seine..

# Juvenile catches at 

 Jones Beach 1(Ag/D1/D2)
Chemical
tre4t $\}$ nent

Release site
Release date No. \%

a/ Actual catch and adjusted percentage catch, purse seine plus beach seine.

Juvenile Catches Compared to Adult Recoveries
Compilation of adult recovery information to help verify precision of estuarine survival observations is in process. We expect to have a preliminary statistical evaluation in the annual report for estuarine sampling in 1982.

Incidental Catch
Nonsalmonid species were a large part of our catch in 1981 (Appendix Tables All and Al2). Increases in catch of northern squawfish, Ptychocheilus oregonensis; suckers, Catostomus sp.; sculpins, Cottus asper; and pea mouth, Mylocheilus caurinus, were noted in 1980 and'were associated with the eruption of Mount St. Helens. Catches of northern squawfish and peamouth continued to increase in 1981. The increased catches in 1980 and 1981 have a poor correlation to river flow, and it appears that resident populations of these species may be increasing.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

NMFS and BPA cooperated in a study of juvenile salmonid migrants entering the Columbia River estuary during 1981. The objectives were: (1) define migration timing and movement rates; (2) obtain catch percentages for marked groups to evaluate smolt survival to the estuary, and compare to adult returns; and (3) amass information on which concepts may be developed to restore, enhance, and protect the salmonid resources of the Columbia River. Marked fish recoveries were the basis for evaluations to meet these objectives.

Beach and purse seines were used for sampling at Jones Beach (RKm75); 10 and 4 sets daily (respectively) during May and June; fewer sets were
made during March, April, July, August, September, and November. Total catch was 132,483 subyearling chinook salmon; 16,541 yearling chinook salmon; 37,633 coho salmon; and 12,312 steelhead. About $3.3 \%$ of the fish were marked.

## Variation in Catch Percentages

Increased river flow generally caused a decrease in catch per unit effort (CPUE). The average of the observations was a $21 \%$ decrease in catch percentage with a $1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(35,300 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ increase of river flow; continued data collection is required to assess the effect of flow over a wide range of river flow volumes.

G statistic analysis of catch percentages for replicate mark groups (1977-1981) indicates good consistency; in 161 of 180 comparisons no significant difference was found at the $5 \%$ reject level. We concluded that catch percentages of marked fish were consistent with the total population of marked fish passing Jones Beach at any particular time. The range of variation for catch percentage was identified in relation to number of fish recovered (Figure 3) and may be used to evaluate the significance of differences between catch percentage of treatment and control fish groups.

## Migration Characteristics

Peaks of migration past Jones Beach for hatchery reared yearling chinook and coho salmon and steelhead occurred during the first 2 weeks of May. Three peaks of migration occurred for subyearling chinook salmon: about 5 April, 3 May, and 5 July. Fastest movement rates were measured for fish groups collected and marked at fish trapping facilities during

```
migration. Slowest rates were: (1) individuals that resided over winter
in the Columbia River system; (2) yearling chinook salmon released in March
and April; and (3) groups of small subyearling chinook salmon released
throughout the spring and summer. Fish captured in mid-river were
generally larger than those captured near shore. There was no consistent
daily increase or decrease in fork lengths of marked fish within individual
groups.
In general, we concluded that: (1) fluctuations in catch and average
fork lengths of unmarked subyearling chinook salmon were directly
attributable to magnitude, time, and fish size of hatchery releases; (2)
movement rates to the estuary generally increased with increasing river
flows; and (3) movement rates increased with fish size, however, within a
single population the larger fish were not always the earliest migrants nor
the smaller fish the latest.
```

Size Relative to Survival
Changes in size composition of marked fish populations migrating from
release site to Jones Beach indicated that larger fish probably have better
survival during migration to the estuary than smaller fish of the same
population. Verification of this conclusion by adult return data is
needed. Minimum fork length thresholds for survival of steelhead smolts
have been hypothesized; however, differences in fork lengths of fish
captured at Jones Beach indicate threshold size may fluctuate between
stocks of steelhead migrating from various locations in the Columbia River
system.

```
                                    Transportation Relative to Survival
    Estimated survival rates of transported groups (relative to controls)
increased with the number of dams bypassed; averaging 56% for 1 dam and
311% for eight dams. We concluded that transportation increased smolt
survival. However, data from Jones Beach sampling can not be used to
evaluate some transportation research projects because of the rapid
migration of the transported fish groups past the sampling site.
                                    Nutrition, Rearing Density, and
                                    Chemical Treatments Relative to Survival
    Estimates of survival at Jones Beach for most treatment groups from
nutrition, rearing density, or chemical treatment research were not
statistically different from those of controls.
```
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[^0]:    variation), consequently it provides a more precise evaluation.

[^1]:    Figure 8.--Weekly mean fork lengths of subyearling chinook, yearling chinook, and coho salmon and steelhead caught in beach and purse seines at Jones Beach in 1981.

[^2]:    10/ Percy Washington, Phd thesis in preparation, University of Washington College of Fisheries, Seattle, Washington.

