

DISTRIBUTION, ABUNDANCE, AND GROWTH OF JUVENILE SALMONIDS
OFF THE PACIFIC COAST FROM TILLAMOOK BAY, OREGON,
TO COPALIS HEAD, WASHINGTON, MAY-SEPTEMBER, 1980

David R. Miller

John G. Williams

and

Carl W. Sims

Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
2725 Montlake Boulevard East
Seattle, Washington 98112

December 1981

Abstract

Juvenile salmonids (<50 cm) were sampled by purse seine off the Washington and Oregon coasts from May through September 1980. Temporal distribution and abundance of the major Columbia River species were determined. Spring chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and steelhead (Salmo gairdneri) were present only during early cruises and were distributed almost entirely in the Columbia River plume and the sample area to the north. Coho salmon (O. kisutch) and fall chinook salmon were distributed more uniformly throughout the sampling area and were relatively abundant throughout the sampling period. Concentrations of fish were found almost entirely within 20 km of the shore. Significant numbers of fish that had been marked before or during their outmigration from Columbia River system were recaptured. It appeared possible that with concentrated sampling in areas with high fish abundances, sufficient numbers of marked juvenile salmonids could be captured to provide relative survival estimates between different stocks of Columbia River fish.

INTRODUCTION

The Columbia River historically is one of the major salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.) and steelhead (Salmo gairdneri) producing systems of the North Pacific Ocean (Netboy 1980). Salmon production has decreased in recent years due to increased juvenile mortality and loss or degradation of spawning habitat resulting from hydroelectric development of the system (Raymond 1979). The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the fisheries agencies of Washington, Oregon, and Idaho have conducted considerable research to alleviate passage and mortality problems at dams. In addition, hatcheries have been constructed to mitigate the loss of spawning habitat. In spite of these efforts, adult salmon returns continue to decline.

NMFS and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have developed a juvenile transport system whereby smolts are collected at upriver dams, transported around the obstructions, and released below the lowermost dam (Park 1980). Results of transport research indicate that the success or failure of a year-class may be significantly influenced by events that occur downstream from the point of release. In addition, correlation between returns of precocious males and subsequent adult return indicate that the success or failure of a year-class is determined within the first 6 months of estuarine and/or ocean existence. Unfortunately, knowledge of salmonid life history during this period is almost nonexistent.

With the exception of some limited purse seining by NMFS in shallow nearshore ocean waters (Dawley et al. 1981) and two preliminary surveys off the Oregon coast by Oregon State University (OSU) in 1979 and 1980, there

has been a general lack of information on ocean abundance and distribution of juvenile salmonids from the Columbia River system off the Washington and Oregon coast. Sampling during the OSU surveys was restricted to deeper water areas beyond 30 m (W. Pearcy, OSU, Corvallis, Oregon, personal communication). A total of 656 juvenile salmonids (less than 300 mm in length) were captured in the 2 years.

NMFS surveyed the near shore marine waters (>30 m) near the mouth of the Columbia River beginning in the spring of 1980. The objectives of this study were to provide needed information relative to the abundance, distribution and growth of juvenile salmonids in the area and to determine if sufficient numbers of marked fish could be recaptured to define behavioral and/or survival differences among the various stocks of Columbia River hatchery fish.

METHODS

Ten east-west transects were established between Tillamook Bay, Oregon, and Copalis Head, Washington, and named for readily identifiable shore landmarks (F1). Each transect contained five sampling stations; the first located at the 30 m depth contour, with others extending seaward at 8 km intervals. Stations were chosen on the basis of a one-half hour running interval by the fishing vessel and not on the basis of fish populations. The latitude and longitude of each station was fixed using LORAN C.

A 17-m commercial salmon drum seiner, the Flamingo, was chartered for sampling. The sampling net was a 495-m x 30-m purse seine. The body of the net was constructed of 32-mm knotted nylon web with 30 meshes of 127-mm knotted nylon hung along the bottom above the lead line. The bunt was made of 18-mm knotted nylon web. Based on its construction, the net was




Figure 1.--Transects and stations sampled during offshore purse seining for juvenile salmonids, May-September, 1980.

assumed to fish to approximately 24 m deep (J. Jurkovich, NMFS, Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center, Seattle, personal communication).

Purse seining was conducted during three periods: 27 May-7 June, 4-15 July, and 28 August-8 September 1980. One day of sampling time was allotted per transect. The number of sets per transect and the number of stations sampled varied depending upon weather, sea conditions, and travel time to the transect. The Flamingo worked out of Westport, Washington, for the northern transects; Astoria, Oregon, for the central transects; and Garibaldi, Oregon, for the southern transects.

Conductivity, salinity, and temperature measurements were taken at the surface and at 30 m at each sampling station with a Beckman RS5-3 salinometer^{1/}. The purse seine was then set with a 5-minute tow toward the south, closed, pursed, and juvenile salmonids dipnetted from the bunt. The procedure was repeated with a tow toward the north.

Fish were held in 114-liter plastic tubs with circulating seawater. All juvenile salmonids less than 50 cm in length were anesthetized with benzocaine, counted by species, measured to fork length, and examined for identifying marks. After processing, all fish not being kept were returned to a tub of circulating water and allowed to recover from the effects of the anesthetic before being returned to the sea.

All salmonids with clipped adipose fins were sacrificed for coded wire tag (CWT) identification. Heads from these fish were placed in vials containing a potassium hydroxide solution to free the CWT.

^{1/}Reference to trade names does not imply endorsement by the National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.

Chinook salmon from the Columbia River system are generally designated as spring, summer, or fall run fish. The designations refer to the period of the year that adults enter the river on their upstream spawning migration. In native populations, juveniles of spring run and Snake River summer run fish spend 1 year in fresh water and migrate to the sea as yearlings, whereas juveniles of fall run and Columbia River summer run fish migrate to sea as subyearlings. In these populations, yearling fish are noticeably larger than subyearlings. With hatchery produced fish, however, size is no longer an accurate determinant between the two strains of fish. As no scales were taken on fish captured in the ocean, chinook salmon were considered together as a group. Differentiation between spring and fall strains of fish were only made where marked fish with a known origin were recaptured.

RESULTS

A total of 233 purse seine sets were made on the three cruises: 70 sets during 27 May to 7 June, 77 sets 4 to 15 July, and 86 sets 28 August to 8 September. Catches of juvenile salmonids ranged from 1,739 on the first cruise to 250 on the second (T1). A total of 144 fish captured were previously marked either with CWT, cold brands, or fin clips (T2). With the exception of coho salmon from the Washougal Hatchery [Washington Department of Fisheries (WDF)], only a few tags from any particular marked group were caught.

Distribution and Abundance

Fish abundance was lowest on Cruise 2 and the low catch was associated with water temperatures above those normally associated with salmonids

Table 1.--Purse seine catches of juvenile salmonids captured in 1980 off the Washington-Oregon coast, by cruise and species.

	Catch			Total
	Cruise 1 (27 May-7 Jun)	Cruise 2 (4-15 Jul)	Cruise 3 (28 Aug-8 Sep)	
Juvenile (<50 cm)				
Chinook salmon	467	183	549	1199
Coho salmon	858	56	241	1155
Sockeye salmon	44	1	2	47
Chum salmon	6	2	25	33
Steelhead	<u>364</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>372</u>
	1739	250	817	2806
Adult (>50 cm)				
Chinook salmon	87	41	164	292
Coho salmon	133	108	61	302
Pink salmon	0	0	1	1
Steelhead	1	1	1	3
Cutthroat	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>34</u>
	238	167	227	632

Table 2.--Numbers of marked juvenile salmonids captured in 1980 off the Washington-Oregon coast, by type of mark and species.

Species (Brood year)	Coded wire tagged	Branded	Fin clipped
Chinook salmon (1978)	22	16	12
Chinook salmon (1979)	5	2	0
Coho salmon (1978)	38	3	22
Steelhead (1978 & 1979)	3	8	13
	—	—	—
	68	29	47

Table 3.--Average water temperatures in 1980 at the surface and 30-m depth, at sampling stations off the Washington-Oregon coast, by cruise.

	Cruise 1 (27 May-7 June)	Cruise 2 (4 July-15 July)	Cruise 3 (28 August-8 September)
Surface			
Average temperature	12.3	15.2	13.4
Range	11.1-13.2	14.2-16.2	10.6-14.7
30-m depth			
Average temperature	8.6	9.1	8.2
Range	8.1-10.9	7.5-12.5	7.4-10.4


(T3). Surface salinities averaged 27.3 ‰, 29.1 ‰, and 30.0 ‰ on Cruise 1-3, respectively. The range of salinities between stations was from 17.1 to 33.0 ‰. No correlations between surface salinities and juvenile fish abundances were found.

Juvenile chinook salmon were predominantly found in the area adjacent to the Columbia River mouth on Cruise 1, but displayed a fairly uniform north-south distribution over the entire sampling area during Cruises 2 and 3 (F2-4). The largest catches of chinook salmon were within 20 km of the shore; few fish were caught at the outer sampling sites. Considerably fewer chinook salmon were caught on Cruise 2 than on the other cruises. Yearling chinook salmon (based upon recaptured marks) had a fairly specific temporal and spatial distribution. No yearling marked fish of Columbia River origin were captured on either Cruise 2 or 3, and of the 31 marked fish captured on Cruise 1, 27 were located at and to the north of the Columbia River mouth.

Coho salmon had a fairly uniform north-south distribution over the entire sampling area on each of the three cruises and few fish were found at distances greater than 20 km from the shore (F2-4). Of the 38 tagged Columbia River coho salmon that were recaptured in the ocean, 22 were from releases at the Washougal Hatchery. These fish had the same overall distribution as the unmarked fish and were found from the most southerly transect to the most northerly transect. The overall abundance of coho salmon varied considerably between cruises. Many more coho salmon were captured on Cruise 1 than on the other two cruises.

Coho and chinook salmon had the same general north-south and nearshore-offshore distribution. Most purse seine sets had a mixture of

Cruise 1 – Chinook salmon



Cruise 1 – Coho salmon




Figure 2.--Relative abundances of juvenile chinook and coho salmon taken by purse seine, 27 May-7 June, 1980.

Cruise 2 – Chinook

Cruise 2 – Coho




Figure 3.--Relative abundances of juvenile chinook and coho salmon taken by purse seine, 4-15 July, 1980.

Cruise 3 - Chinook

Cruise 3 - Coho




Figure 4.--Relative abundances of juvenile chinook and coho salmon taken by purse seine, 28 August-8 September, 1980.

the two species, although one species or the other was generally more abundant, indicating some aggregation by species.

The distribution of steelhead was comparatively restricted. On Cruise 1, more than 90% were captured 15 to 32 km offshore between Klipsan Beach and Grays Harbor, Washington (F5). Abundance varied greatly between cruises. Only eight steelhead were caught on Cruise 2 and none on Cruise 3.

Effect of Direction of Set on Salmonid Catches

On Cruise 1, almost the entire catch of steelhead, 80% of the chinook salmon, and 76% of the coho salmon were taken in sets made toward the south (F6). There was no correlation on Cruises 2 and 3 between direction of seine set and abundance of salmonids caught, nor a correlation with marked fish captured.

Size Characteristics

Steelhead averaged 212 mm in fork length on Cruise 1 with no or too few recoveries on the later cruises to estimate growth (F7).

Coho salmon fork length averaged 172 mm on Cruise 1, 170 mm on Cruise 2, and 268 mm on Cruise 3 (F7). There was a 55% increase in the size of fish captured between mid-July and early September.

Chinook salmon fork length averaged 166 mm on Cruise 1, 190 mm on Cruise 2, and 273 mm on Cruise 3 (F7). Two distinct size classes were observed in the area between Willapa Bay and Copalis Head on Cruises 2 and 3. The smaller fish averaged 162 mm (35) and 177 mm (45); and the larger fish averaged 246 mm (25) and 288 mm (135) on Cruises 2 and 3, respectively. Marked spring chinook salmon were captured only on Cruise 1, so it was assumed that few spring chinook salmon were represented in the

Cruise 1 — Steelhead




Figure 5.—Relative abundances of juvenile steelhead taken by purse seine, 27 May-7 June, 1980.




Figure 6. -- Distribution of catch between north and south facing purse seine sets, 27 May-7 June (Cruise 1), 4-15 July (Cruise 2), 28 August-8 September (Cruise 3), 1980.




Figure 7.--Length distribution of juvenile salmonids captured by purse seine, 27 May-7 June (Cruise 1), 4-15 July (Cruise 2), 28 August-8 September (Cruise 3) 1980.

catch from Cruise 3. The size of subyearling Columbia River chinook salmon entering the estuary and ocean in the spring from the brood of the previous fall ranged from 70 to 110 mm. Thus the length of chinook salmon recaptured on Cruise 3 represented the growth these fish attained in 5 to 6 months.

DISCUSSION

Distribution and Abundance

Juvenile salmonids were generally distributed within 32 km of the shore. This was the same as the distribution found for juvenile salmonids between 1964 to 1969 from Vancouver Island, British Columbia, to Yakutat, Alaska (Hartt 1980). Godfrey et al. (1975) sampled offshore waters in the North Pacific for juvenile coho salmon. They found some juveniles up to 450 km from shore, but the greatest concentrations were nearer the west coast of Vancouver Island and southeastern Alaska. Andrievskaya (1968, 1970) reported juvenile coho salmon 480 to 960 km from the shore but made no reference to relative distributions. Birman (1969) sampled the whole Sea of Okhotsk but found juvenile chinook and coho salmon only close to the shore. Between 1962 to 1970, Major et al. (1978) sampled the open North Pacific Ocean for chinook salmon. Juveniles were found only within 480 km of the shore.

Although more than 2,700 juvenile salmonids were caught on the three cruises, not enough marked fish were captured to determine the relative abundance or distribution of any particular stock, with the possible exception of those from the Washougal Hatchery. This was due in part to the timing of the cruises and the effort necessary to sample a large enough area to determine general overall fish distribution. In the process of determining distribution, much effort was expended in sampling some

distance offshore where no fish were located. Had sampling been conducted more intensively in areas where large fish abundances were found, the number of marked fish captured would likely have been considerably greater. In addition, the median date of passage for yearling chinook salmon and steelhead in the Columbia River estuary at River Kilometer (rkm) 75 was 11 May and the average travel time between Jones Beach and rkm 16 was 2 days. Thus entry of the median fish of these two species into the ocean was probably prior to 15 May. As Cruise 1 was not begun until the end of May, many of the marked fish of these species may already have moved out of the sampling area. On the basis of the distribution found for steelhead, this would seem to be a particularly strong possibility.

Approximately 1.7 million marked coho salmon were released into the Columbia River in 1980, and of these, approximately 35% were released from the Washougal Hatchery. The marked Washougal Hatchery fish were from Cowlitz Hatchery (WDF) stock fish. They were captured over the entire sampling area and their marks accounted for 58% of those recaptured. This greater proportion of marks recaptured from one hatchery and the distribution found may relate to a behavioral characteristic of the Cowlitz Hatchery stock. The largest catches in the ocean fishery of adult coho salmon of Columbia River origin have been off northern California to southern Oregon. The exception to this has been adult coho salmon of late run Cowlitz Hatchery stock. The adult tag returns from the ocean fishery for these fish have indicated their distribution to be primarily in the area between Tillamook Bay, Oregon, and Grays Harbor, Washington (R. Lincoln, Washington Dept. of Fisheries (WDF), Olympia, Washington, personal communication). This is similar to what we found for the juveniles.

Migration Patterns and Growth

A northerly migration of steelhead was readily apparent from the catch distribution and direction of seine set. In addition, these fish were caught slightly farther offshore than the chinook and coho salmon. During the intensive sampling for juvenile salmonids between 1960 and 1969, Hartt et al. (1966, 1967a, 1967b, 1970, and 1972) found considerably fewer steelhead than salmon in the nearshore areas. Further evidence of an offshore and northerly migration has been provided by recaptures of two marked juvenile steelhead. On 14 July 1980, a marked steelhead released on the Pahsimeroi River, Idaho, between 7 and 17 April 1980, was recovered 1,050 km west of the Queen Charlotte Islands in the Gulf of Alaska by a Japanese research vessel (W. Percy, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, personal communication). This was a distance of 1,700 km from the Columbia River mouth. Also a steelhead marked off Kodiak Island, Alaska, in September 1958 was caught by a sportsman in the Alsea River in southern Oregon 17 months later (Hartt 1980). These two tag recoveries indicated extensive migration of steelhead from the Columbia River and Oregon.

A northerly migration was also indicated for spring chinook salmon. Marked spring chinook salmon of Columbia River origin were caught only on south-facing seine sets, were found almost exclusively from the Columbia River mouth to the north, and were captured only on Cruise 1. Additional evidence for a northerly migration has been that in all marking off the Washington coast by the WDF of small chinook salmon that have spent only one winter in the ocean, none have returned to hatcheries as spring chinook salmon (R. Lincoln, WDF, Olympia, Washington, personal communication). If all spring chinook salmon migrated north, then the average length of the chinook salmon found on Cruise 3 represented the size attained by

subyearlings in 5 to 6 months. One 271-mm marked fall chinook salmon (subyearling) was captured on 31 August 1980. It was from a group with an average length of 80-90 mm at release on 1 June 1980, indicating a potential for growth of 1.9 mm per day. This was considerably higher than the 1.32 mm/day growth found by Healey (1980) in small (44-115 mm) chinook salmon from the Nanaimo, Vancouver Island, estuary. Comparatively few small chinook salmon (<130 mm) were found in the sampling area as contrasted to the large catches (6,669) in shallow (less than 9 m) nearshore waters during NMFS purse seining operations from 1978 to 1980 (Dawley et al. 1981). In the shallow waters, the small chinook salmon accounted for 99% of the catch.

As few small chinook salmon (<130 mm) were found in the deeper waters (>30 m) and less than 1% of total salmonid catch in shallow (<9 m) waters were greater than 130 mm it appears that movement of fish into the sampling area was dependent upon size.

The decline in coho salmon abundance on Cruise 2 may have been related to migration. Hartt (1980) tagged a large number of juvenile coho salmon in July and August off the coast of Vancouver Island and southeastern Alaska. Many returned to the Columbia River as adults. Many adult coho salmon from the Columbia River, as previously discussed, are caught in the southern Oregon and northern California fisheries. In addition, migration out of the study area by the early stocks reaching the ocean and replacement by others would account for the apparent lack of growth of coho salmon between Cruise 1 and 2. This apparent lack of growth was also reported for coho salmon in British Columbia by Phillips and Barraclough (1978). They found a relatively small increase in mean lengths between May

Although migration out of the sampling area was likely the prime factor affecting the abundance of salmonids on Cruise 2, a contributing factor in the lower catches may have been related to water temperatures. During sampling for juvenile coho salmon in the North Pacific Ocean, Godfrey et al. (1975) caught the largest number of fish in waters between 8° and 12°C (range 3°-16°C). Surface water temperatures on Cruise 2 averaged 15.2°C (T3) which was above those normally associated with salmonids. Some juveniles may have resided deeper in the water column to avoid the warmer water and thus were not as susceptible to the purse seine as those closer to the surface.

Results of the 1980 cruises are promising. General distribution patterns of juvenile salmonids were defined and mark recaptures provided indications of migration by various stocks of fish. More intensive sampling in areas and at times when fish are most abundant will be necessary to ascertain whether or not enough marked fish can be recaptured to determine relative differences in survival to the ocean between stocks of fish.

LITERATURE CITED

Andrievskaya, L. D.

1968. Pitanie molodii tikhokeanskikh lososei v more (Feeding of Pacific salmon fry in the sea). In Russian. Izvestiya Tikhookeanskogo Nauchno-Issledouafel'skogo Instituta Rybnogo Khozyaistva i Okeanografii (TINRO), 64:73-80. (Fisheries Research Board of Canada Translation Series No. 1423).

Andrievskaya, L. D.

1970. Pitanie molodii tikhokeanskikh lososei v Okhotskom more (Feeding of Pacific salmon juveniles in the sea of Okhotsk) In Russian. Izvetiya Tikhookeanskogo Nauchno-Issleovafel'skogo Instituta Rybnogo Khozyaistva i Okeanografii (TINRO), 78:105-115. (Fisheries Research Board of Canada Translation Series No. 2441).

Birman, I. B.

1969. Distribution and growth of young Pacific salmon of the genus Oncorhynchus in the sea. Problems in Ichthyology 9:651-666.

Dawley E. M., C. W. Sims, R. D. Ledgerwood, D. R. Miller, J. G. Williams.

1981. A study to define the migrational characteristics of chinook and coho salmon in the Columbia River estuary and associated marine waters. Final Report Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington. U.S.A.

Godfrey, H., K. Henry, and S. Machidori.

1975. Distribution and abundance of coho salmon in offshore waters of the North Pacific Ocean. International North Pacific Fisheries Commission Bulletin 31, 80 p.

Hartt, A. C.

1980. Juvenile salmonids in the oceanic ecosystem--the critical first summer. In W. J. McNeil and D. C. Hinsworth (editors), Salmonid ecosystems of the North Pacific, p. 25-57. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis.

Hartt, A. C., M. B. Dell, and S. B. Matthews.

1966. Tagging studies. International North Pacific Fisheries Commission Annual Report, 1964, p. 81-91.

Hartt, A. C., L. S. Smith, and M. B. Bell.

- 1967(a). Tagging and sampling. International North Pacific Fisheries Commission Annual Report, 1966. p. 73-78.

Hartt, A. C., L. S. Smith, M. B. Dell, and R. V. Kilambi.

- 1967(b). Tagging and sampling. International North Pacific Fisheries Commission Annual Report, 1966, p. 73-78.

- Hartt, A. C., M. B. Dell, and L. S. Smith.
1970. Tagging and sampling. International North Pacific Fisheries Commission Annual Report, 1968, p. 68-79.
- Hartt, A. C., B. J. Rothschild, M. B. Dell, and D. E. Rogers.
1972. Tagging and sampling. International North Pacific Fisheries Commission Annual Report, 1970, p. 65-72.
- Healey, M. C.
1980. The ecology of juvenile salmon in Georgia Strait, British Columbia. In W. J. McNeil and D. C. Hinsworth (editors), Salmonid ecosystems of the North Pacific, p. 203-229. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis.
- Major, R. L., J. Ito, S. Ito, and H. Godfrey.
1978. Distribution and origin of chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) in offshore waters of the North Pacific Ocean. International North Pacific Fisheries Commission Bulletin 30, 54 p.
- Netboy, A.
1980. The Columbia River salmon and steelhead trout. Their fight for survival. University of Washington Press, Seattle, 180 p.
- Park, D. L.
1980. Transportation of chinook salmon and steelhead smolts 1968-80 and its impact on adult returns to the Snake River. Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington, U.S.A., 20 p.
- Phillips, A., and W. Barraclough.
1978. Early marine growth of juvenile Pacific salmon in the Strait of Georgia and Saanich Inlet, British Columbia. Canada Fisheries and Marine Service Technical Report No. 830, 19 p.
- Raymond, H.
1979. Effects of dams and impoundments on migrations of juvenile chinook salmon and steelhead from the Snake River, 1966 to 1975. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 108:505-529.